EXHIBIT B

Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 MDL NO. 2875 3 ----X IN RE: VALSARTAN, LOSARTAN, AND IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS LIABILITY 4 LITIGATION 5 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 6 All Actions 7 Case No. 1:19-md-02875-RBK-SAK ----X 8 9 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF : RON NAJAFI 10 February 3, 2022 11 12 TRANSCRIPT of the videotaped deposition of the 13 above-named witness, called for Oral Examination in the above-entitled matter, said deposition being 14 taken pursuant to Superior Court Rules of Civil 15 16 Practice and Procedure, by and before MICHELLE L. 17 DAWKINS, CSR, RPR, a Certified Court Reporter and 18 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, held REMOTELY VIA ZOOM on Thursday, February 3, 2022, 19 commencing at 9:09 a.m. Pacific Standard Time. 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-227-8440 973-410-4040

1	Page	2		Pag
	APPEARANCES:	- 1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
		2	For the Defendant, Cygnet Pharmaceuticals, Inc.:	
	For the Plaintiffs:	3		
			BY: GEOFFREY M. COAN, ESQ.	
	KANNER & WHITELEY LLC	4	, ,	
	BY: LAYNE HILTON, ESQ.	4		
	DAVID STANOCH, ESQ.	_ ا	Boston, MA 02109	
	CONLEE SCHELL WHITELEY, ESQ.	5		
	701 Camp Street		gcoan@hinshawlaw.com	
	New Orleans, LA 70130	6		
	504.524.5777		For the Defendant, Camber Pharmaceuticals:	
	1.hilton@kanner-law.com	7		
	d.stanoch@kanner-law.com		LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
;	c.whiteley@kanner-law.com	8		
,	LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL	°	, ,	
	RAFFERTY & PROCTOR P.A.		500 East Swedesford Road - Suite 270	
		9		
	BY: DANIEL NIGH, ESQ.		215.977.4066	
	316 South Baylen Street	10	asher.block@lewisbrisbois.com	
	Pensacola, FL 32502	11		
	850.435.7013	12		
	dnigh@levinlaw.com	12	BY: KARA KAPKE, ESQ.	
	LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN LLP	1.0	· · ·	
	BY: CHARLES E. SCHAFFER, ESQ.	13		
	510 Walnut Street - Suite 500		317.231.6491	
	Philadelphia, PA 19106	14	kkapke@btlaw.com	
	215.592.1500	15	For the Defendant, Humana:	
	cschaffer@lfsblaw.com	16		
,		1	BY: MEGAN A. ZMICK, ESQ.	
	SLACK DAVIS SANGER LLP	17	, ,	
7	BY: JOHN R. DAVIS, ESQ.	1/		
	6001 Bold Ruler Way - Suite 100	1	Chicago, IL 60606	
3	Austin, TX 78746	18		
,		1	maz@falkenbergives.com	
	866.531.2048	19		
	jdavis@slackdavis.com	20		
)		21		
		- 1		
2		22		
3		23		
1		24		
5		25		
	Page	3		Pag
	A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):		APPEARANCES (Continued)	1 45
	For the Defendants, Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.,		For the Defendants, Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.,	
-	Mylan Laboratories Ltd., Mylan Inc., and Mylan N.V.:		Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Actavis LLC,	
3	131yian Eacoratories Ett., 131yian me., and 131yian 14. V	3	and Actavis Pharma, Inc.:	
•	PIETRAGALLO GORDON ALFANO	4	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
	BOSICK & RASPANTI I I P			
	BOSICK & RASPANTI, LLP BY: CLEM TRISCHLER ESO	5	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ.	5	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ.		BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ.	5	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre		BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor		BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REFFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219	6	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385		BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com	6	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fls@pietragallo.com	6 7 8 9	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com	6 7 8	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc.,	6 7 8 9 10	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinh@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com	6 7 8 9	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC:	6 7 8 9 10	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	6 7 8 9 10	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207	
3	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP	
3	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fls@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com Sort the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com mARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com makrin, Harding & Mazzotti BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI OREILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinh@gtlaw.com mARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com makrin, Harding & Mazzotti BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI OREILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fls@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com Sor the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinh@gtlaw.com mARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.: DUANE MORRIS LLP	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinh@gtlaw.com martin, Harbing & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC:	
33 33 33 34 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 33 33 34 4 4 5 5 5 7 7 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com of the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI OREILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ.	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejjang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com makrin, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower	
:	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street Philadelphia PA 19103	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com martin, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower 227 W. Trade Street - Suite 600	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street Philadelphia PA 19103 215.979.1177	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower 227 W. Trade Street - Suite 600 Charlotte, NC 28202	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street Philadelphia PA 19103 215.979.1177 alotman@duanemorris.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower 227 W. Trade Street - Suite 600 Charlotte, NC 28202 704.444.3475	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street Philadelphia PA 19103 215.979.1177	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower 227 W. Trade Street - Suite 600 Charlotte, NC 28202	
	BY: CLEM TRISCHLER, ESQ. FRANK STOY, ESQ. JASON M. REEFER, ESQ. One Oxford Centre 301 Grant Street - 38th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.263.4385 cct@pietragallo.com fhs@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com jmr@pietragallo.com For the Defendants, Aurobindo Pharma USA, Inc., Aurobindo Pharma Ltd., and Aurolife Pharma LLC: MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP BY: JOHN GISLESON, ESQ. STEVEN HUNCHUCK, ESQ. One Oxford Centre - 32nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412.560.7466 john.gisleson@morganlewis.com steven.hunchuck@morganlewis.com For the Defendants, Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Solco Healthcare U.S., LLC, and Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc: DUANE MORRIS LLP BY: ALYSON WALKER LOTMAN, ESQ. COLEEN HILL, ESQ. 30 S. 17th Street Philadelphia PA 19103 215.979.1177 alotman@duanemorris.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQ. VICTORIA LOCKARD, ESQ. BRIAN RUBENSTEIN, ESQ. Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE - Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678.533.2312 harkinss@gtlaw.com lockardv@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com rubensteinb@gtlaw.com MARTIN, HARDING & MAZZOTTI BY: ROSEMARIE RIDDELL BOGDAN, ESQ. 100 Park Avenue Center - 16th Floor New York, NY 10017 518.724.2207 rosemarie.bogdan@1800law1010.com WALSH PIZZI O'REILLY FALANGA LLP BY: CHRISTINE GANNON, ESQ. Three Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street - 15th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973.757.1017 cgannon@walsh.law For the Defendant, Albertson's LLC: BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C. BY: CHRISTOPHER B. HENRY, ESQ. Carillon Tower 227 W. Trade Street - Suite 600 Charlotte, NC 28202 704.444.3475	

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

APPEARANCES (Continued):

Dallas, TX 75201

214.855.8135

For the Defendant, McKesson Products:

BY: ELLIE NORRIS, ESQ.

2200 Ross Avenue - Suite 3600

Veritext Legal Solutions

INDEX TO WITNESSES

ellie.norris@nortonrosefulbright.com

ALSO PRESENT: WILLIAM MILLER, Videographer

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT U.S. LLP

Page 6

2	WITNESS	PAGE	2	are going on the record at 9:09 a.m. Pacific time on
3	Ron Najafi, PhD		3	February 3, 2022. This is Media Unit 1 of the video
4	By Mr. Trischler:		4	recorded deposition of Ron Najafi, PhD in regards to
5	Direct Examination	10	5	the valsartan/losartan litigation which is found in
6	By Mr. Gisleson:		6	United States District Court, district of New
	Cross-examination	170	7	Jersey, NDL No. 2875. My name is William Miller
7			8	from the firm Veritext Legal Solutions and I am the
0	By Mr. Harkins:	201	9	videographer. The court reporter is Michelle
8	Cross-examination By Mr. Nigh:	201	10	Dawkins from the firm Veritext Legal Solutions. All
	Cross-examination	219	11	counsel is noted on the stenographic record. Will
10			12	the court reporter please swear in the witness.
11			13	You're on mute, Michelle.
12			14	THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry. Good
13			15	morning. My name is Michelle Dawkins and I am the
14 15			16	court reporter. The attorneys participating in this
16			17	deposition acknowledge that I am not physically
17			18	present in the deposition room and that I will be
18			19	reporting this deposition remotely.
19			20	They further acknowledge that in lieu
20			21	of an oath administered in person, I will administer
21 22			22	the oath remotely. The parties and their counsel
23			23	consent to this arrangement and waive any objections
24			24	to this manner of reporting.
25			25	Please indicate your agreement by
				3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Page 7

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

	Page 10		Page 12
1	stating your name and your agreement on the record.	1	true?
2	MR. TRISCHLER: Clem Trischler. So	2	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside
3	agreed on behalf of the defendants.	3	the scope.
4	MR. NIGH: Daniel Nigh, agreed on	4	A A drug, as I mentioned to you,
5	behalf of the plaintiffs.	5	Mr. Trischler, drug product contains impurities that
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Would the witness	6	could be harmless or could be hazardous.
7	please state his full name.	7	Q Is a drug product considered
8	THE WITNESS: My name is Ron Najafi.	8	misbranded under federal law merely because it
9	THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Najafi, would	9	contains impurities?
10	you please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly	10	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside
11	swear or affirm the testimony you will give at this	11	the scope.
12	deposition will be the truth, the whole truth and	12	A A drug product, as I mentioned,
13	nothing but the truth?	13	contains impurities that could be harmless or could
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	14	be hazardous and they could be misbranded because of
15	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	15	the hazardous nature of the impurities.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	16	Q If a drug product contains impurities
	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	17	that are not harmful to public health, are those
17 18		18	drug products considered to be misbranded?
	Q Sir, let me start by saying good	19	A No.
19	morning. I think it's morning where you're located,	20	1.2.1
20	so I'll say good morning to you.		MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside
21	A Good morning to you.	21	the scope.
22	Q Thank you. My name is Clem Trischler.	22	Q If a drug substance every drug
23	I am an attorney. I represent one of many	23	substance ever made in America has impurities,
24	defendants in litigation that's pending in the	24	correct?
25	United States District Court for the district of New	25	A Every drug product that is made in
١.	Page 11		Page 13
1	Jersey involving valsartan.	1	America or anywhere on the planet could contain
2	I understand that you've been identified and	2	impurities that are harmless or could be hazardous.
3	designated an expert witness in this litigation; is	3	Q I didn't ask you that question, sir.
4	that correct?	4	I said, isn't it a fact that every drug product ever
5	A That's correct.	5	made in America or on the planet does contain some
6	Q I'd like to maybe start today by	6	impurities?
7	covering some basic concepts and see if we can get	7	MR. NIGH: He answered the question.
8	an agreement on a few basic points. Okay?	8	He answered the question previously and it's outside
9	A Okay.	9	the scope.
10	Q Number one, it is an established fact	10	MR. TRISCHLER: It's not an
11	that all drug products contain impurities, agreed?	11	appropriate objection. It's not an appropriate
12	A Yes, they do.	12	instruction, if that's what it was. My question
13	Q A drug or a drug substance is not	13	stands excuse me. And I'd like an answer.
14	considered misbranded simply because it contains	14	MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and
15	impurities, true?	15	answered.
16	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	16	MR. TRISCHLER: I don't know how you
17	the scope.	17	know that, since I haven't asked it yet, but let me
18	A A drug product contains impurities	18	try again.
19	that are harmless and they could also contain	19	Q Every drug product ever made in the
20	impurities that could be extremely hazardous.	20	United States made for sale in the United States of
21	Q That wasn't my question, sir. See if	21	America contains some impurities. Can we agree on
22	you can listen to my question and give me an answer		that?
23	to my question, please.	23	MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and
24	A drug product is not considered misbranded	24	answered.
25	simply because it contains impurities; isn't that	25	A I already responded to that question,

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

	Page 14		Page 16
1	sir.	1	I think you should I think it's the answer is
2	Q I'm asking it again, then, sir. I ask	2	clear.
3	you to answer my question, sir.	3	Q Do you agree that the mere presence of
4	A Sir, I will give you the same answer.	4	an impurity does not render a drug adulterated or
5	Q What is the answer to my question?	5	misbranded?
6	A I just gave you the answer to your	6	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
7	question. Every drug product or every drug	7	A I responded to your question.
8	substance that's produced on the planet contains	8	Q Sir, I am entitled to an answer to the
9	harmless and harmful impurities.	9	question. I don't know if there was an internet
10	Q If the mere presence of an impurity	10	issue. If there is was an answer, I didn't hear it.
11	rendered a drug product adulterated and misbranded,	11	A There is no internet issues.
12	then virtually pharmaceutical produced today would	12	Q I said I didn't hear. If there was an
13	be deemed misbranded and adulterated, do you agree?	13	answer, I did not hear it.
14	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	14	MR. NIGH: Was there an answer to the
15	the scope.	15	last question, Michelle?
16	A I did not say that. I said	16	A I already answered it.
17	Q I didn't sir, let me stop you. I	17	Q I'm not talking to you, sir.
18	didn't ask you what you said. I asked you a	18	A Let's move on to the next question.
19	question. Do you understand that this is a question	19	(The previous testimony as requested
20	and answer session and I am permitted to ask you	20	was read by the reporter.)
21	questions and you're required to give me responsive	21	MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. Thank you.
22	answers to those questions; is that a concept you	22	Q It's not clear to me, so I would like
23	understand?	23	an answer, please. Is it your testimony that the
24	MR. NIGH: Mr. Trischler, you just now	24	mere presence of an impurity renders a drug
25	interrupted the witness in the middle of his answer.	25	misbranded or adulterated; yes or no?
1	Page 15	1	Page 17
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	It wasn't completed. Q Do you understand that I am entitled	1	MR. NIGH: Again, it's outside the
4		1 2	scope
2		2	scope. A Lalrandy responded to your question
3	to answers to my questions, sir?	3	A I already responded to your question.
4	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to	3 4	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and
4 5	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your	3 4 5	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer.
4 5 6	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question?	3 4	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my
4 5 6 7	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get	3 4 5 6 7	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir?
4 5 6 7 8	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the	3 4 5 6 7 8	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question.
4 5 6 7 8 9	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to answers to my questions, sir? MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful impurities and they could not be misbranded if they	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your position that the mere presence of an impurity
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful impurities and they could not be misbranded if they are not harmful.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your position that the mere presence of an impurity renders a drug adulterated or misbranded; yes or no?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful impurities and they could not be misbranded if they are not harmful. Q So then you would agree with me that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your position that the mere presence of an impurity renders a drug adulterated or misbranded; yes or no? MR. NIGH: Object to the colloquy
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful impurities and they could not be misbranded if they are not harmful. Q So then you would agree with me that the mere presence of some impurity does not render a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your position that the mere presence of an impurity renders a drug adulterated or misbranded; yes or no? MR. NIGH: Object to the colloquy given to the witness. Disagree, but I will ask the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. NIGH: Do you understand not to interrupt the witness when he's answering your question? MR. TRISCHLER: I'm not going to get into a colloquy with you. I'm talking to the witness. Do you understand MR. NIGH: Well, please don't interrupt the witness in the middle of his question I mean, in the middle of his answer. Q Do you understand that I'm entitled to responsive answers to my question, sir? A Clem, every drug product or drug substance that's produced on the planet contains harmless or harmful impurities. They could be misbranded if it contains extremely harmful impurities and they could not be misbranded if they are not harmful. Q So then you would agree with me that the mere presence of some impurity does not render a drug product misbranded or adulterated, right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I already responded to your question. Just look at the record. Go back to the records and you'll see my answer. Q So are you refusing to answer my question, sir? A I already responded to your question. Q No, you didn't. No you didn't. I asked a different question, sir. This is going to be a long day or else we're going to come back and I'm going to get fees, because Magistrate Judge Menaski has talked about obstructionist witnesses like this. So if you don't want to answer the question, that's fine. We'll halt the deposition, I'll get fees for it, and we'll come back here again. The question is pretty simple. Is it your position that the mere presence of an impurity renders a drug adulterated or misbranded; yes or no? MR. NIGH: Object to the colloquy given to the witness. Disagree, but I will ask the witness to answer this question again.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Document 2033-4 Filed 09 PageID: 67019

1	Page 18		Page 20
1	it's safe impurity if it's determined safe, then	1	Q Yes. A generic drug manufacturer can
2	it's not misbranded, but if it's an unsafe impurity	2	establish and satisfy FDA requirements for bio
3	then, yes, it is misbranded.	3	equivalents even where the impurity profiles between
4	Q Does FDA require the supplier of an	4	the RLD and generic equivalent product are
5	active pharmaceutical ingredient used in generic	5	different.
6	drug to use the same synthetic process used by the	6	A The generic drugs have to establish
7	RLB holder?	7	bio equivalence when they make a generic drug.
8	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	8	Q Right. And you can
9	A The FDA does not require the generic	9	A A bio equivalence does not refer to,
10	manufacturers to use exact procedure of the branded	10	you know, impurity profile.
11	drug.	11	Q I understand. My question was bio
12	Q When you say "exact procedure," my	12	equivalence can be established in having impurity
13	question as are they required to use the same	13	profiles that match as between the reference listed
14	synthetic process for developing and producing API.	14	drug and the generic applicant, correct?
15	The answer is no, correct?	15	A No, I didn't say that.
16	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	16	Q Then answer the question.
17	the scope.	17	A Repeat your question please.
18	A Mr. Trischler, am I pronouncing your	18	Q Sure. I said that a generic drug
19	name right?	19	manufacturer can meet FDA requirements for bio
20	Q Close enough, sir.	20	equivalence without having an impurity profile that
21	A Mr. Trischler, FDA does not require a	21	matches the impurity profile of the reference listed
22	generic manufacturer to use exact chemical procedure	22	drug.
23	as the brand to synthesize the generic drug.	23	A The generic manufacturer can establish
24	Q And because the synthetic process used	24	bio equivalence or a synthetic process irrespective
25	by an RLD holder in a generic manufacturer may be	25	of whether they have what kind of impurities they
	Page 19		Page 21
1	different, it's not uncommon or unexpected that the	1	have. They could have harmful impurities, they
2	API used in an ANDA will have a different impurity	2	could have harmless impurities, and they can still
3	profile than the reference listed drug, is it?	3	establish bio equivalence, but that's irrespective
4	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	4	of what kind of impurities they have.
5	the scope.	5	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the	5 6	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product?
5 6 7	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be	5 6 7	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope.
5 6 7 8	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different.	5 6 7 8	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are
5 6 7 8 9	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no	5 6 7 8 9	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated.
5 6 7 8 9 10	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe. Q A generic manufacturer can establish	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and they could have toxic impurity in it, either
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe. Q A generic manufacturer can establish and satisfy FDA requirements for bio equivalents	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and they could have toxic impurity in it, either intentionally or inadvertently, and they could be
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe. Q A generic manufacturer can establish and satisfy FDA requirements for bio equivalents even where the impurity profiles between the RLD and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and they could have toxic impurity in it, either intentionally or inadvertently, and they could be called adulterated.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe. Q A generic manufacturer can establish and satisfy FDA requirements for bio equivalents even where the impurity profiles between the RLD and the generic equivalent product are different,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and they could have toxic impurity in it, either intentionally or inadvertently, and they could be called adulterated. Q Have you ever read the definition of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the scope. A It is entirely possible that the impurity profile of the generic drug may be different. Q In fact, there's absolutely no requirement anywhere in the FDA regulations that mandate that an RLD match or mirror the impurity profile of the generic alternative, is there? A The FDA does not require that the generic drug manufacturer to match every impurity of the branded drug. However, they do require that the impurity is to be determined safe. They do require that a generic drug does sufficient due diligence to determine the synthetic path is safe. Q A generic manufacturer can establish and satisfy FDA requirements for bio equivalents even where the impurity profiles between the RLD and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of an adulterated product? MR. NIGH: Form. Outside the scope. A To me, adulterated products are products that have been contaminated. Q Well, I appreciate your definition, but I'm really not interested in it. My question was, does the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act contain a definition of what constitutes adulterated product? A Yes, they do. MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Object to the colloquy. It's inappropriate. You can answer. A Adulterated products are products that are mislabeled. They don't have proper label and they could have toxic impurity in it, either intentionally or inadvertently, and they could be called adulterated.

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

	D 22		D 24
1	Page 22 A Yes, I have.	1	Page 24 Q Can you cite me an authority for the
2	Q Are you familiar with the definition	2	proposition that you just stated, that the USP
3	under Section 351 of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic	3	monograph is a minimum standard? Where is that
4	Act?	4	specified anywhere in the public literature?
5	A I haven't looked at it exactly today,	5	A I can't put my fingers on it right
6	but I am familiar with that.	6	now, but I can look it up for you and show you.
7	Q Section 351 defined an adulterated	7	Q Well, we'll take multiple breaks
8	drug as one where its strength differs from or its	8	during this day and so I'd like you to find me
9	quality impurity fall below the standards set forth	9	A I will.
10	in the compendium.	10	Q Let me finish, please. Can I finish,
11	A I agree with that.	11	please?
12	MR. NIGH: Hold on. Was there a	12	A Absolutely.
13	question?	13	Q Sir, this is really difficult if we
14	MR. TRISCHLER: There was.	14	talk over one another. I'll do my best not to talk
15	A You just read the definition.	15	over you, but please let me finish my statement and
16	Q Right. And you would agree with that	16	my question.
17	definition, right?	17	I'd like you to cite for me the authority for
18	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	18	that novel proposition that you just offered, because
19	the scope.	19	I've not seen it.
20	Q You agree with that definition, sir?	20	A I will.
21	A If you're reading it from the regs,	21	MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Hold
22	yes.	22	on. Form objection and now I would object to
23	Q And where there is a USP monograph,	23	whatever exercise there is that is supposed to do
24	any article marketed in the United States must meet	24	something during the breaks while he's trying to
25	the requirements and specifications of the	25	take restroom breaks. We are going far outside the
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Page 23 monograph. Agreed?	1	Page 25 scope of his opinion and he has authority in his
1 2		1 2	
	monograph. Agreed?		scope of his opinion and he has authority in his
2	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question?	2	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification.
2 3	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph,	3	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question?
2 3 4	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must	2 3 4	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and
2 3 4 5	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that	2 3 4 5	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically
2 3 4 5 6	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph?	2 3 4 5 6	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality
2 3 4 5 6 7	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement	2 3 4 5 6 7	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mould you repeat your question? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications and criteria in the monograph?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD? MR. NIGH: Form objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications and criteria in the monograph? MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A There is the regs first of all, USP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications and criteria in the monograph? MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A There is the regs first of all, USP is not a regulatory body. USP is an independent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications and criteria in the monograph? MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and answered. A I answered that question already. USP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A There is the regs first of all, USP is not a regulatory body. USP is an independent company. The regs are clear. There is the concept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	monograph. Agreed? A Would you repeat your question? Q Sure. Where there is a USP monograph, any drug product marketed in the United States must meet the requirements and specifications of that monograph? A USP drug is the minimum requirement that is required, absolute minimum. Manufacturers are required to go above and beyond those requirements. Q Are they required to meet where a monograph exists and applies, are manufacturers required to meet their specifications of the monograph? A You spoke too fast. You got cut out. Could you repeat? Q I'll try. Where there is a USP monograph that applies to a drug product are manufacturers required to meet those specifications and criteria in the monograph? MR. NIGH: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	scope of his opinion and he has authority in his expert report if you want to read his certification. A Sir, can I respond to that question? I think that I can refer you to USP's website and under, basically, overview, USP monograph basically articulates that there is a minimum quality standards and the companies have to go above and beyond that. Q So I will find that on USP website? A You should able to find that on USP website, usp.com. Go to about USP and you should be able to find that. Q Will I find that requirement posted anywhere else? A I don't know. I'm sure there are. If you Google it, you will find it. Q Is there any requirement anywhere in the USP mandating that a generic equivalent product match or mirror the impurity profile of the RLD? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A There is the regs first of all, USP is not a regulatory body. USP is an independent

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

Document 2033-4 Filed 05/03/2 PageID: 67021

Page 26 Page 28 concept of bio equivalents, therapeutic equivalents. 1 1 If they are modifying the chemical procedure, I can't comment on a lot of those things because I in which case in the case of your clients they are am not a physician, but those are all spelled out in 3 modifying their brand's chemical procedure, then they 4 the regs and you can look that up. should expect a different chemical impurities. And 5 Where is the requirement for what you because they are modifying those chemical procedures 6 call chemical equivalent, where is that term used in and the reagents, then they have an obligation to 7 the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or the regulations 7 identify those impurities and determine that they are 8 of the FDA? 8 not genotoxic. 9 Α It's cited in my report, sir. 9 It's a very long winded question to my, 10 Q No, it's not. You don't provide any 10 basically, one paragraph. It's No. 18 in my expert 11 citation for what constitutes chemical equivalents 11 report. 12 in your report. 12 MR. TRISCHLER: Object and move to 13 MR. NIGH: Objection. Hold on. I 13 strike as nonresponsive. 14 don't know if that was a question. 14 Do you remember what they question 15 I responded to your question. 15 was? 16 Q Show me in your report --16 MR. NIGH: Hold on. This has already 17 Α Look at my report. 17 been discussed that it's inappropriate during the 18 Show me in your report where there is deposition. It's already been ruled on to object as 18 19 a regulatory definition of what you just called 19 nonresponsive. The colloquies that you're giving, 20 chemical equivalence. You can look at your -- take 20 Mr. Trischler, have been ruled on previously as 21 your time. Look at your report and show me where 21 inappropriate. 22 there is a definition of chemical equivalence either 22 You've also threatened sanctions. in Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or regulations in 23 That's also been ruled on as being inappropriate. These are all the things that the defendants argued 24 the FDA or in any guidance in the FDA, for that 24 25 matter. 25 that Mr. Slater was doing that was inappropriate and Page 27 Page 29 1 Okay. Hang on one second. I've got now you're doing it yourself after Judge Menaski 2 2 ruled that all these issues are inappropriate. to get the report from my desk. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to 3 We've got to put some brakes on this. go off the video record or would you like to stay 4 MR. TRISCHLER: Are you done with your 4 5 on? 5 speech, Daniel? I just asked him. 6 MR. NIGH: No, no, no, no. You can't 6 MR. TRISCHLER: I don't care. 7 7 Okay. I'm back. Sorry. I put this ask him --8 MR. TRISCHLER: All I am asking is if on my computer. Basically, the generic drug 9 manufacturers have an ongoing federal duty of 9 he remember --10 MR. NIGH: You can't move to strike. 10 sameness in their product and their reference is It's inappropriate, and the combativeness with this reference No. 2. What that refers to is that the 11 11 12 identity of the active ingredients need to be 12 witness is completely inappropriate. It's not just 13 the speech. We can have a conversation with the exactly the same. The chemical synthesis of the 14 actual ingredients need to be the same. And also, 14 judge if we need to. 15 15 MR. TRISCHLER: Are you done? this refers to the impurities that are present need 16 MR. NIGH: No, I'm not done. I don't 16 to be impurities that are either established by the 17 think you're recognizing it. You're doing so many brand, established by the USP or impurities that are 17 18 inappropriate things. We have to not do this. You 18 established by the generic manufacturers; and those 19 impurities, if the generic is using exactly the 19 can't badger this witness. 20 MR. TRISCHLER: If you need to call brand chemical procedure, if they are using the same 21 recipe with the same, basically, various ingredients the judge, go ahead. I welcome it.

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

22

23

24

25

MR. NIGH: Okay.

the things you're doing?

MR. TRISCHLER: I welcome it.

MR. NIGH: Are you going to keep doing

impurities.

23

24

25

22 that they're using; different intermediates,

different reagents, if they are using the same, then

they should expect to have the same chemical

,	Page 30		Page 32
1	MR. TRISCHLER: Because I would love	1	molecular weight, identical to every sense of
2	the judge to read this transcript.	2	chemical sense. They should have same strength,
3	MR. NIGH: Do you have every intention	3	same quality, purity.
4	to keep threatening for sanctions? Do you have	4	Purity here refers to the chemical purity of
5	every intention to keep moving to strike as	5	the drug and the impurity profiles of those drugs;
6	nonresponsive, because if you do, then we might as	6	and both potency. And potency is really a function
7	well call the judge now, because he's already ruled	7	of, you know, excipients and what excipients it's in
8	that that's inappropriate.	8	and whether it's going to be released properly.
9	MR. TRISCHLER: I have already	9	So you get into a you know, I could talk
10	intention of asking relevant questions and I'm	10	about this for a couple hours, but that's what that
11	hoping to get some responsive answers to those	11	is. And I'm referencing No. 2, No. 3, No. 4, these
12	questions.	12	are basically the regs that are there.
13	MR. NIGH: Okay. Well, I hope that	13	And the regs, as you well know, are vague
14	you stop moving to strike as nonresponsive and	14	enough and that can be you know, they are really
15	threatening sanctions.	15	the minimum standards. You know there is a concept
16	MR. TRISCHLER: If you want to call	16	that they say CGMP. C talks about current good
17	the judge, I'd welcome it, because I would love for	17	manufacturing practices and "current" means the
18	him to have the opportunity to read this transcript.	18	highest technology, technologies, of today; and the
19	A Please repeat your question.	19	generic are responsible to living up to that standard
20	Q You used the term "chemical	20	of the latest standards.
21	equivalents" and suggested that generic	21	I hope that was a long answer to your
22	manufacturers have an obligation to establish	22	question. I hope that I answered it.
23	chemical equivalents and my question to you, sir,	23	Q It was long. It was not an answer to
24	was where in the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or the	24	the question, but I'll ask it again.
25	regulations of the FDA is the term "chemical	25	A Well, you know, that's my answer. If
	Page 31		Page 33
1	equivalents" anywhere defined and where would that	1	you want, I can repeat the same thing that I just
2	requirement be established? That was what led you	2	gave you.
3	to look at your report. That's the question that	3	Q If you could stop talking for a
4	I'm looking for an answer to.	4	minute, I'll try to ask another question. What you
5	A Okay. Let me go back to my report	5	read from was paragraph 18 of your report, correct?
6	again, okay. So I'm going to read back from my	6	A Correct.
7	report, okay. Generic drug manufacturers have an	7	Q In paragraph 18 the words "chemical
8	ongoing federal duty of sameness in their product,	8	equivalent" never appear, do they?
9	reference No. 2. The generic manufacturers must	9	A Chemical equivalents
10	demonstrate that their active ingredients are and	10	Q Do the words chemical equivalent
11	have identical strength quality, purity I	11	appear?
12	underlined that purity and potency and were	12	MR. NIGH: No, no, no, no, no, no, no,
13	applicable other characteristics as the reference	13	no.
14	listed drug.	14	Mr. Trischler, he was clearly not
15	(Clarification requested by the	15	finished with his answer there. No, no, no. That
16	reporter.)	16	is completely inappropriate. You can finish your
17	A I will repeat. Generic drug	17	answer, Dr. Najafi.
18	manufacturers have an ongoing federal duty of	18	MR. TRISCHLER: He has to answer it
19	sameness, meaning equivalence, in their products.	19	first and then he can
20	The generic manufacturers must demonstrate that	20	MR. NIGH: No, he does not. Let him
21	their active ingredients in this case active	21	answer the question. Let him answer the question.

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

22

23

24

25

That's completely inappropriate.

can't answer the question?

MR. TRISCHLER: Now you're saying he

MR. NIGH: You're interrupting the

24

25

22 compounds, the compound that's responsible for its

listed drug. "Same" here, Mr. Trischler, means

identical; identical chemical structure, identical

23 therapeutic potential -- are the same as reference

	Page 34		Page 36
1	witness over and over again. He was not	1	safe, can be harmful.
2	done and he was starting to answer your question.	2	Q Sir, I didn't ask you any of that.
3	He got two words out and you interrupted him; two	3	All I simply asked you is you used the term
4	words out. The video record is very clear on this.	4	"impurity equivalence" earlier in your testimony and
5	MR. TRISCHLER: You just said he	5	my question is the term impurity equivalence a
6	doesn't have to answer the question. That's what	6	defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?
7	you just said.	7	A I have to you know, I can look that
8	A No, I did not say he doesn't have to	8	up during the break and get back to you.
9	answer the question. I said he doesn't have to	9	Q Do you know if the term impurity
10	answer it in the way that you want him to answer it	10	equivalence is defined in the FDA regulations or FDA
11	at the very beginning of the answer.	11	guidance?
12	MR. TRISCHLER: Let's try it again.	12	A Purity profile is the same. You know,
13	MR. NIGH: How about you ask the	13	basically you have to have you know, I responded
14	question and don't interrupt him, please.	14	to the question. You're either following the
15	MR. TRISCHLER: Let's try again.	15	brand's recipe and you get the same purity/impurity
16	MR. NIGH: That's pretty	16	profile and the same purity or you're not following
17	inappropriate.	17	brand's procedure.
18	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	18	If you're not following brand's procedure
19	Q Do the words "chemically equivalent"	19	you're going to get a different impurity profile and
20	appear anywhere in paragraph 18 of your report?	20	those impurity profiles could have genotoxic compound
21	A The word "equivalence" doesn't need to	21	in it and it could be non-genotoxic compound in it.
22	appear in No. 18. Sameness is chemical equivalence.	22	Q Not my question again, sir. My
23	Q Is there a definition of chemical	23	question was simply do you know whether the term
24	equivalence in the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?	24	that you used "impurity equivalence" is a term that
25	A I don't know.	25	is defined in any FDA guidance document or FDA
	Page 35		Page 37
1			1 age 37
1	Q Is there a definition of chemical	1	regulations?
1 2	Q Is there a definition of chemical equivalence in the regulations established by the	1 2	
	-		regulations?
2	equivalence in the regulations established by the	2	regulations? A It may
2 3	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA?	2 3	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection.
2 3 4	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know.	2 3 4	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question
2 3 4 5	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term	2 3 4 5	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection,
2 3 4 5 6	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of	2 3 4 5 6	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You have to have you know, the purity profile need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those are considered impurities of concern because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You have to have you either are following the brand procedure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those are considered impurities of concern because of their genotoxicity and those impurities are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You have to have you know, the purity profile need to have you either are following the brand procedure and recipe, then you're going to end up with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those are considered impurities of concern because of their genotoxicity and those impurities are predetermined or pre sort of predicted by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You have to have you know, the purity profile need to have you either are following the brand procedure and recipe, then you're going to end up with the same impurity profile. If you're not following the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those are considered impurities of concern because of their genotoxicity and those impurities are predetermined or pre sort of predicted by the expert chemist at the manufacturers based on certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	equivalence in the regulations established by the FDA? A I don't know. Q Is there a you used the term "impurity equivalence." Is there a definition of impurity equivalence under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A The definition I just read, it's the regs are clear the active ingredients need to be the same. They need to be identical. The quality, purity; you know, the identity of the drug needs to be identical; potency, those are what chemical equivalence is referring to. Perhaps I'm not giving you the answer you like to hear, but that's the answer. Q Is impurity equivalence a defined term under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act? A I gave you my answer, you know. You have to have you know, the purity profile need to have you either are following the brand procedure and recipe, then you're going to end up with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regulations? A It may MR. NIGH: Hold on. Form objection. Just give a little bit of time between his question and your answer, because I may have an objection, form objection. You can answer. A It may or may not. Q Does FDA ever establish a requirement that a drug manufacturer identify all impurities in its drug label? A Would you repeat your question? Q Is there any FDA requirement for a drug manufacturer to identify all impurities in its drug label? A There is a requirement that the manufacturers identify all impurities that are greater than certain percentage, and also there is a requirement that the manufacturers identify any potential genotoxic impurities. And typically those are considered impurities of concern because of their genotoxicity and those impurities are predetermined or pre sort of predicted by the

	Daga 29		Page 40
1	Page 38 Q You know what I mean by labeling?	1	Page 40 your video feed.
2	A Please define it.	2	MR. NIGH: Is this document going to
3	Q Labeling is a defined term under the	3	also be disclosed, because he can look at the full
4	Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Are you familiar with	4	label and I don't see it here yet in the share file.
5	the FDA definition of the term?	5	MR. TRISCHLER: Frank hold on a
6	A Why don't you give me the FDA	6	second. I'm talking to Frank Stoy from my office
7	definition.	7	who I also think is listening in. Frank, why don't
8	Q I don't have it in front of me, but	8	you put in the chat all the things that we
9	for purposes of today I'm talking about the full	9	premarked.
10	prescribing information provided to prescribers and	10	A I can't see this. I need to print
11	patients when their drug is dispensed. Okay?	11	this. So if you could email it to me, Daniel or
12	A Right.	12	Rosemarie, that would be great. I can print it so I
13	Q Do manufacturers identify impurities	13	can look at it. I can't read it.
14	in their FDA-approved labeling?	14	MR. STOY: I could try to draw up
15	A They do. Manufacturers do identify	15	these documents in the chat as we use it. There is
16	impurities	16	also a share file link that I think Layne just put
17	Q Okay.	17	in the chat where, Dr. Najafi, you should be able to
18	A in their drug.	18	download the exhibits as they're marked.
19	Q As part of your work in this case, did	19	THE WITNESS: Great.
20	you review the Diovan labeling?	20	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
21	A No, I haven't.	21	Q So you can't see this, is that what
22	Q Have you reviewed the Exforge	22	you're telling me?
23	labeling?	23	A I can't see it, no. I have a it's
24	A No, I haven't.	24	very small on my screen.
25	Q I think I sent some potential exhibits	25	Q Well, then I guess
-	Page 39		Page 41
1	ahead of time to the court reporter that we	1	A What are you referring to?
2	premarked. I think I premarked Exhibit 13 as a	2	Q Well, I guess hold on. I guess we
3	Diovan label.	3	need to take a break until you can see it.
4	A I was told I got a piece of mail	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
5	here. I was told not to open it until you guys	5	record, yes?
6	instruct me. Is that the one you want me to open	6	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes.
7	it?	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:58.
8	Q No, I didn't ask you to open anything.	8	This concludes Media 1.
9	A Okay. You want me to open it?	9	(A recess was taken.)
10	Q No. I have no idea what you're	10	(After the recess the following
11	talking about. I didn't ask you to do anything.	11	occurred:)
12	MS. HILTON: Just for the record,	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
13	Clem, this was something that John Giselson and the	13	10:14. We are back on the video record. This
14	Aurobindo counsel had sent to Dr. Najafi and	14	begins Media 2. And counsel, would you like me to
15	instructed him not to open it. So Dr. Najafi, I	15	put the document that was on the screen up again?
16	think, continue to keep that box unopened until	16	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes, please.
17	Mr. Giselson and the lawyers for Aurobindo question	17	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
18	you.	18	Q Doctor, earlier we had talked about
19	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	19	the definition of "adulterated" under the Food, Drug
20	Q What we marked as Exhibit 13 is a copy	20	and Cosmetic Act. Would you agree with me that the
21	of the FDA approved labeling for Diovan.	21	term "misbranded" is also defined under the statute?
22	A Okay.	22	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
23	Q Have you ever seen this before, sir?	23	A Would you repeat your question?
24	A Could you make it bigger?	24	Q Is the term "misbranded" defined in
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sir, we just lost	25	the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?
23	THE THEOORITHER. DII, WO JUST 1050	23	and I does, Drug, and Commone rice.

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

	Page 42		Page 44
1	MR. NIGH: Objection to form.	1	They need to disclose it on their batch record.
2	A Yes, I believe it is defined.	2	They need to identify it, all their degradation
3	Q And under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic	3	products, and disclose it to the FDA in their
4	Act a drug is deemed misbranded when its labeling	4	filing.
5	proves to be false or misleading. Can we agree on	5	Q In their sorry. I thought you were
6	that definition?	6	finished. Well, that's true in part, but isn't it
7	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	7	also true that all that there is an allowance for
8	A I agree that a misbranded drug	8	unknown and unidentified impurities in every drug
9	contains something that shouldn't be there.	9	product made and sold in America?
10	Q Is that your definition or are you	10	MR. NIGH: Was that a question?
11	suggesting that's the definition provided in the	11	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes, sir.
12	Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act?	12	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
13	MR. NIGH: Objection. Form.	13	A What was your question?
14	A A misbranded drug is a drug that has	14	Q I said isn't it true that there is an
15	false or misleading label.	15	allowance for unknown impurities in every drug
16	Q Okay. Thank you. So now we are	16	product?
17	looking at the labeling for Diovan. I have marked	17	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
18	it as Exhibit 13. Are you now able to see it?	18	A There is an allowance for unknown
19	A Yes. I have it on my second monitor	19	impurities for every drug, provided they are not
20	here so I can actually see it. I am going to be	20	genotoxic.
21	looking at my own version, but I have it. I am	21	Q And prior to June of 2018, can we
22	looking at the same area.	22	agree that there was no requirement established by
23	Q All right. And can you go through	23	the FDA or specified in USP for nitrosamine-specific
24	this the label that we marked as Exhibit No. 13	24	testing?
25	and tell me where Novartis discloses the impurities	25	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
	Page 43		Page 45
1	in its Diovan product?	1	Page 45 Q Are you referring to particular
2	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look.	1 2	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug?
2 3	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.		Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I
2 3 4	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this	2	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any
2 3 4 5	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their	2 3	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or
2 3 4 5 6	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any.	2 3 4 5 6	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific
2 3 4 5 6 7	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound. Q And that's my question, sir. Does any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound. Q And that's my question, sir. Does any drug manufacturer list or identify impurities in its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, counsel. Are you in need of another court reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound. Q And that's my question, sir. Does any drug manufacturer list or identify impurities in its labeling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, counsel. Are you in need of another court reporter or are you all set, Michelle? I was just told to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound. Q And that's my question, sir. Does any drug manufacturer list or identify impurities in its labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, counsel. Are you in need of another court reporter or are you all set, Michelle? I was just told to join the meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in its Diovan product? A Okay. Let me look. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So Novartis does not mention this particular genotoxic impurities, because their product didn't have any. Q That wasn't my question. My question was where do they list any impurities. MR. NIGH: Form objection. Scope. A This is not the place where they would list their impurities. Q Is there any requirement that impurities that a drug manufacturer list impurities in its label, FDA labeling? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A I don't think there is any requirement, per se, to list it. You know, if you're looking at this label, you know, the only thing you see is the active compound. Q And that's my question, sir. Does any drug manufacturer list or identify impurities in its labeling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Are you referring to particular valsartan drug? A No, I'm talking about any drug. I said prior to June of 20 18, are you aware of any requirement that was established by the FDA or specified in USP that required nitrosamine-specific impurity testing. MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A So my answer is genotoxic compounds need to be identified per the ICH guideline M7, and I refer you to that. They need to be identified and they need to be reported and they need to be controlled and managed and, you know, the whole nine yards. And yes, they would have to be they would have to be measured and by various instrumentation: GC, GCMS, LCMS, they need to know the amount; and there was a limit on the amount allowable for various impurities genotoxic impurities, I should say. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, counsel. Are you in need of another court reporter or are you all set, Michelle? I was just told to

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

	Page 46		Page 48
1	criteria was for impurities under the valsartan USP	1	compound such as NDMA or NDEA, presupposes.
2	monograph in the summer of 2018?	2	Q Where does it say that in the USP
3	MR. NIGH: Objection. Form.	3	monograph?
4	Q The acceptance criteria was to produce	4	A You don't see that on the screen. If
5	the active compound and have impurities that are	5	it was part of the impurity profile, it would have
6	safe, that are inert and have a safe drug. That was	6	been mentioned. Since it's not, it means it
7	the requirement, and there were impurities that were	7	shouldn't have any.
8	listed that could potentially be formed and those	8	Q Today in 2021 what does the USP for
9	impurities are typically impurities that the brand	9	valsartan provide as to the impurity acceptance
10	discloses to the USP or USP also, you know, acquires	10	criteria?
11	it through their own research.	11	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.
12	MR. TRISCHLER: Can you put up what	12	A I haven't looked at the latest I
13	was premarked as Exhibit 17, please.	13	don't have access to that document but, you know, it
14	A Okay.	14	presupposes there is no genotoxic compound in
15	Q Have you seen this document before,	15	valsartan.
16	sir?	16	Q I'm puzzled by that, sir. Where is it
17	A Hang on a second. Let me this is	17	written anywhere in regulations, guidance or USP
18	you is yes I have.	18	acceptance criteria that these numbers presuppose no
19	Q What is it?	19	genotoxic impurities; does anyone say that other
20	A It's a USP, you know, monograph for	20	than Ron Najafi?
21	the basically, limits of different impurities and	21	MR. NIGH: Object to the colloquy and
22	different you know, the acceptance criteria from	22	object to scope.
23	USP's point of view.	23	MR. TRISCHLER: There was no colloquy.
24	Q And what's the acceptance criteria for	24	That was a question.
25	impurities under the USP standards as set forth in	25	MR. NIGH: No, but beginning part of
1			
1	Page 47		Page 49
1	Exhibit 17?	1	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That
2	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	2	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy.
2 3	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have,	2 3	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir.
2 3 4	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual	2 3 4	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose
2 3 4 5	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or	2 3 4 5	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are	2 3 4 5 6	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent. That's the only question I asked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and the language during the break. Q We don't have to. I would like that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and the language during the break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent. That's the only question I asked. MR. NIGH: Form objection. His answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and the language during the break. Q We don't have to. I would like that, but we don't have to do it right now, because during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent. That's the only question I asked. MR. NIGH: Form objection. His answer was responsive and I object to the colloquy. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and the language during the break. Q We don't have to. I would like that, but we don't have to do it right now, because during the last break I did some homework and I would ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 17? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A The acceptance criteria is to have, you know, basically each total each individual impurities not basically greater than .2 percent or not important .2 or .4, various impurities that are listed, and that would be the accepted criteria. Q If you go to the next page of Exhibit 17, in particular Table 1, it lists the specification and acceptance criteria for unknown impurities is 0.1 percent, correct? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. A Let me. Are you okay. Thank you for making it bigger. So, yeah. As you can see from this impurity profile, there is no genotoxic impurity mentioned here. Q I didn't ask you that, sir. I said, what's the acceptance was the criteria in the USP monograph for unknown impurities 0.1 percent. That's the only question I asked. MR. NIGH: Form objection. His answer was responsive and I object to the colloquy. You could answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that question started out with, "I'm puzzled." That is a colloquy. Q So this I will ask it again, sir. This idea that these acceptance criteria presuppose that there is no genotoxic impurities, where is that coming from? MR. NIGH: Objection. Q Where MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Where is that? MR. NIGH: Sorry. Scope. A I refer you to USP website and specifically there is a specific mention that for impurities known that are suspected carcinogen that are toxic, that are genotoxic, a quantitation and detection limit shall be established. This is USP. It is ICH guideline, ICH M7. It's FDA. You know, if you want me, I can specifically cite you page and the language during the break. Q We don't have to. I would like that, but we don't have to do it right now, because during the last break I did some homework and I would ask you to take a look at Exhibit 27. This is the USP

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. NIGH: Objection to the colloquy.	1	occurred:)
2	Q And you said this is the site where I	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:46.
3	can go to where there is going to be a statement and	3	We are back on the video record. You may proceed.
4	public pronouncement that the USP specifications are	4	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
5	minimum standards, so look at Exhibit 27 and tell me	5	Q Okay. We just took a break. Doctor,
6	where it says that, sir.	6	you said that you wanted to take some time to review
7	MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside	7	some material. Have you had the chance to do that?
8	the scope. Mischaracterizes his testimony. You can	8	A Okay.
9	answer.	9	Q Have you had the chance to look at
10	A I am not sure what you found on USP	10	whatever it was?
11	website, if you found the right page, but I will	11	A Yes, I did. I did.
12	point that to you later.	12	Q Hold on. That's the only question I
13	Q I'm asking you to take a look at	13	asked you right now. Did you talk to anyone while
14	Exhibit 27 and tell me if there is anything on	14	we were on that break?
15	Exhibit 27 that suggests that the USP monographs	15	A No, I didn't.
	specifications are minimum standards.	16	Q You reviewed while we were on that
16 17	A So, specifically monograph articulates	17	break?
	the quality expectation for medicines, including for	18	A Yes.
18			
19 20	its identity, strength and performance. They are also described a test to validate that in medicine	19 20	MR. NIGH: It wasn't really a break for Dr. Najafi.
		21	-
21	that its ingredients meet these criteria and	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	
22	basically, I would have to do my own search to show		off the record at your request?
23	you that specific language. I'm not sure if you	23	A I looked at the USP website.
24	have it in the documents you gave to me.	24	Q Okay. And did you find anything on
25	Q Exhibit 27 is a multipage document.	25	the USP website suggesting that the USP monographs
1	Page 51	1	Page 53
	Do you want to look at the whole thing and see if	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	were minimum standards?
2	there's anything in there to suggest that USP	2	A So I looked at exact same page that
3	requirements are minimum standards?	3	you're looking at, which is USP.org. It's about USP
4	A If you give me a second, I will look	5	public policy overview of monograph.
5	it up for you.	-	Q Did you find anything on that website that we marked the pages of which we marked
6	Q Sure. Let's go off the record.	6 7	Exhibit 27 that indicate the USP monographs are
7	A Let's go off line.		<u> </u>
8	MR. NIGH: Hold on. What are you	8	minimum standards?
9	looking up at this point, Dr. Najafi, the exhibit?	10	MR. NIGH: Form objection. That
10	You're looking at the exhibit or you're looking it	10	document is just one small part of the entire
11	up online?	11	USP.org. You can see the site map which has much
12	THE WITNESS: No. I want to go online	12	more than this little snippet from the website.
13	and look up something for him.	13	MR. TRISCHLER: Is that a proper
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Are we all okay to	14	objection?
15	go off the record?	15	MR. NIGH: It actually is, because you
16	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes.	16	misrepresented the document, so absolutely it is.
17	MR. NIGH: No. Do you want him to go	17	MR. TRISCHLER: You know better.
18	online and look this up for you, Mr. Trischler?	18	MR. NIGH: No. You misrepresented the
19	MR. TRISCHLER: The witness said he	19	document in your question just now.
20	wants to, so let's go off the record and we will	20	Q Sir, I'm just asking you to tell me
21	come back when he's ready.	21	where it is published that USP monographs are
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:32.	22	minimum standards. You made that representation.
23	We are going off the video record.	23	Where is it published?
24	(A recess was taken.)	24	A Yes. So I would like to point you to
25	(After the recess the following	25	No. 1 where it says (1) monograph in your exhibit.

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

	D 54		D 56
1	Page 54 Monograph articulates the quality expectations,	1	Page 56 nanograms. If they are genotoxic, no.
2	quality expectations to anybody familiar with the	2	Q I am going to switch gears for a
3	art; art of synthesis and manufacturing. It means	3	minute.
4	minimum expectation. That's my understanding and		A And you can refer you to my reference
5	that's my pure understanding.	5	on ICH guideline M7.
6	Those quality expectations, it's like, you	6	Q I didn't even ask you a question.
7	know, just like the bar that you have to have, you	7	A It's part of the previous question.
8	know, and that's a starting point for a medicine	8	Q You told me at the beginning of this
9	including for its identity, strength, purity,	9	deposition that you'd been retained in the valsartan
10	performance. They also describe the tests to	10	MDL to offer expert testimony right?
11	validate and so forth and so on, which is all you	11	A Yes.
12	can read it as well. That's the minimum standard.	12	Q Do you remember when you were first
13	Q And so if we go back to the monograph	13	retained in the valsartan matters?
14	itself which we had previously marked, I think, as	14	A Repeat your question, please.
15	Exhibit 17, you remember the table told us that	15	Q Do you remember when you were first
16	under that it is the next page. Thank you.	16	retained in the valsartan matters?
17	The table told us that the acceptance criteria	17	A I think I was retained sometime in
18	for unknown impurities was 0.1 percent, right?	18	2019; October, maybe September, October 2019.
19	A Right.	19	Q Can you identify the plaintiff's
20	Q And 0.1 percent, that translates to	20	lawyer or lawyers who retained you?
21	about 1,000 parts per million, right?	21	A Yes.
22	A Right.	22	Q Can you identify them?
23	Q And if we're talking about a 320	23	A They're on the phone. They're on the
24	milligram tablet and we wanted to convert that to	24	Zoom.
25	nanograms, that would be about 320,000 nanograms.	25	Q Well, I'd like you to tell me their
	Page 55		Page 57
	right?	1	names, please.
2	A Yes.	2	A Daniel, Rosemarie and Brad.
3	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	3	Q Daniel Nigh for the record, Daniel
4	Q So, according to USP, whether it's	4	Nigh, Rosemarie what is Rosemaries' last name?
5	standards or minimum, maximum or something in	5	A Bogdan.
6	between, it's acceptable to have a drug product with	6	Q And who is the third person you
7	unknown impurities of as high as 320 nanograms in a	7	mentioned?
8	320-milligram tablet, right?	8	A Brad Vaughn.
9	MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope.	9	Q I'm sorry. Did you say Vaughn?
			A 37 TH A C D 11 D ' 0
10	A USP also refers you to ICH guidelines	10	A Yes. It's the firm Pendley Bovin &
10 11	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic	11	Hoffman, I think, or
10 11 12	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero.	11 12	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been
10 11 12 13	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000	11 12 13	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in
10 11 12 13 14	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms?	11 12 13 14	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL?
10 11 12 13 14 15	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but	11 12 13 14 15	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved.	11 12 13 14 15 16	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't. Q An unknown impurity we just went	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed an opinion, so you can ask him. Go ahead.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't. Q An unknown impurity we just went through the table. An unknown impurity in a	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed an opinion, so you can ask him. Go ahead. Q Have you also been retained as a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't. Q An unknown impurity we just went through the table. An unknown impurity in a 320-milligram drug product can be as high as 320,000	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed an opinion, so you can ask him. Go ahead. Q Have you also been retained as a plaintiff's consultant in the ranitidine MDL?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't. Q An unknown impurity we just went through the table. An unknown impurity in a 320-milligram drug product can be as high as 320,000 nanograms, right?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed an opinion, so you can ask him. Go ahead. Q Have you also been retained as a plaintiff's consultant in the ranitidine MDL? A I have been retained as a consultant
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and genotoxic guidelines, and those genotoxic compounds could be as low as, you know, zero. Q But it could be as high as 320,000 nanograms? A Could be as high as that level, but the drug would not probably get approved. Q Well, it would meet USP acceptance criteria, right? A No, it wouldn't. Q An unknown impurity we just went through the table. An unknown impurity in a 320-milligram drug product can be as high as 320,000	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hoffman, I think, or Q All right. Have you also been retained by plaintiff's counsel as a consultant in the ranitidine MDL? MR. NIGH: Hold on. I am going to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: Can I ask on what basis? MR. NIGH: Actually, we have disclosed an opinion, so you can ask him. Go ahead. Q Have you also been retained as a plaintiff's consultant in the ranitidine MDL?

15 (Pages 54 - 57)

	Page 58		Page 60
1	cases, do you understand that claims have been	1	disclosed in the metformin litigation.
2	brought against well, strike that.	2	Q Aside from the valsartan MDL and the
3	Let me ask you this first: In the ranitidine	3	ranitidine MDL, are there any nitrosamine litigation
4	litigation, do you understand that claims have been	4	matters that you're working on where you have been
5	brought against brand and generic manufacturers based	5	retained to offer expert testimony?
6	on the presence of nitrosamines in	6	MR. NIGH: And I would instruct that
7	ranitidine-containing products?	7	if you were working on any other matters where you
8	A Could you repeat your question?	8	expert opinion hasn't been disclosed, that you not
9	Q Sure. In connection with your work in	9	answer that question, because it's privileged.
10	the ranitidine litigation, I'm simply asking you if	10	Q Can you answer that question, Doctor?
11	you have an understanding that in that lawsuit there	11	MR. NIGH: Can you ask the question,
12	have been claims brought against both brand and	12	any other litigations where his expert opinion has
13	generic drug manufacturers based on the presence of	13	been disclosed?
14	nitrosamines in drugs made by both brand	14	MR. TRISCHLER: I thought that was the
15	manufacturers and generic.	15	question I did ask. Do you want me to ask it again?
16	A I believe so.	16	MR. NIGH: No, you actually didn't ask
17	Q Do you know how many drug	17	that way, but if you ask that way, then we don't
18	manufacturers and drug suppliers have been sued by	18	have to worry about the privilege objection.
19	plaintiffs in the ranitidine MDL stating their	19	Q Other than ranitidine and valsartan,
20	products contain nitrosamines?	20	have you been retained by plaintiffs in other
21	A There are many, many. I can't tell	21	litigation where your opinions have been disclosed
22	you.	22	to provide testimony on matters relating to
23	Q Is the number more than 75?	23	nitrosamines?
24	A I don't think so.	24	A So we are a contract lab and, you
25	Q More than 65?	25	know, less than 10 percent of our business comes
	Page 59		Page 61
1	A I don't think so.	1	from litigation support but, yes, we have been
2	Q More than 50?	2	retained by other firms regarding nitrosamines.
3	A I don't think so.	3	Q And what other firms would that be?
4	Q Can you give me an estimate of how	4	MR. NIGH: Again, was there an opinion
5	many drug manufacturers and drug suppliers you	5	disclosed in any other litigation other than
6	understand to be part of that case?	6	ranitidine and valsartan, any expert reports?
7	A Probably a dozen.	7	Otherwise, this is privileged material and I would
8	Q Do you know how many drug	8	instruct you not to answer.
9	manufacturers and drug suppliers are part of this	9	MR. TRISCHLER: I'm just trying to ask
10	case, the valsartan MDL?	10	a predicate question, whether there are any others.
11	A I don't, perhaps a dozen.Q In addition to the ranitidine MDL and	11	MR. NIGH: He just said no. I don't
12		12	know if you heard him.
13	this lawsuit, is it true you're also working for	13	MR. TRISCHLER: I did not.
14 15	plaintiffs' lawyers in the metformin MDL? MR. NIGH: Form objection. I am going	14 15	A I did not disclose any expert opinion on any other matters.
1			-
16 17	to instruct him not to answer. MR. TRISCHLER: What's the basis,	16 17	Q Except ranitidine and valsartan, that's your testimony?
18	Daniel, just so I have it on the record?	18	A Valsartan we have not disclosed any
19	MR. NIGH: If he is a consulting	19	expert opinion either. We have not finalized our
20	witness, there is no opinion that's been disclosed	20	expert opinion as of yet.
21	of metformin.	21	Q Well, that's news to me, because I
22	MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I don't know.	22	thought you did file a declaration that brings us
23	I'm asking. Are you suggesting he's not a disclosed	23	here today that contains some opinions and that's
1	expert in that case?	24	what we're here to talk about.
1 //1	expert in that case:	_ - ⊤	man were note to talk about.
24 25	MR. NIGH: There's been no experts	25	In any event, I think what you're suggesting

ise 1	L:19-md-02875-RMB-SAK Document 2 PageID: 67		
	Page 62		Page 64
1	to me is that you may have valsartan at a later date	1	Q Can you tell us what total revenues
2	and you may have other reports and other opinions; is	2	have been generated by Emery Pharma by your work as
3	that what you're telling me?	3	a paid consultant for plaintiffs in nitrosamine
4	A That's correct.	4	litigation?
5	Q My only question only thing I am	5	A I don't have the exact number, but
6	trying to get to the bottom of is whether there is	6	it's around 200.
7	any other litigation matters involving nitrosamines	7	MR. NIGH: No, no, no. Sorry. Sorry.
8	that you have been involved in where you've	8	I would object. You can ask what percentage of his
9	disclosed an expert opinion other than ranitidine	9	revenue over the last few years, but you can't ask
10	and valsartan?	10	total revenue numbers.
11	A No.	11	Q Who would
12	Q The company that you own and operate,	12	MR. NIGH: If you want to ask for this
13	as I understand it, is called Najafi Pharma Inc; is	13	litigation, that's fair, but you can't ask for all
14	that right?	14	litigations.
15	A Najafi Pharma Inc.	15	A No, no.
16	Q Najafi Pharma. Sorry about that.	16	MR. TRISCHLER: And that's not even a
17	A Same as my last name.	17	proper instruction for you to give, so just keep
18	Q Yes, and Najafi Pharma does businesses	18	putting on the robe as well as acting as an
19	as Emery Pharma?	19	advocate. It's improper, but it doesn't appear that
20	A Yes, that's correct.	20	you're ready to stop.
21	Q Is Najafi Pharma Inc. a corporation?	21	Q Did you who would have the
22	A Yes, that's correct.	22	information about your company about what revenues
23	Q Is it publicly or privately held?	23	Emery Pharma has generated from work in nitrosamine
24	A It's a privately held corporation.	24	litigation?
25	Q Who are the shareholders of that	25	MR. NIGH: Again, this goes outside
	Page 63		Page 65
1	corporation?	1	the scope of what is allowable. You can ask about
2	A My wife and me.	2	valsartan and the revenues for valsartan, but not
3	Q How much of the stock do you own?	3	for all nitrosamine litigations.
4	A Fifty-fifty.	4	MR. TRISCHLER: Only thing I've asked
5	Q I presume your wife then owns the	5	for the name of a person at the company who would
6	other 50 percent?	6	have that information.
7	A That's correct.	7	A I have that information.
8	Q And what is her name?	8	Q So you know the exact dollar amount?
9	A Kelly Faranghi.	9	I thought you said a few minutes ago you didn't know
10	Q Do you mind spelling that for my	10	it.
11	benefit?	11	A No, I didn't say that.
12	A Sure. It's F as in Frank	12	Q Let me ask about some of the records
13	A-R-H-A-N-G-I G-H-I, and first name K-E-L-L-Y.	13	that I received specific to your valsartan work.
14	Q Since you and Kelly are the sole	14	MR. TRISCHLER: Can you display what I
15	shareholders of Najafi Pharma Inc, I assume, then,	15	premarked as Exhibit No. 2, please?
16	that all revenues generated after expenses go to you	16 17	A Yes. O Exhibit No. 2 looks to be some form of
17 18	and your wife? A That's correct.	18	Q Exhibit No. 2 looks to be some form of
19	Q In connection with your work as a	19	a retainer agreement. Do I understand that correctly?
20	litigation consultant in nitrosamine litigation, are	20	A That's correct.
20	the fees that you generate and the income that you	20	Q And is this the retainer agreement
22	receive paid to you through the company or is this	22	that confirms your engagement
23	litigation work something that you do independent of	23	A That's correct.
24	Emery Pharma?	24	Q You've got to let me finish the
25	A No, it's paid through the company.	25	question, sir; confirms your engagement as a
	11 110, 110 paid through the company.		question, on, commins your engagement as a

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

	Page 66		Page 68
1	litigation consultant for the plaintiffs in the	1	manufacturing practices, right?
2	valsartan litigation?	2	A Yes.
3	A That's right.	3	Q What does GLP stand for?
4	Q It looks like, if we go to page 4 of	4	A Good laboratory practices.
5	this exhibit, it looks like it was signed in October	5	Q And CGMP and GLP guidelines that you
6	of 2019. Do I have that right?	6	reference in this retainer guidelines specific
7	A That's correct.	7	that would have been developed specific by you for
8	Q And somewhere in here I think you	8	your lab or are you referencing or intending to
9	requested or your company requested a retainer of	9	reference general standards for GMP and GLP?
10	\$5,000; is that right?	10	A So Emery Pharma is an FDA-registered,
11	A I guess so, yes.	11	FDA inspected GLP, GMP compliant laboratory and we
12	Q Is that your usual retainer or would	12	do perform work that is under GLP, GMP to those
13	that be something that was different for this case?	13	standards. It means that you maintain good
14	A It varies.	14	laboratory notebooks. It means that your
15	Q Was that retainer paid, if you know?	15	equipment that their products is going to be
16	A Yes, it had.	16	tested. It's qualified. It's calibrated. So those
17	Q And the retainer agreement says I	17	are some of the things that, you know, this sentence
18	have to find the right spot, so bear with me.	18	effectively promises.
19	A All right.	19	Q And I understand that. I guess my
20	Q I'm looking at page 3, if you could	20	question was, are the guidelines that you are
21	turn there. Thank you. There is a paragraph under	21	referring to in this retainer a guideline of general
22	background and scope of work. Do you see that, sir		applicability for all registered labs or are they
23	A Yes, I do.	23	specifically developed for your lab?
24	Q And it says you're being Hollis Law	24	A No, there are a lot of general labs
25	is engaging Ron Najafi as a consultant expert	25	that contract labs could follow GLP, GMP; could be
	Page 67		Page 69
1	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities	1	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with
2	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF.	2	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so
3	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct.	2 3	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab.
2 3 4	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA?	2 3 4	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP
2 3 4 5	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity.	2 3 4 5	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer
2 3 4 5 6	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for?	2 3 4 5 6	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement?
2 3 4 5 6 7	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it	2 3 4 5 6 7	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing will be performed under CGMP/GLP."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH. Q And you go on to, in this retainer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing will be performed under CGMP/GLP." A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH. Q And you go on to, in this retainer agreement, state that if any testing of valsartan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing will be performed under CGMP/GLP." A Right. Q Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH. Q And you go on to, in this retainer agreement, state that if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered in the future, such testing is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing will be performed under CGMP/GLP." A Right. Q Did I read that correctly? A That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH. Q And you go on to, in this retainer agreement, state that if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered in the future, such testing is going to be performed under the guidelines. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witness and Emery Pharma for laboratory activities relating to valsartan NDMA, NDEA, NBMA and DMF. A That's correct. Q What is NBMA? A That's another nitrosamine impurity. Q Do you know what NBMA stands for? A Not off the top of my head, but it is it could be butyl nitrosol n-methyl butyl nitrosamine. It could be n-methyl for amino, so I have to check with my chemistry team what is part of the proposal. Q Is part of the proposal DMF; what is DMF? A DMF stands for dimethyl fumarate. Q And the second part of that or second paragraph under that background and scope section of the retainer agreement says, "While not currently in the scope of work, if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered by clients in the future, such testing will be performed under CGMP/GLP." A Right. Q Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compliant with GLP, GMP and maybe not compliant with GLP, GMP and may do things under R&D condition, so it really depends on the lab. Q And who published the CGMP and GLP guidelines that are referenced in your retainer agreement? A This particular are you referring to this particular retainer agreement? Q Well, yes, because that's the only retainer agreement I have. A I put it together. Q I know you put it together. A I have my signature on it. Q You're not following me. Hold on. You're not following my question, sir. My question was who has published the guidelines that you make reference to in this? A The guidelines are set by the FDA, by European medical authorities, by ICH. Q And you go on to, in this retainer agreement, state that if any testing of valsartan pills is ordered in the future, such testing is

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

	Page 70		Page 72
1	Q Prior to the time that you entered	1	chain of custody and they get it tested, and I
2	into this retainer agreement in October of 2019, had	2	honestly don't know. I don't pay attention to who
3	your lab ever conducted any testing of	3	the manufacturers are.
4	valsartan-containing medications produced by Mylan	4	Q So your lab has done valsartan testing
5	Pharmaceuticals?	5	of valsartan medications since entering into this
6	A The answer is we have conducted	6	retainer agreement, correct?
7	valsartan testing prior to this retainer agreement.	7	A We have done lots of valsartan testing
8	Q And was the valsartan testing that you	8	prior to this agreement and we've done more
9	conducted, was it using valsartan tablets produced	9	valsartan testing post this agreement.
10	by Mylan?	10	Q And if I understand your testimony
11	A I don't recall.	11	I am going to get into the details of it more, but
12	Q Was the valsartan and right now I	12	if I understand your testimony so far, what you're
13	am only asking you about testing you did prior to	13	suggesting is that as you sit here today providing
14	entering this agreement. Was the valsartan lab	14	testimony under oath, you're not able to tell us
15	testing that was done at Emery prior to the entry of	15	whose valsartan product you tested in terms of who
16	this agreement, did it involve any valsartan	16	the manufacturer was?
17	containing medications produced by ZHP?	17	A No, I don't have that information.
18	A I do not recall.	18	Q Would there be records available in
19	Q Did it involve what I'll call the	19	your lab records that would tell you that?
20	pre-retainer testing, okay?	20	A Yes, there would be records available
21	A Right.	21	at our lab that would tell me exactly what the
22	Q Did any valsartan testing that you	22	manufacturers are.
23	made reference to that was conducted at the Emery	23	Q When did your lab first start doing
24	lab involve any other valsartan-containing	24	valsartan testing?
25	medications produced by Hetero?	25	A I think around maybe May of April,
	Page 71		Page 73
1	A I do not recall and if I did, it would	1	May of 2019.
2	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you	2	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab
2 3	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm.	2 3	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of
2 3 4	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did	2 3 4	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019?
2 3 4 5	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve	2 3 4 5	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by
2 3 4 5 6	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products?	2 3 4 5 6	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan medications produced by Mylan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you know, in fairness, I think I am entitled to know who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan medications produced by Mylan? A I do not recall. We test valsartan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you know, in fairness, I think I am entitled to know who it was in order to determine whether there is any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan medications produced by Mylan? A I do not recall. We test valsartan. We assign numbers to pills. We have very good chain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you know, in fairness, I think I am entitled to know who it was in order to determine whether there is any claim of privilege.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan medications produced by Mylan? A I do not recall. We test valsartan. We assign numbers to pills. We have very good chain of custody. We typically the operators who do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you know, in fairness, I think I am entitled to know who it was in order to determine whether there is any claim of privilege. A It was a law firm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I do not recall and if I did, it would be privileged. It would be under a different, you know, agreement with another law firm. Q Did any of the testing that you did prior to this retainer agreement involve Aurobindo-manufactured products? A I do not recall. I don't know. Q Do you recall if any of the pre-retainer valsartan testing done at your laboratory involved any valsartan-containing medications produced by any of the defendants to this litigation? A I do not recall the manufacturer's name that we tested prior to this agreement. It could have been any one of those companies. Q Since you entered into this retainer agreement and became a consultant in this valsartan litigation in October of 2019, have you ever conducted any lab testing on any valsartan medications produced by Mylan? A I do not recall. We test valsartan. We assign numbers to pills. We have very good chain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	May of 2019. Q What was the reason that your lab started to do valsartan testing in April or May of 2019? A I think it was initiated primarily by the recall of valsartan products. Q And is it something that your lab did on its own initially or were you retained by somebody to do that testing in April and May of 2019? A We were retained. Q And who retained you in April or May of 2019 to do that testing? MR. NIGH: Again, if this is privileged information and has nothing to do with this case, then I would instruct you not to answer and waive whoever else's privilege you have. A It is confidential and privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I think you know, in fairness, I think I am entitled to know who it was in order to determine whether there is any claim of privilege.

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

	D 74		D. gc
1	Page 74 supplier; do you know?	1	Page 76 what the reports disclosed, just whether reports
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A It was a law firm representing	1	what the reports disclosed, just whether reports were generated.
3	plaintiffs.	2 3	MR. NIGH: Again, privileged.
4	Q Is that firm that retained you in	4	MR. TRISCHLER: So you're instructing
5	April or May of 2191 of the law firms that are	5	him not to answer that question?
6	involved in the valsartan MDL?	6	MR. NIGH: Yes.
7	A I don't know.	7	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
8	Q Do you know if the lawyer for the firm	8	Q Were there established lab protocols
9	that retained you is involved in the valsartan MDL?	9	that Emery had created pursuant to which the April,
10	A We do the testing. We know the	10	May 2019 testing was conducted?
11	nitrosamine. We know the chemistry. We don't	11	MR. NIGH: Again, privileged.
12	really get involved with, you know, sort of the	12	MR. TRISCHLER: See, Dan, I disagree
13	legal aspects of what's going on.	13	with you there. If there is an established protocol
14	Q I understand. My question was	14	that they have that's part of their everyday, work I
15	simply and if you don't know you can tell me you	15	think I'm clearly entitled to that. I'm not asking
16	don't know, but my question	16	him the results of the testing, but just the
17	A I don't know. I don't know, honestly.	17	protocols that were followed. Those are lab
18	They may be involved with MDL. They may not.	18	procedures. I don't think that's not privileged.
19	Q And so are you able to describe for me	19	MR. NIGH: You know, for the
20	what type of testing you were retained to do in	20	certification he doesn't rely on testing of the
21	April or May of 2019?	21	valsartan pills at all whatsoever in any of his
22	MR. NIGH: Let me in for a second	22	testing that he has done, so it's outside the scope
23	here. I am going to object. I think all this	23	and privileged.
24	information is privileged. I appreciate, Clem,	24	MR. TRISCHLER: And I don't want to
25	Mr. Trischler, trying to understand who the parties	25	argue relevancy or privilege with you right now. I
23		23	
1	Page 75	1	Page 77
1	are and I think Dr. Najafi just doesn't know whether	1	am just trying to understand the facts so that we
2	or not they are related to MDL. I think we do know.	2	can seek the information later, but the fact that
3	It has no bearing on any of plaintiff's counsel and	3	he's not relying on it for whatever opinions he
4	no relation to this MDL, but I don't think that he	4	intends to offer at this stage of the proceedings is
5 6	knows that. Why you ask him sitting here today. MR. TRISCHLER: I understand and I am	5	not determinative. For all we know there may be
7	not trying to be unfair, Daniel. I'm just trying	6	information that undermines his opinions, but we
	to if we need to raise the issue, I'm trying to	8	don't know until we have an opportunity to discover it.
8 9	understand some of the basic facts of what was done		
10	and when so that and sort of making a record. I		Again, the only question pending at this point you've made your objections where you
1	<u> </u>	10	
11 12	assume if we get into it later, I don't think	11 12	think they are appropriate and I am not arguing any of them, Dan. I am just asking you to reconsider
1	there's any dispute that we ought to be entitled to		
13	know the basic facts of what he did so we can argue	13 14	the objection to the question I just asked about whether there are existing lab protocols pursuant to
15	relevance and privilege to the Court, and that's all	15	which this work in 2019 was done. I don't think
16	I am really trying to do here. I think the only question pending at		
1	• • • • •	16	that's privileged at all.
17	this point is are you able to describe the type of	17	MR. NIGH: I think you asked that
18 19	testing that was done in April or May of 2019.	18	question a little bit differently and I think he can
	MR. NIGH: No, I think that that's	19	answer that question. MP. TRISCHI EP: Tell me how you think
20	privileged.	20	MR. TRISCHLER: Tell me how you think
21	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	21	it should be asked differently and I will accept
22 23	Q Were reports of whatever testing	22 23	that. MP NIGH: No no Lthink you asked
	was done, were reports generated?	24	MR. NIGH: No, no. I think you asked it differently. My understanding is you're asking
124			
24 25	MR. NIGH: Again, privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, I didn't ask	25	do they have guidelines as to how this testing would

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

	Page 78		Page 80
1	be conducted. That's different.	1	answer about any testing that he has done outside of
2	MR. TRISCHLER: Well, that was	2	this litigation.
3	MS. HILTON: Not developed for the	3	MR. TRISCHLER: Also your instruction
4	testing, but do they have guidelines that were in	4	applies to what he described and what we have been
5	place or existing at the time of the testing.	5	calling as the April/May 2019 testing. I think he's
6	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes. That's what I'm	6	also indicated they have been testing valsartan on
7	looking for.	7	an ongoing basis.
8	A So what's the question?	8	MR. NIGH: That's correct, and my
9	Q The question was at the time this	9	instruction would apply equally to that testing that
10	testing was done in April or May of 2019, did your	10	has no basis in this MDL.
11	lab have existing protocols and guidelines in place	11	MR. TRISCHLER: So your position, just
12	that would have governed that testing.	12	so I'm clear and I don't have to belabor the record,
13	A We follow several guidelines, several	13	is that we can agree that the witness operates a
14	procedures from FDA on testing of, basically,	14	research lab that's done testing on
15	nitrosamines, and that's what we use. So it's	15	valsartan-containing medication for nitrosamine
16	established testing guideline, you know, with the	16	content on a fairly consistent basis since April and
17	full following the same guideline procedure	17	May of 2019, some of which may include
18	controls.	18	valsartan-containing medications produced by the
19	Q Do you have any information	19	defendant in this litigation, some of which may
20	whatever the valsartan that was tested in April or	20	include valsartan containing medications produced by
21	may of 2019, do you have any idea where it came	21	manufacturers and suppliers that are not parties to
22	from?	22	this litigation, but your instruction is a global
23	MR. NIGH: I am going to object to	23	one that all of that testing is off limits,
24	privilege and instruct him not to answer. Actually,	24	according to the plaintiff and that the witness will
25	I think we have gone far beyond. I think we are	25	be instructed not to answer any questions at all
	Page 79		D 01
	_		Page 81
1	going to have to brief this at this point,	1	about it. Is that your position?
2	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer	2	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he
2 3	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged	2 3	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been
2 3 4	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing	2 3 4	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my
2 3 4 5	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged.	2 3 4 5	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I
2 3 4	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree.	2 3 4 5 6	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further
2 3 4 5 6 7	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying	2 3 4 5 6 7	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you about your work in the valsartan MDL. In addition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that contain NDMA OR NDEA but the generic equivalent of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you about your work in the valsartan MDL. In addition to that retainer, I wanted to ask you about some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that contain NDMA OR NDEA but the generic equivalent of Diovan or Exforge because they contained NDMA, NDEA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you about your work in the valsartan MDL. In addition to that retainer, I wanted to ask you about some documents that I received. I received a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that contain NDMA OR NDEA but the generic equivalent of Diovan or Exforge because they contained NDMA, NDEA It's as limited as to that. So whatever tests that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you about your work in the valsartan MDL. In addition to that retainer, I wanted to ask you about some documents that I received. I received a few invoices from your firm, Doctor, and I've had those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to have to brief this at this point, Mr. Trischler, because even his last answer contained, you know, essentially privileged information. Anything that has to do with testing that has no nexus to this litigation is privileged. MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. I disagree. You've disclosed this witness as a testifying expert. He's now indicated that he conducted valsartan testing to ascertain nitrosamine levels. He did it in 2019. He's been doing it on an ongoing basis and the suggestion has nothing to do with this litigation. I think it has no factual merit whatsoever, no disrespect intended. So we obviously have a disagreement, but if MR. NIGH: We do, and I am going to instruct him not to answer any further. I would just redirect to his opinion. It's simply not how NDMA, how much products have NDMA. His opinion boils down to valsartan-containing products that contain NDMA OR NDEA but the generic equivalent of Diovan or Exforge because they contained NDMA, NDEA It's as limited as to that. So whatever tests that he's done in other litigations, there is no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about it. Is that your position? MR. NIGH: I think he's answered he doesn't know which manufacturer, so that's been established already right. Other than that, my instruction would be no further testimony, and I would instruct him not to answer about any further testimony about testing that he has done, since none of that testing was done for the MDL on behalf of the MDL and has no nexus to the MDL. Actually, if we need to brief it, we can. MR. TRISCHLER: Right. I will just say we disagree. I think it's clearly relevant and probative, but we can save it for a future date. I don't want to belabor the record on it, so let me move on. MR. NIGH: I understand. BY MR. TRISCHLER: Q You talked about or I was asking you about your work in the valsartan MDL. In addition to that retainer, I wanted to ask you about some documents that I received. I received a few invoices from your firm, Doctor, and I've had those invoices marked Exhibits 3, 4, 5 and 6, okay.

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	A Okay.	1	dated February 1, 2022, and you've got a bill for
2	Q It looks like Exhibit 3 is an invoice	2	about 15 hours of time?
3	that's dated August 2, 2001, correct?	3	A It's, again, reviewing for today's
4	A That's correct.	4	call and refreshing my memory on the various
5	Q This that August invoice you've	5	citations that I'm quoting and all of that.
6	submitted a bill for six hours of time for document	6	Q Right. So it looks like you spent
7	reviews that were apparently done in July of last	7	about 15 hours
8	year; is that right?	8	A Right.
9	A Right.	9	Q preparing for this deposition?
10	Q And then Exhibit 4 is dated	10	A Exactly.
11	January 28, 2022; just last week, right?	11	Q And when you were preparing for this
12	A Right.	12	deposition, who were you preparing with?
13	Q And there you billed, submitted an	13	A Myself
14	invoice for two hours worth of time that you spent	14	Q And
15	back in October of last year, right?	15	A and I also spent some time with the
16	A Not October, November.	16	plaintiff's lawyer discussing the deposition.
17	Q Well, it says class certification	17	Q And which lawyer would that be on the
18	review October 25, 2021?	18	plaintiff's side?
19	A Right. Right. Exactly.	19	A Rosemarie, Daniel, Brad and Layne.
20	Q So what does that mean, class	20	Q So I assume these invoices, then, that
21	certification review October 25, 2021?	21	we have that we marked as exhibits 3 through 6 would
22	A So this is the pertains to my	22	accurately reflect the time that you spent and that
23	expert report on the class certification primarily.	23	you devoted to this valsartan project since you were
24	Q I wasn't sure. Is there some I	24	retained in October of 2019, right?
25	don't know what "class certification review" means.	25	A This is not all of them. This is
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Page 83 What did you do over those hours?	1	Page 85 primarily just specific to this expert report that
1 2	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were	1 2	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did.
1	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of		primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time
2 3 4	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that	2	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in
2 3	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and	2 3	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So
2 3 4 5 6	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that	2 3 4 5 6	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket?
2 3 4 5	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands	2 3 4 5	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date? A Right, but this is reviewing a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it? A Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date? A Right, but this is reviewing a lot of the citations, reviewing the you know, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it? A Yes, I do. Q All right. And so this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date? A Right, but this is reviewing a lot of the citations, reviewing the you know, just preparing. This is just preparation for today's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it? A Yes, I do. Q All right. And so this is a declaration that has your name and your signature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date? A Right, but this is reviewing a lot of the citations, reviewing the you know, just preparing. This is just preparation for today's call.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it? A Yes, I do. Q All right. And so this is a declaration that has your name and your signature attached to it, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What did you do over those hours? A The expert report that you were looking at earlier, essentially, review of documents, review you know, putting that together, putting the expert report together and putting the package of citations and everything that needs to be that you all have in your hands together. Q Okay. And then the other invoice that I have is Exhibit 5. It's dated January 31, 2022, which is just a few days ago, right? A Right. Q And you've got two more hours that you billed for review of class certification final declaration review in November on November 4, 2021, right? A Right. Q I guess you spent two hours reviewing that declaration on that date? A Right, but this is reviewing a lot of the citations, reviewing the you know, just preparing. This is just preparation for today's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primarily just specific to this expert report that we did. Q Well, I am interested in all the time and work and billing that you have submitted in connection with your working in valsartan MDL. So this is just a drop-in the bucket? A This is a portion of the bills that we have given. We haven't shared all the bills. Q Why not? MR. NIGH: That's a legal question. We objected and provided the reasons for that objection. His opinion here today is limited on his class certification and not his liability on things. Q So let me ask you about the declaration itself. You have I marked the declaration as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have a copy of it there or do you need to have the A I have it. Q You have it? A Yes, I do. Q All right. And so this is a declaration that has your name and your signature

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

	Page 86		Page 88
1	Emery Pharma, is it?	1	Q No.
2	A No, it's not.	2	A What's your question?
3	Q It's not on your personal letterhead,	3	Q I am trying to ask you a question. In
4	is it?	4	your declaration do you offer the opinion that the
5	A No, it's not.	5	presence of any nitrosamine impurity in a generic
6	Q Was this something that you personally	6	drug product renders that product not equivalent to
7	prepared or was this prepared by the lawyers?	7	the reference listed drug?
8	A No, I personally prepared the	8	A Absolutely.
9	document.	9	Q And do you agree that those are the
10	Q Every word of this is your words?	10	opinions that you set forth in your declaration and
11	A Yes, it is.	11	that you intend to offer in this matter?
12	Q No help from the lawyers?	12	A Absolutely.
13	A No help.	13	Q Are there any others?
14	Q And as I read the declaration, it	14	A No generic drug should contain any
15	appeared to me that there were two opinions	15	mutagenic compound, particularly NDMA and NDEA and
16	contained in this declaration. The first one was	16	essentially, any nitroso compound. They are cohorts
17	that you suggest that NDMA and NDEA should not be	17	of concerns and their limits should be zero.
18	present in any drug, am I correct that in stating	18	Q And that was the first opinion that we
19	that sort of opinion that you hold and you expressed	19	went over. Other than those two opinions, are there
20	in this declaration?	20	any others that you intend to offer?
21	A Please repeat your question. I lost	21	A I might have opinions to offer in my
22	track.	22	full expert report which will be coming shortly, but
23	Q Yeah. I was just trying to summarize	23	what you see for now is what I think I have, but I
24	what I think your opinions are that are contained in	24	will have other opinions as well.
25	this declaration and I want to make sure I got it	25	Q I'm sure we will all wait with bated
	Page 87		Page 89
1	correct. So what I was saying was	1	breath for the next report, but at this time at this
2	A Yeah.	2	state of litigation, those two opinions are the
3	Q in this declaration	3	stated opinions that you intend to offer; is that
4	A Yeah.	4	right?
5	Q you state that NDMA and NDEA should	5	A Yes.
6	not be present in any drug. Is that an opinion that	6	MR. TRISCHLER: Dan, can we take a
7	you hold?	7	five minute comfort break?
8	A NDMA and NDEA are carcinogenic	8	MR. NIGH: Yes. Let's take ten
9	mutagenic compound that should not be present in any	9	minutes.
10	drug period.	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:41.
11	Q And then the second opinion that I saw	11	This concludes Media No. 2.
12	in this declaration was that you suggest that the	12	(A recess was taken.)
13	presence of a nitrosamine impurity in a generic drug	13	(After the recess the following
14	product renders that	14	occurred:)
15	A Could you point to that? Your screen	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
16	is frozen.	16	12:03. This begins Media No. 3. You may proceed.
17	Q Point to what, sir?	17	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
18	A Point to you're showing me a	18	Q Doctor, allow me to cover a few
19	document on this screen.	19	additional background issues with you, if I can. As
20	Q No, I wasn't. We can take the	20	I understand it, your background and education is in
21	document down.	21	the field of chemistry, correct?
22	A Okay.	22	A That's correct.
23	Q You have the report in front of you.	23	Q I was provided with a copy of a CV.
24	A I thought you were quoting from my	24	I've marked it as Exhibit 7.
25	declaration, but go ahead.	25	A Okay.

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

	Page 90		Page 92
1	MR. TRISCHLER: Can someone put it up	1	A Correct.
2	for me, please. Can you go to the next page.	2	Q Good. And what I remember reading is
3	Q If you need more time, tell me and	3	that you obtained a bachelor's and master's in
4	continue, please.	4	organic chemistry from the University of San
5	A I am familiar with my CV.	5	Francisco, right?
6	Q All right. And is this a what we	6	A Correct.
7	marked as Exhibit 7 a true, correct and accurate	7	Q And I think it was in 1998 you got
8	summary of your qualifications and credentials?	8	your PhD in organic chemistry from U.C. Davis?
9	A That's correct.	9	A That's correct.
10	Q In the copy of the CV that I received,	10	Q And after completing your PhD you went
11	I did not see any list of publications. Do you	11	to work as a research scientist for a few chemical
12	maintain a list of publications?	12	and pharmaceutical companies before starting your
13	A It should be. It should be there.	13	own business around 1996?
14	Q Can you flip through? Maybe this is a	14	A That's correct.
15	different one than what I had with the report.	15	Q And the company that you started in
16	A Maybe this is a different one.	16	1996 was a company called CP Lab Safety; do I have
17	Q Is that the end of the document there?	17	that right?
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: There are 13 pages.	18	A That's correct.
19	Do you want me to keep flipping through or do you	19	MR. TRISCHLER: You could take the CV
20	want me to when you're ready for the next one?	20	down, sir.
21	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes. Keep flipping	21	Q How long did you run CP Lab Safety?
22	through, because if it's more than five pages, then	22	A Probably around two years, two or
23	it's different than one I have.	23	three years.
24	A Now you see the publication.	24	Q Did CP Lab Safety develop or
25	Q Yes. Okay. The copy that I was	25	manufacture drug products?
	Page 91		Page 93
1	looking at did not have that. All right. Thank	1	A No.
2	you.	2	Q Did CP Labs hold any new drug
3	A What is your question?	3	applications?
4	Q As far as you know, this version of	4	A No.
5	the CV we marked as Exhibit 7 is current, up to date	5	Q Did CP Labs hold any abbreviated drug
6	and accurate, right?	6	applications.
7	A Right, as long as you can show me	7	A No.
8	everything else, because it sounded like you were	8	Q Did CP Labs hold any or were they
9	missing some parts of it. I only see two	9	responsible for any drug master files?
10	publications on your exhibit.	10	A No.
11	Q Well, we said we can flip through the	11	Q While at CP Labs, were you or was your
12	rest if you like. That's why I asked if you wanted	12	company at all involved in the synthesis,
13	to.	13	manufacture or testing of API for drug products?
14	A Yes, flip through it.	14	A No.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is page 6,	15	Q At CP Labs did your company have any
16	Doctor. Just let me know when you're ready for the	16	role in the formulation, synthesis, manufacture,
17	next page.	17	production or testing of angio tensin receptor
18	THE WITNESS: Yes. Go ahead. Go	18	blocker medications like valsartan?
1	.11. W III. 1. 1. Ol W	19	A So at CP lab I started another
19	ahead. Yes. Uh-huh. Okay. Yes.		
l	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: There's two more	20	pharmaceutical company called NovaBay
19		20 21	pharmaceutical company called NovaBay Pharmaceuticals and that is immediately following CP
19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: There's two more		
19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: There's two more pages.	21	Pharmaceuticals and that is immediately following CP
19 20 21 22	pages. A Okay. I think you have everything.	21 22	Pharmaceuticals and that is immediately following CP Lab and that company effectively was incubated

24 (Pages 90 - 93)

	Page 94		Page 96
1	And prior to CP Lab, I worked at a pharmaceutical	1	evaporation of solvents from the fume. It's an
2	company that was heavily involved in GMP	2	environmental product that prevents pollution
3	manufacturing and drug product, drug substance and	3	outside of laboratory. It prevents evaporation of
4	that one of the companies I worked for, Applied	4	toxic substances, including mutagenic potentially
5	Biosystems, in fact, you know, we had a challenging	5	mutagenic compounds going into the atmosphere and
6	impurity that was causing a lot of problem and I was	6	into the neighboring localities. And ecological
7	responsible for finding that impurity and solving a	7	funnel is in use right now in, I would say,
8	major problem that led to an award, you know,	8	90 percent of pharmaceutical companies worldwide.
9	amongst 1,300 PhDs. This is back in 1994.	9	Q When did you start NovaBay?
10	So but, you know, I don't have to have	10	A NovaBay was incubated within CP Lab
11	experience in, you know, ARBs to know the molecule.	11	around probably 1998; '97, '98 and officially it
12	I can synthesize ARB personally.	12	became a company in the year 2000, and I took the
13	Q Are you finished?	13	company public in 2007 and I left. I sold my shares
14	A Yes, I am.	14	and left NovaBay in 2015 and started Emery Pharma.
15	Q All right. Then let me see if I can	15	And Emery Pharma, actually, again was incubated
16	get you to answer my question. At CP Labs did your	16	within NovaBay starting at 2011.
17	company have any role in the formulation, synthesis,	17	Q Am I correct that NovaBay produces
18	manufacture, production or testing of ARBs like	18	antibacterial products for the eye care and skincare
19	valsartan?	19	markets?
20	A No. At CP lab we did not have any ARB	20	A That's correct. That's some of their
21	manufacture.	21	products.
22	Q You said that if I can unfold some	22	Q While you were at NovaBay, did the
23	of that commentary that you gave me, was that CP	23	company do any work on the formulation synthesis,
24	Labs was eventually folded into NovaBay	24	manufacture, production or testing of ARBs?
25	Pharmaceuticals, another company that you started?	25	A We did not manufacture, synthesize,
	Page 95		Page 97
1	A No. CP Lab is, you know, existing	1	formulate any ARBs at NovaBay.
2	company right now and it's a standalone company.	2	Q Did while at NovaBay, did that
3	NovaBay was incubated within CP Lab and NovaBay got	3	company ever prepare or submit an abbreviated new
4	the second of th	١.,	
	its start from CP Lab.	4	drug application for any drug product?
5	Q So CP Lab still exists today?	5	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any
5 6	Q So CP Lab still exists today?A Yes it does.	5 6	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we
5 6 7	Q So CP Lab still exists today?A Yes it does.Q Do you have any affiliation with CP	5 6 7	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and
5 6 7 8	Q So CP Lab still exists today?A Yes it does.Q Do you have any affiliation with CPLab?	5 6 7 8	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or
5 6 7 8 9	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab.	5 6 7 8 9	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA.
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half?	5 6 7 8 9 10	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct? A We could have, but that was not the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere. They also distribute chemicals, distribute	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct? A We could have, but that was not the mission of the company.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere. They also distribute chemicals, distribute safety product. So you can visit CPlab.com and take	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct? A We could have, but that was not the mission of the company. Q So it was never done?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere. They also distribute chemicals, distribute safety product. So you can visit CPlab.com and take a look at it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct? A We could have, but that was not the mission of the company. Q So it was never done? A Never done.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So CP Lab still exists today? A Yes it does. Q Do you have any affiliation with CP Lab? A I own 50 percent of CP Lab. Q Who owns the other half? A My wife. Q What's the business of CP Labs today, do you know? A CP Lab manufactures patented product called ecological funnel, which is product that I invented while I was at Applied Biosystem and that patented product is the major product of CP Lab and they manufacture it in the United States and they export it around the world including China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere. They also distribute chemicals, distribute safety product. So you can visit CPlab.com and take	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	drug application for any drug product? A We did not prepare or submit any abbreviated new drug application. However, we submitted many INDs, investigation of new drug, and we also submitted many 510-Ks from the drug or device division of the FDA. Q I guess was that because the focus at NovaBay was to try to develop its own line of A Product. Q probial products? A Right. We were not a generic manufacturing we were not a generic pharmaceutical company. Q So at no time at NovaBay were you involved in synthesizing API for a generic formulation, correct? A We could have, but that was not the mission of the company. Q So it was never done?

25 (Pages 94 - 97)

	Dogo 00		Page 100
1	Page 98 A Incubated.	1	Page 100 drug applications?
2	Q I'm sorry?	2	A That's confidential information. I
3	A Incubated.	3	wouldn't be able to share with you.
4	Q Incubated. I said intubate. That	4	Q So you'll say that you have experience
5	would not be correct.	5	helping to prepare ANDAs and NDAs, but you won't
6	A I heard "intubated."	6	tell us who you did it for?
7	Q Right. That's what I said. I did say	7	A Yes.
8	that. That was not correct, so I apologize.	8	Q Have you ever assisted a client in
9	And then eventually Emery Pharma became a	9	preparing a DMF?
10	standalone company that you operate to this day,	10	A Personally, no, but some of my
11	correct?	11	employees might have.
12	A Correct.	12	Q In your career, sir, have you ever
13	Q And I think that if I understand what	13	published any peer-reviewed literature related to
14	you've previously described for us, the mission	14	nitrosamine impurities in pharmaceuticals?
15	statement and the function of Emery Pharma is to	15	A Yes, we have. We filed a citizen
16	provide research laboratory services that meet the	16	petition which was previewed by FDA and the response
17	CGMP and GLP standards for quality?	17	we got from the FDA was they had agreed with our
18	A Emery Pharma is a FDA registered, FDA	18	findings, so I just would consider that very
19	inspected DMB, GLP compliant contract research	19	peer-reviewed.
20	organization and our mission is to help save lives	20	Q My question wasn't have you ever
21	and save the environment.	21	submitted a citizens petition. My question was have
22	Q Does Emery Pharma develop or	22	you submitted literature for publication in a
23	manufacture drug products?	23	scientific journal that's been peer reviewed and
24	A Emery Pharma? That's not within the	24	accepted that related to nitrosamine impurities in
25	mission of the Emery Pharma, no. We can, but we do	25	pharmaceuticals?
1	Page 99 not.	1	Page 101 MR. NIGH: Objection. You can answer.
2	Q Does Emery Pharma hold any new drug	2	A We have not filed any
3	applications?	3	nitrosamine-related publications in a peer reviewed
4	A No, we do not. Our clients do.	4	journals of our FDF filing.
5	Q Does Emery Pharma hold any abbreviated	5	Q The list of publications that were
6	new drug applications?	6	attached to your CV that we marked as Exhibit 7, do
7	A We do not, but our clients do.	7	any of them feel with nitrosamine impurities in
8	Q Has Emery Pharma ever prepared a DMF,	8	pharmaceuticals in any manner or form?
9	submitted a DMF?	9	A I do not believe they do.
10	A We do not, but we help our clients	10	Q Have you ever drafted a manuscript
11	essentially submit DMF and NDA and IMD and we	11	related to nitrosamine impurities in valsartan for
12	participate in their FDA meetings when necessary.	12	publication in a peer review journal?
13	Q And I'm sorry. I think it was	13	A We have drafted publication regarding
14	probably due to sometimes there's sound that goes in	14	NDMA and nitrosamines, but not published.
15	and out in the computer. You said you help clients	15	Q Have you submitted a manuscript for
16	with submissions of what was that again?	16	publication?
17	A New drug application, abbreviated new	17	A No.
18	drug application; DMF filings; you know, support.	18	Q Why not?
19	Just about anything that the client needs, we help.	19	A It's confidential. It's related to
20	We support them.	20	another matter that we are working on related to
21	Q And how long has Emery Pharma been in	21	ranitidine.
22	business?	22	Q Will you provide it to me?
23	A Since 2011, ten years.	23	A Daniel? I suppose I can.
24	Q Who are the clients for whom you've	24	MR. NIGH: We would have to see what
25	help submit new drug applications or abbreviated new	25	the document is. I think he just amended his answer
1 23	ment against the a grap applications of application new		and assessment is. I think he just amended his diswer

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

1	Page 102		Page 104
1	at the end to say it's for ranitidine and your	1	Q What is it?
2	question is for valsartan.	2	A It's sort of a summary that one of my
3	MR. TRISCHLER: I think the question	3	team members wrote regarding our filing of our
4	was	4	citizen petition regarding ranitidine and how we
5	A It's under	5	came about it, how we found the problem and how we
6	MR. TRISCHLER: Hold on. Hold on. I	6	reported it to the FDA and how FDA actually agreed
7	think my memory is not infallible, Daniel, but what	7	with us and responded to our petition in a positive
8	I was basically asking is whether he's ever drafted	8	manner. So that's really just the story of that.
9	a manuscript that relates to nitrosamine impurities	9	There's nothing about this that contains anything
10	in pharmaceuticals. I may have said valsartan, but	10	about that draft publication.
11	my intent was broader, and so it sounds like	11	Q So this is what we have marked as
12	something. The question is can I see it. It's not	12	Exhibit 8, is basically a press release that was
13	been produced thus far.	13	issued by Emery Pharma, correct?
14	MR. NIGH: We would examine the	14	A Correct.
15	document before we respond and answer to that.	15	Q And I think this press release is
16	MR. TRISCHLER: Well, it was subject	16	available on your website?
17	to the notice of deposition in this case. In the	17	A Website. It's not a press release.
18	deposition notice served in connection with this	18	It's a blog.
19	deposition, I asked that the witness come here with	19	Q All right, but this document and this
20	all publications relating to nitrosamines. That	20	disclosure is on your website
21	would clearly this manuscript that he's described	21	A That's correct.
22	would clearly be responsive.	22	Q for the public at large to view?
23	MR. NIGH: I think you had our	23	A Yes.
24	response an hour ago.	24	Q And in this document don't you state
25	MR. TRISCHLER: I'm sorry. Unless you	25	or indicate that you're preparing a manuscript for
	Page 103		Page 105
1			
1	want to continue the deposition, I mean, this is my	1	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in
2	chance to depose him on it.	1 2	
2 3	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago		publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right.
2 3 4	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the	2 3 4	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals?
2 3 4 5	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of	2 3	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document.
2 3 4 5 6	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today.	2 3 4 5 6	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as	2 3 4 5	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine. Q Well, you've publicly disclosed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the free world about it, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine. Q Well, you've publicly disclosed the existence of this manuscript, have you not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the free world about it, right? A We mentioned that we have been doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine. Q Well, you've publicly disclosed the existence of this manuscript, have you not? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the free world about it, right? A We mentioned that we have been doing that, but we haven't disclosed the results. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine. Q Well, you've publicly disclosed the existence of this manuscript, have you not? A No. Q Well, can you put up Exhibit 8 for us,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the free world about it, right? A We mentioned that we have been doing that, but we haven't disclosed the results. The results are confidential.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chance to depose him on it. MR. NIGH: I believe that 48 hours ago we served our objections as clearly outside of the scope of anything that is he's proffered in terms of testimony in his expert here today. MR. TRISCHLER: Well, as far as outside the scope of his declaration, I disagree, but I guess we will be taking it up again. Q So you do have a manuscript MR. NIGH: And just to be clear sorry. Since you're saying something about taking it up again, just so you understood too, I haven't even looked at this document. So to the degree you're asking about draft documents and publications, obviously it would have potential privilege as well. A It's ranitidine related, but it's nitrosamine. Q Well, you've publicly disclosed the existence of this manuscript, have you not? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	publication on the issue of nitrosamines in pharmaceuticals? A Right. Q And if you could go to page 2 of this document. A Okay. Q Can you highlight the second full paragraph for me, please. Thank you. Are you able to read that, sir? A I'm reading it. Yes, I'm reading it. Q So. Emery Pharma has publicly disclosed that it's been testing valsartan, losartan and other ARBs for nitrosamines since the early 2018 time period, correct? A That's correct. Q And there's nothing in these public comments that you've made at the testing that we've not been provided with it's something that's done for litigation or confidential. You've told the free world about it, right? A We mentioned that we have been doing that, but we haven't disclosed the results. The

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

Filed 05/03/22 P	age 29 of 60
------------------	--------------

	Page 106		Page 108
1	Q You are not a pathologist?	1	research laboratory testing facility with a lot of
2	A Pathologist?	2	experience in drug testing and impurity testing and
3	Q That was my question.	3	genotoxic testing.
4	A No, I'm not a pathologist.	4	Q Have you ever published anything or
5	Q Are you a medical doctor?	5	given any lectures or speeches on the critical
6	A I'm not a medical doctor.	6	review of the CMC sections and requirements for a
7	Q Are you a toxicologist?	7	abbreviated new drug application?
8	A I'm not a toxicologist.	8	A I have. I was invited to give a
9	Q Is it fair to say you're not a	9	presentation at a drug impurity symposium for
10	epidemiologist and you do not have any specialized	10	generic manufacturers and that presentation is
11	training or expertise in the field of pharma	11	actually available. It's on the it should be
12	epidemiology?	12	online YouTube or various other places.
13	A I am not a epidemiologist or any of	13	Q Is it referenced on your CV?
14	that.	14	A No.
15	Q Have you ever conducted and published	15	Q When did you speak at this symposium?
16	any peer-reviewed research on the carcinogenicity of	l	A Probably early 2020, maybe mid 2020.
17	NDMA?	17	I can't recall.
18	A No, I have not.	18	Q We talked a little bit about Emery
19	Q Have you ever conducted and published	19	Pharma's status as an FDA registered research lab.
20	any peer-reviewed research on the carcinogenicity of	l	What did you have to do in order to obtain that
21	NDEA?	21	registration, if anything?
22	A No, I have not.	22	A You basically submit an application to
23	Q Since you have no medical training, I	23	the FDA and you register yourself with the FDA, and
24	assume you do not diagnose cancer in patients; fair	24	as a result you become subject to FDA inspection.
25	to say?	25	Q When did you when did your lab
	Page 107		Page 109
1	A I am not a doctor.	1	complete that application?
2	Q And in this litigation I understand	2	A I think maybe 2016, 2015, some time
3	you have not been designated as a witness on the	3	frame.
4	issue of causation, true?	4	Q When did you obtain the registration;
5	A I am not a medical doctor.	5	do you know?
6	Q Right. And you're not going to	6	A No, I don't, probably within a few
7	testify well, we can agree you're going to be	7	months.
8	offering causation opinions in this matter, correct?	8	Q How many FDA inspections have taken
9	A Explain to me what causation, what	9	place at your facility since?
10	your definition of causation here.	10	A We've had two inspections from the
11	Q You're not going to be offering any	11	FDA.
12	opinions that exposure to NDEA or NDMA did or can	12	Q When were those inspections?
1	- F	10	
13	cause cancer in humans?	13	A I can't recall; 2018 maybe one, 2021.
1		13	A I can't recall; 2018 maybe one, 2021. Q Were there any Form 483 issues
13	cause cancer in humans?	l	
13 14	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on	14	Q Were there any Form 483 issues
13 14 15	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA.	14 15	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections?
13 14 15 16	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on	14 15 16	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form
13 14 15 16 17	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file?	14 15 16 17	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file? A No, I have not published any	14 15 16 17 18	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes. Q That was the most recent one in 2021?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file? A No, I have not published any requirement on anything on the requirements for drug	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes. Q That was the most recent one in 2021? A That's right.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file? A No, I have not published any requirement on anything on the requirements for drug master file.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes. Q That was the most recent one in 2021? A That's right. Q What was that for?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file? A No, I have not published any requirement on anything on the requirements for drug master file. Q Have you ever published anything on	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes. Q That was the most recent one in 2021? A That's right. Q What was that for? A It was primarily for, you know, making
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cause cancer in humans? A No, I am not offering any opinion on the toxicology opinion on the NDEA or NDMA. Q Have you ever published anything on the requirements for a proper drug master file? A No, I have not published any requirement on anything on the requirements for drug master file. Q Have you ever published anything on outlining the regulatory duties and responsibilities	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Were there any Form 483 issues following those inspections? A In our second inspection we had a Form 483 filled, yes. Q That was the most recent one in 2021? A That's right. Q What was that for? A It was primarily for, you know, making sure our data gets backed up and we have we do

28 (Pages 106 - 109)

Page 110 Page 112 1 sure that our bend were open when we go to various 1 constituted violations of the Food, Drug and 2 instruments, every user will have its own individual 2 Cosmetic Act and its regulations as it related to 3 log in, but we had no issues whatsoever on any of 3 data management and data maintenance. our testing, any of our releases, any of our 4 What I said was the 483 -- first of products that are on the market. all, in our first inspection 2018 we had no problem, 6 There were just no issues on testing, but just no issues. In 2021 this issue came up that we need 7 7 procedurally just data management, primarily backup, to back up our data into the Cloud and it is really 8 and also specific user log-in, and both of those have 8 part of the data management. And they basically 9 been remedied. 9 said we can continue our, you know, releasing 10 You said something that piqued my 10 commercial products; we can continue our work. We 11 curiosity, because I did not understand this to be 11 just need a commitment for you to get that done; and 12 within the scope of anything you did. You said 12 since then we have gotten it done. something about our products. It was my 13 And so were any warning letters issued understanding that Emery Pharma does not manufacture following 483s? 14 14 15 15 or sell any drug products. Am I wrong? Α No. Q Did -- what is Emery Pharma's status 16 No, you're not. We do not sell or 16 17 manufacture any drug product. However, we do 17 with the FDA today? 18 release them. So, another contract manufacturer 18 We are in the process of making those 19 comes to us for a manufacture or a manufacturer 19 data managements happen and they're completely comes to us and says, please test my compound and 20 satisfied with that. 21 release them according to the guidance, ASP guidance 21 And so one of the things I take it you or GMP/GLP guidance. 22. 22 learned from that most recent inspection, if not 23 So we officially release them and we identify 23 earlier, was that data management, data preservation 24 the drug, we identify their impurities and we release 24 and documentation are extremely important as it 25 them. So releasing is a terminology that's known to 25 relates to product testing, product release and Page 111 Page 113 the FDA. It means it is ready to be sold into the 1 1 product validation measures. 2 market. 2 Data storage and back up are important 3 3 O Okay. And what you've suggested to me primarily -- you know, it's part of their risk 4 is that in connection with the 2021 inspection, FDA management strategy data integrity program making 5 issued a 483 to Emery Pharma finding that certain 5 sure the data is always there. You know, if God aspects of it or recordkeeping did not comply with forbid the facility catches fire or there is an 7 good laboratory practices, correct? earthquake, we want to make sure the client's data 8 What I said was that certain parts of are there somewhere else. And that's something that 9 our data backup, data storage and backup did not 9 we had a backup system on the premises, but that was 10 comply with the regs, and really it was a risk 10 not acceptable to them. 11 management issue and their question was what happens So, understanding the importance of 11 12 if there is an earthquake and then we lose all the 12 data preservation --13 13 data. Α Into the cloud. They wanted an offer 14 So it needs to be backed up into the cloud so 14 side data storage. 15 15 in case of an earthquake, in case of fire we have Q Let me ask my question, please. A 16 data that we can go back to. 16 Sorry. 17 17 O Right. A form 483 is issued by an FDA You're understanding the importance of inspector after an inspection when that investigator data preservation, I'm sure, then, you can tell us 18 18 19 observes any condition that in his or her judgment 19 with absolute certainty that all of the records --20 might constitute a violation of the Food, Drug, and 20 that there will be records relating to all of the 21 Cosmetic Act or its related regulations, right? 21 valsartan testing that your lab has been doing since 22 A That's correct. 22 early 2018, correct? 23 And so what you're telling me is that 23 That includes every data preservation 24 in 2021, your FDA-registered lab was found to have 24 that that we have ever generated needs to including 25 conditions that in the opinion of the investigator, valsartan that needs to have it back, have a back up

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

	Page 114		Page 116
1	outside of our facility.	1	A So initially the valsartan issue was
2	Q That would mean you'd have data on the	2	brought to our attention by a pharmacy out of
3	acquisition of samples, correct?	3	Connecticut called Valisure. I think we mentioned
4	A Data on everything; acquisition. You	4	their name in some of our blogs and big releases and
5	know even if somebody deletes the data or what have	5	they brought it to our attention. They wanted to
6	you, everything needs to be backed up.	6	test valsartan and they wanted us to test it for
7	Q And so it needs to be backed up and	7	them. They had some testing mechanisms and they
8	you've done that on the valsartan testing you have	8	wanted us to confirm that. We did draw some samples
9	data on acquisition of samples, correct?	9	for them, some pills and we did confirm that.
10	A Acquisition of all samples including	10	That's our beginning of our engagement in the
11	valsartan. All samples need to have an off site	11	valsartan arena and that was in 2018.
12	backup facility.	12	In 2019 we got engaged by law firm that is not
13	Q You'll have data of custody for all	13	on this call, I believe, and they are so a lot of
14	valsartan samples?	14	the work we did relates to that but, yes, 2018 was
15	A Yes, we do.	15	our initial work with valsartan.
16	Q You'll have standard point operating	16	Q And so thank you. That makes more
17	procedures and policies outlining the protocol that	17	sense to me now. So the initial work that your lab
18	weren't followed in connection with the test methods	18	was doing with respect to analysis of valsartan was
19	that were used on the valsartan products, right?	19	done at the request of Valisure, not a lawyer?
20	A As an FDA registered, FDA inspected	20	A No.
21	GLP/gmp-compliant lab, everything we do is SOP	21	Q Bad question on my part.
22	driven. So we have SOP's on everything.	22	A That's correct. The initial work we
23	Q Because you can't conduct a test and	23	did on valsartan was done at the request of
24	then develop the protocol later, right?	24	Valisure.
25	A No.	25	Q And you would have, consistent with
	Page 115		Page 117
1	MR. NIGH: Objection.	1	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory
1 2	MR. NIGH: Objection.Q So you would be able to provide us	2	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of
1 2 3	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing	2 3	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data,
1 2 3 4	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct?	2 3 4	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that
1 2 3 4 5	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes.	2 3 4 5	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work?
1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly	2 3 4 5 6	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting that you were doing valsartan testing for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing? A No.
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting that you were doing valsartan testing for nitrosamines prior to the time the FDA was even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing? A No. Q In 2018 at the request of Valisure?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting that you were doing valsartan testing for nitrosamines prior to the time the FDA was even aware that there was a potential issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing? A No. Q In 2018 at the request of Valisure? A No, I don't. We have records of that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting that you were doing valsartan testing for nitrosamines prior to the time the FDA was even aware that there was a potential issue? MR. NIGH: Form objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing? A No. Q In 2018 at the request of Valisure? A No, I don't. We have records of that. We should be able. Right off the bat, I don't. It
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NIGH: Objection. Q So you would be able to provide us with a protocol pursuant to which all this testing was done, correct? A If it's not privileged, yes. Q And do you have and you certainly have all the test results for all of valsartan samples that have been tested since the early 2018, right? A Absolutely. We have the test results and we have reports, everything. If it is not privileged, it would be available. Q I'll represent to you that the valsartan issue came to the attention of the FDA in June of 2018. A Right. Q And your public statements that one of which we marked as Exhibit 8 is you started testing valsartan in early 2018. Are you suggesting that you were doing valsartan testing for nitrosamines prior to the time the FDA was even aware that there was a potential issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 117 your labs, stated desire to follow good laboratory practices, you would have all of the chain of custody sample, acquisition data, protocol data, test validation data and testing summaries from that Valisure work? A Yes, I do. Q None of which has been provided to me, right? A I don't believe so. Q Do you know what the results of that work was, what nitrosamine did you test and what were the results? A You know, I wasn't sure if any of these things are subject of our you know, my declaration, but the results were very high levels of nitrosamines, high levels of NDMA in the thousands of nanograms. Q Do you know whose valsartan you were testing? A No. Q In 2018 at the request of Valisure? A No, I don't. We have records of that.

30 (Pages 114 - 117)

	Dags 110		Page 120
1	Page 118 Q If we go back to your declaration for	1	Page 120 testing valsartan before the FDA was even aware of
2	a minute bear with me a minute. My exhibits	2	an issue?
3	disappeared from my screen, so we have to find it	3	A So, you know, to be very frank to you,
4	again. If we go to your declaration, we marked it	4	I don't know whether it was done before FDA official
5	as Exhibit No. 1?	5	recall or after. I would have to check on that, but
6	A Would you mind? I'd like to take a	6	I was contacted by the president of Valisure David
7	quick break, five minute break.	7	Light and he wanted us to check the levels of NDMA
8	MR. NIGH: Yeah, let's take a ten	8	in valsartan.
9	minute break.	9	Q And you agreed to do that at his
10	THE WITNESS: Ten minute break? Okay.	10	request?
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:47.	11	A And he had data already. He also had
12	This ends Media 3.	12	GCMS data that showed high levels of NDMA genotoxic
13	(A recess was taken.)	13	compound, and so I was very concerned because
14	(After the recess the following	14	actually my mom was taking valsartan a few years
15	occurred:)	15	ago, so I agreed to do the work. We might not have
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now	16	even charged them.
17	1:00. This begins Media 4. You may proceed.	17	I think we probably charged them, I don't
18	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	18	know, but we ran the same pills that they had ran and
19	Q I wanted to ask you a couple followup	19	we corroborated their data that indeed there were
20	questions on some of the issues that we covered	20	high levels of NDMA in valsartan, and we might have
21	before the last break, Doctor. We talked about the	21	tested for NDEA as well. I'm not sure.
22	2021 FDA inspection of Emery Pharma. Do you recall	22	Q What test method did you utilize
23	that?	23	during that initial testing?
24	A Yes.	24	A We used two or three official FDA
25	Q And what I wasn't clear about is what	25	methods that has been published. I think we used
	Page 119		Page 121
1	is the current status of that 483, is it open or	1	one of those methods.
2	closed?	2	Q Well, the FDA didn't publish this
3	A It's in the process of closing,	3	is the thing that's confusing to me trying to piece
4	because what happens is you're working toward	4	together the timeline. FDA didn't publish a test
5	getting, basically, backup system, Cloud system	5	method for nitrosamine testing until the fall of
6	essentially working, you know, and validated an all	6	2018.
7	of that. So that's been in the process of	7	A Right.
8	implementation and validation as we speak.	8	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
9	Q So "in the process" means that it's	9	Q So that's why I asked what test method
10	still open?	10	were you and Valisure running.
11	A It's still open.	11	A I would have to get that. I don't
12 13	Q And is your lab on OAI status?A What's OAI?	12	know. For the purpose of this deposition I really
14		13 14	was not prepared to discuss any of that, but I am not prepared. It's not in my declaration.
l		l	Q So let's go to the declaration, if I
15	what it stands for	1 1 5	
15	what it stands for. A Lhave to check with my OA people	15	-
16	A I have to check with my QA people.	16	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to
16 17	A I have to check with my QA people.Q Was an establishment inspection report	16 17	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think
16 17 18	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know?	16 17 18	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor.
16 17 18 19	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know.	16 17 18 19	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a
16 17 18 19 20	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know. Q What and then going back to your	16 17 18 19 20	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a lot of that was published in citizen petition by
16 17 18 19 20 21	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know. Q What and then going back to your early valsartan work in the early part of 2018, you	16 17 18 19 20 21	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a lot of that was published in citizen petition by Valisure and I think some of our data I think he
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know. Q What and then going back to your early valsartan work in the early part of 2018, you said that that was prompted by a contact from	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a lot of that was published in citizen petition by Valisure and I think some of our data I think he mentioned the data levels and all of that and the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know. Q What and then going back to your early valsartan work in the early part of 2018, you said that that was prompted by a contact from Valisure that asked you to do some testing. Can you	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a lot of that was published in citizen petition by Valisure and I think some of our data I think he mentioned the data levels and all of that and the methods may be actually there as well.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I have to check with my QA people. Q Was an establishment inspection report issued; do you know? A I don't know. Q What and then going back to your early valsartan work in the early part of 2018, you said that that was prompted by a contact from	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can. It's paragraph first part I want to talk to you about is paragraph 2 of the declaration I think you said you have in front of you, Doctor. A If you want me to elaborate on that, a lot of that was published in citizen petition by Valisure and I think some of our data I think he mentioned the data levels and all of that and the

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

	Page 122		Page 124
1	A Valsartan. I think they did have	1	expense.
2	something on valsartan as well.	2	Q Is that your second citizens petition
3	Q Did you ever file a citizens petition	3	then that you were submitting?
4	related to valsartan?	4	A Yes.
5	A No.	5	Q Have there been any others since then?
6	Q And when I say "you," I also mean	6	A No.
7	Emery Pharma?	7	Q And you said Valisure was making a lot
8	A No.	8	of noise about valsartan, but have you ever seen a
9	Q You think Valisure did?	9	citizens petition from them?
10	A Maybe I'm mistaken. I think they	10	A I don't recall.
11	have. You can Google it. I may be mixing it with	11	Q With regard to valsartan?
12	their citizen petition relating to ranitidine.	12	A My memory is failing. I think I
13	Q I'm glad you brought it up, because it	13	don't think valsartan I mean, you guys can google
14	sort of led to another question that I had that	14	it, whether Valisure filed any citizen petition on
15	wasn't clear to me.	15	valsartan. I don't think so. I think they just
16	You were quick to tell me that part of the	16	made a lot of press release, but I think the
17	mission statement of Emery Pharma is to save lives	17	valsartan was removed from the market primarily due
18	and preserve the environment. Do you remember	18	to Novartis finding genotoxic compound NDMA in
19	telling me that?	19	valsartan from GMP and then effectively FDA was
20	A FDA I mean Emery Pharma's mission	20	alerted. I think that's how the things kind of
21	is to helping our client save lives and save the	21	how sort of everything fell into the, you know,
22	environment.	22	basically the recall.
23	Q And that was part of the rationale	23	Q Did you have any have you ever had
24	behind your issuance or decision to prepare and	24	any communications with Novartis about valsartan
25	submit a citizens petition relating to ranitidine?	25	testing?
	Page 123		Page 125
1	A We filed a lot of the work we did	1	A None.
2	on ranitidine was done at our own expense, at our	2	Q Have you ever had any communications
3	own behest primarily for the safety of the public.	3	with Novartis about Diovan testing?
4	And we do that all the time; public comes to us and	4	A None.
5	they want us to look at something. If they don't	5	Q Have you ever had any communications
6	have the proper funding, we do it at pro bono and we	6	with Novartis about Exforge testing?
7	check the drug for various impurities and problems.	7	A None.
8	Q But the work you're doing in	8	Q So going to paragraph 2 of your
9	ranitidine and valsartan is not pro bono, is it?	9	disclosure or declaration excuse me, I want to
10	A So some of the work may be pro bono.	10	ask you about the last sentence in particular where
11	A lot of the work that we did on ranitidine citizen	11	you talk about the methodologies that you employed
12	petition, almost 100 percent of the work that was	12	in formulating your opinions in this case and you
13	done for citizen petition was pro bono.	13	write, "These methodologies used in formation of my
14	Q Okay. Why did you never submit a	14	opinions are also used by Emery Pharma in making
1		15	recommendations to our pharmaceutical clients." Did
15	citizens petition with respect to valsartan?		
15 16	A I think there wasn't any necessity for	16	I read that correctly?
	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously		A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I
16	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was	16	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that.
16 17	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public	16 17	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me,
16 17 18	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public was alerted. And my goal as the CEO of Emery Pharma	16 17 18	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me, I take it you're not going to tell me who your
16 17 18 19 20 21	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public was alerted. And my goal as the CEO of Emery Pharma is if there is a problem with a drug, I will alert	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me, I take it you're not going to tell me who your pharmaceutical clients are you are referring to in
16 17 18 19 20	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public was alerted. And my goal as the CEO of Emery Pharma is if there is a problem with a drug, I will alert the FDA through some form of petition, and we	16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me, I take it you're not going to tell me who your
16 17 18 19 20 21	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public was alerted. And my goal as the CEO of Emery Pharma is if there is a problem with a drug, I will alert the FDA through some form of petition, and we recently actually filed a citizen petition on	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me, I take it you're not going to tell me who your pharmaceutical clients are you are referring to in paragraph 2? A I cannot. We are under
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I think there wasn't any necessity for that. I think there was you know, obviously valsartan, it was recalled and I think Valisure was making a lot of noise, so it was already the public was alerted. And my goal as the CEO of Emery Pharma is if there is a problem with a drug, I will alert the FDA through some form of petition, and we	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Just let me read it. Yes, I agreed with that. Q And based on what you already told me, I take it you're not going to tell me who your pharmaceutical clients are you are referring to in paragraph 2?

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

	Page 126		Page 128
1	following a methodology that you employ about your	1	drugs that their products are adulterated if their
2	clients but then conveniently not tell me who the	2	impurity profiles do not match the RLD?
3	clients are, right?	3	A I have told our clients that if their
4	A We are under obligation from the	4	impurity profile contains a genotoxic compound, we
5	clients not to disclose their name.	5	will let them know.
6	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	6	Q Thanks. That wasn't my question. My
7	Q Are any of these clients defendants to	7	question is have you ever told your clients that
8	the ranitidine litigation?	8	they will be producing an adulterated generic
9	A No.	9	product if they have an impurity profile that does
10	Q Are any of them defendants to the	10	not match the RLD; is that advice that you've ever
11	metformin litigation?	11	given to your pharmaceutical clients in the real
12	A No.	12	world?
13	Q Are any of them defendants to this	13	A Okay. So, here is my answer. If
14	litigation, if you know?	14	their impurity profile you know, their impurity
15	A No.	15	profile may not match the RLD. However, if their
16	Q Are any of the unknown undescribed	16	impurity profile contains genotoxic compound, we
17	clients that you make reference to, are any of them	17	will let them know and we will help them to prevent
18	generic drug manufacturers?	18	formation of genotoxic compound.
19	A No.	19	Q Okay. That's fair. So the mere
20	Q Did any of them manufacture ARBs?	20	differences in the impurity profile alone does not
21	A No.	21	make a drug adulterated?
22	Q So you don't have any clients that you	22	A Right.
23	would be advising on the contents of an abbreviated	23	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
24	new drug application, correct?	24	A Mere
25	A We do have clients that we advised on	25	THE WITNESS: Can I respond, Daniel?
	Page 127		Page 129
1	the contents of new drug application and abbreviated	1	MR. NIGH: Yes.
2	new drug application. However, none of them are the	2	A A mere difference we have repeated
3	defendants. None of them are the plaintiffs. None	3	this question many times. I will repeat it.
4	of them are manufacturing ARBs as far as I know and,	4	Hopefully you guys can go back and see I am very
5	you know, these are we work on mostly branded	5	consistent. Mere difference in the impurity profile
6	products, some generic, sort of modified generic,	6	so long as there is no genotoxic compound, it's
7	branded generic but nothing to do with ARBs.	7	fine.
8	Q Well, what generic excuse me. What	8	Q And the fact of the matter is the FDA
9	generic products are you working on with generic	9	permits variability in purity, size, strength and
10	drug manufacturers?	10	other parameters when evaluating an abbreviated new
11	A I can't think of it right now. I mean	11	drug application, agreed?
12	a number of them there are a number of products	12	A FDA allows variability in the impurity
13	that we are working on.	13	profile with respect to the reference listed drug as
14	Q Well, if these products have a patent	14	long as it does not contain genotoxic compound
15	there is no secrecy to the identity of the active	15	Q And we talked about
16	pharmaceutical ingredient that you're working on	16	A namely nitrosamines.
17	with the	17	Q We talked about the acceptance
18	A I can't recall off the top of my head	18	criteria for impurities as published in the USP
19	what generics we're working on.	19	being no more than 0.1 percent. Do you remember
20	Q So as you sit here today you can't	20	that?
21	tell me a single generic product you're advising a	21	A I remember the acceptance criteria of
22	client about?	22	the USP not showing any NDMA and not having any
1		l	
23	A No.	23	limits on the NDMA. To me that means zero NDMA.
23 24	A No. Q Have you ever told any of your pharmaceutical clients who manufactured generic	23 24	Q So the fact that what the USP monitor says is that unknown impurities can be no more than

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

	D 120		P. 122
1	Page 130 0.1 percent, right?	1	Page 132 think you can Google it. You should be able to see
2	A Unknown non genotoxic impurities can	2	Novartis. Just type in Novartis nitrosamine
3	be around .1 percent or a little higher.	3	impurity. I think you will run into chemical
4	Q But what you're saying is the	4	engineering news. I might have been cited there was
5	monograph itself is silent as to genotoxic	5	well.
6	impurities, correct?	6	Q Didn't you develop specialized test
7	A Their silence is because they assume	7	methods to test for nitrosamines in the latter parts
8	zero NDMA. They assume zero genotoxic brought.	8	of 2018 and 2019?
9	Q And that's written nowhere in the	9	A I don't believe so.
10	monograph itself or in any USP publication, correct?	10	MR. NIGH: Objection. Outside the
11	A Exactly. Because it's not written, it	11	scope.
12	means it should be nonexistent.	12	A I don't believe so. I think we used a
13	Q And	13	standard nitrosamine methodology.
14	A Because the RLD was nonexistent,	14	Q Did you develop a liquid LCMS method?
15	because the Diovan and Exforge had no NDMA.	15	A We did. We developed our own LCMS
16	Q Are you aware of any drug manufacturer	16	method primarily not for valsartan, but for other
17	anywhere in the world that was doing	17	drugs.
18	nitrosamine-specific impurity testing prior to FDA's	18	Q For Zantac?
19	notification of the potential for nitrosamine?	19	A Yes.
20	A Yes, I am. I am aware.	20	Q So if we look at
21	Q In 2018?	21	A And beyond Zantac. We also tested
22	A Yes, I am aware of a pharmaceutical	22	probably 20 other drugs as well.
23	company that does test for NDMA.	23	Q Twenty other drugs for nitrosamines?
24	Q And who is that?	24	A Yes.
25	A Novartis, at least one which is	25	Q How did you pick what 20 drugs you
	Page 131		Page 133
	Novartis.	1	were going to test?
			A 337 1 1 1 1 37 1 1
2	Q How do you know excuse me. How do	2	A We look at structural clues. You look
3	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior	3	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and
3 4	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information?	3 4	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA
3 4 5	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope.	3 4 5	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If
3 4 5 6	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am	3 4	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at
3 4 5 6 7	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the	3 4 5 6 7	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting.
3 4 5 6 7 8	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for	3 4 5 6 7 8	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are — it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public information, because I've never seen it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We looked at famotidine, which is also an anti-acid.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public information, because I've never seen it. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We looked at famotidine, which is also an anti-acid. We looked at a whole bunch of antacids, you know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public information, because I've never seen it. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A European medical authority has written	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We looked at famotidine, which is also an anti-acid. We looked at a whole bunch of antacids, you know, and we might have looked at some over-the-counter
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public information, because I've never seen it. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A European medical authority has written about it. It was to, you know, basically I think	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We looked at famotidine, which is also an anti-acid. We looked at a whole bunch of antacids, you know, and we might have looked at some over-the-counter sort of diphenyl hydramine; you know, things like
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know what test methods Novartis was using prior to June of 2018, what's your source of information? MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A Prior to 2015 sorry, 2018, all I am aware is that Novartis discovered the NDMA in the ZHP product and it's because they were looking for it. They found it. They were testing it. They had space and they saw the impurity and identified the impurity. It takes no more than 10 minutes by running a GCMS to identify NDMA. Q My question is what is your source of information that Novartis was doing nitrosamine testing prior to June A Public information. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. Q Can you cite me to that public information, because I've never seen it. MR. NIGH: Outside the scope. A European medical authority has written about it. It was to, you know, basically I think that's part of EMEA in one of their reports I recall	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at structural clues in a pharmaceutical molecule and you say this molecule could be prone to NDMA formation and that's called structural clues. If someone skilled in the art of chemistry looks at valsartan synthesis, there are it's shouting. That synthetic route is shouting that it's going to be forming a NDMA. We use those kinds of structural clues to look at other compounds to see whether they form NDMA or not. Q What are the 20 other drugs you tested? A I can't off the top of my head I can't recall. Q Can you recall any of them? A We looked at obviously we looked at nizatidine, which is a cousin of ranitidine. We looked at famotidine, which is also an anti-acid. We looked at a whole bunch of antacids, you know, and we might have looked at some over-the-counter sort of diphenyl hydramine; you know, things like that.

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

	P 124		P 126
1	Page 134 care of. I had an appointment scheduled for 4:30	1	Page 136 MR. NIGH: Form objection.
2	that I realize I'm going to have to cancel, so I	2	A Let me explain. So requirement for
3	need a couple minutes to take care of that. Sorry,	3	genotoxic impurities are far lower than regular
4	Dan.	4	impurities. So you must have a lot less genotoxic
5	MR. NIGH: What's the problem? Let's	5	impurities in your drug and the levels are listed.
6	take a ten minute break.	6	In the case of specifically nitrosamines and
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The the time is	7	specifically NDMA, the requirements should be zero.
8	4:24. We are going off the record.	8	Q And you indicated that you were aware
9	(A recess was taken.)	9	of at least one company prior to 2018 that was
10	(After the recess the following	10	testing its product and making sure that its
11	occurred:)	11	valsartan nitrosamine levels were zero, and that
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now	12	company was Novartis?
13	1:36. We're back on the video record.	13	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
14	BY MR. TRISCHLER:	14	A As far as I know, there may be many,
15	Q So, Doctor, you have told me that it	15	many more companies testing their compounds for
16	is that it's your opinion that a drug company	16	nitrosamines, but as far as I can tell from,
17	should not sell a product with any nitrosamines,	17	basically, public records, you know, NDMA
18	correct?	18	obviously Novartis looked for NDMA. Novartis found
19	A That's what I said.	19	NDMA in their API, and I can only give you my
20	Q And we talked about the fact that the	20	opinion that Novartis perhaps they buy a lot of
21	regulations allow unknown impurities as high as	21	APIs from China and India. Perhaps they look for
22	300,000 nanograms for a 320-milligram tablet	22	NDMA in every API they buy.
23	product, you interpret that requirement that USP	23	Q And do you you indicated that or
24	specification as saying it applies only to non geo	24	you offered the opinion that a drug company that
25	toxic?	25	sells a pharmaceutical product that contains a
	Page 135		Page 137
1	A Genotoxic.	1	genotoxic impurity at any level or any concentration
2	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	2	is not equivalent to the reference listed drug
3	Q Right. It applies only to non	3	because the reference listed drug does not have
4	genotoxic?	4	genotoxic impurities, right?
5	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	5	MR. NIGH: Form objection. You could
6	A I don't understand your question. My	6	answer.
7	apologies. Could you repeat?	7	A The genotoxic drugs, you know, have
8	Q Yes, I will ask again.	8	limits that they need to abide by in an active
9	A Could you ask a specific question?	9	pharmaceutical ingredients and there are specific
10	Q I will ask it again. I was trying to	10	numbers and the numbers, Clem, is not 300,000 parts
		11	per million. It's in the hundreds of parts per
11	make sure I understood your testimony. I think I		
12	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification	12	million, maybe even much less.
12 13	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as	12 13	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the
12 13 14	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product	12 13 14	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero
12 13 14 15	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities?	12 13 14 15	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive,
12 13 14 15 16	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection.	12 13 14 15 16	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says
12 13 14 15 16 17	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic	12 13 14 15 16 17	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize. A Right.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers should use that recipe. And, you know, that's my
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize. A Right. Q That's what I understood, and that's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers should use that recipe. And, you know, that's my opinion and I think the levels should be zero for
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize. A Right. Q That's what I understood, and that's because you interpret the absence of any	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers should use that recipe. And, you know, that's my opinion and I think the levels should be zero for NDMA.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize. A Right. Q That's what I understood, and that's because you interpret the absence of any specification in USP as a dictate or a mandate that	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers should use that recipe. And, you know, that's my opinion and I think the levels should be zero for NDMA. For other genotoxic compounds there are
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do, but what you've told us is the USP specification that allows for unidentified impurities to be as high as 300,000 nanograms in a 320 milligram product only applies to non genotoxic impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A That applies to non genotoxic impurities. Q Right. If I misspoke, I apologize. A Right. Q That's what I understood, and that's because you interpret the absence of any	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	million, maybe even much less. In the case of nitroso, nitrosamines and the n-dimethyl nitrosamine the requirements are zero because this is a genotoxic, DNA reactive, cancer-causing molecule. And furthermore, FDA says the levels should be zero because there are synthetic methodologies. In layman's terms there are recipes to make valsartan without any NDMA, so manufacturers should use that recipe. And, you know, that's my opinion and I think the levels should be zero for NDMA.

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

Page 138 Page 140 litigation are not equivalent to the reference listed 1 Q Okay. Well, that's fair. I'll try to drug and you have reached that opinion based on the 2 confine my questions to NDMA and NDEA. Okay? 2 3 Thank you. assumption that the reference listed drugs contain 4 4 0 And if I understand your opinion, what zero NDMA and zero NDEA, right? 5 Α Mm-hmm. 5 you've told us is that you're of the opinion that a 0 Is that "yes"? 6 generic formulation that contains NDMA or NDEA is 7 7 Α Yes. not equivalent to Diovan or Exforge, because those reference listed drugs have zero NDMA and zero NDEA? 8 0 Okay. And one of the things that 8 9 9 jump-started you in this arena and I presume The generic drugs that contain NDMA do 10 not meet the requirement. I have not tested Diovan 10 provides you some basis for that assumption is you started working with Valisure on nitrosamine testing 11 or I have not tested Exforge. I can only assume 11 12 that they are -- they have zero NDMA because they 12 of valsartan before there was even litigation, 13 were not recalled, so that's what I said. 13 right? 14 14 A So, Clem, as I have stated before, I'm Well, yeah, and that's what I wanted 15 not sure when we have actually officially started 15 to get at in terms of trying to understand what we with Valisure. It might have been before, it might 16 have here today. 16 17 The opinion that we framed earlier was -- that 17 have been after, but that's what I can tell you. 18 Fair enough. 18 you intend to offer is that the generic drugs made by Q 19 valsartan-containing medications made by my client 19 Α I'm sure if Daniel would be okay, I 20 and some of the other defendants for this litigation, can, you know, get that information to you. 21 0 Fair enough. 21 you do not believe those drugs are equivalent to the 22 Α But the fact remains that whether if 22. reference listed drug, because you have assumed that 23 23 before or after we tested your client's pills, maybe the defendant's generic products contained NDMA and 24 NDEA and you assumed that the Diovan and Exforge did 24 your client's pills, honestly I don't know, I'm not 25 prepared to tell you what we have until I can give 25 not? Page 139 Page 141 1 MR. NIGH: Form objection. you reports of those, but they had high, high levels 2 Q Right? of these genotoxic compounds. And I wouldn't want 3 If the manufacturer does not comply anybody to be taking those drugs, you know, on long with the impurity limits which is really zero, they term basis because that would be -- you know, that 4 5 are responsible -- and they change their procedure, 5 wouldn't be good whether it would be my mother or 6 they change their recipe, they change the way they your mother. 7 7 make something, then they need to -- there are these Q Well, my mother already passed, so I'd 8 alerting structures. I'm kind of giving away a lot 8 be happy to have her take valsartan with or without 9 of my opinion that will come later, which is there 9 genotoxic impurities right now. 10 are alerting structures. These are clues for you. 10 Α I'm sorry to hear that. 11 Those alerting structures were ignored and, hence, 11 But be that as it may, what I was --12 they now have to deal with NDMA and all the issues 12 and I didn't mean to misstate your testimony about 13 and -the timing of your work with Valisure. You did tell 14 Q I appreciate the sneak preview, but I 14 me you couldn't be sure whether it was before or 15 honestly don't want to go there. What I just want 15 after the FDA involvement, so I grant you that. 16 16 to understand is --Α Yes. 17 Α The assumption. 17 Q But what you did talk about and what 18 Perhaps if you will let me explain, I you did explain to me was that Valisure brought the 18 19 can ask a question that's fair and easy to 19 issue of the potential for nitrosamines in valsartan 20 understand, Doctor. I just want to make sure I 20 to your attention and sort of asked you to help with 21 21 understand the assumption that forms the basis for the testing and evaluation, right? 22 22 your opinion that you've offered so far in the One hundred percent.

36 (Pages 138 - 141)

Okay. And so you had a chance to look

at the testing that was done by Valisure early on on

the valsartan and to independently validate it

23

24

25

declaration we have.

You told me that there's two core opinions.

One of them is that generic drugs at issue in this

23

24

25

	Page 142		Page 144
1	through the work of your own lab?	1	minute ago. Bill, do you have it?
2	A Yes, we did.	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I have it. I am
3	Q So there is no question in your mind	3	downloading it. Just give me one moment. For the
4	that the results of testing as documented by	4	record, that would be Exhibit 28 is the next one in
5	Valisure and its findings on nitrosamine contents in	5	line.
6	valsartan were accurate?	6	MR. TRISCHLER: Okay. Can you put up
7	A We repeated Valisure's work according	7	Exhibit 28, please.
8	to our own procedures and we, I think we the	8	Q This is on the Valisure letterhead
9	result what we told Valisure was that the numbers	9	dated June 13, 2009.
10	they got was pretty much in the ballpark.	10	A Right.
11	MR. TRISCHLER: Did anyone hear the	11	Q Take a look at the first couple
12	doctors' answer? I saw his lips moving but didn't	12	paragraphs. Does it refresh your recollection at
13	hear anything.	13	all?
14	MR. NIGH: I could hear it.	14	A Now I recall. I think they did file
15	A I said. Let me repeat. Can you hear	15	something with the FDA, but this is regarding DMF, I
16	me okay?	16	think.
17	Q Now I can.	17	Q You're correct that it does relate to
18	A Okay. What I said was we concurred	18	dimethylforamide which is DMF, right?
19	with Valisure that they had correct nitrosamine	19	A Dimethylformamide.
20	numbers for their valsartan pills and they sent to	20	Q Formamide, okay? I'll try to do
21	us the same pills that they tested. I specifically	21	better. I didn't do very well in chemistry.
22	warned Valisure to get it tested at a third-party	22	A No, no. I just get insulted when they
23	lab. He called me, asked me for my advice. I said	23	mispronounce these chemical names, that's all. No
24	you want to get it at a third party lab to make	24	worries.
25	sure. I think he was planning to do some press	25	Q I was trying to say the chemical name
	Page 143		Page 145
1	release or something, and that's what we did. And	1	to distinguish from DMF to refer to drug
2	we told them yes, I think, and then he basically did	2	A Yeah.
3	something with that data. So	3	Q So dimethylformamide is subject of
4	Q Okay. And then you mentioned and	4	Exhibit 28, correct?
5	so essentially I think you just answered what my	5	A Correct.
6	question was. My question was, did you have the	6	Q But there's also reference to NDEA
7	opportunity and did in fact independently	7	testing was done by Valisure IN this citizens
8	corroborate the Valisure data as it related to	8	petition, correct?
9	valsartan nitrosamine quantification?	9	A Right.
10	A That's correct. We corroborated their	10	Q As I said, you saw this citizens
11	data.	11	position before.
12	Q And then you made mention early on	12	A Right.
13	I shouldn't say early on. You paid mention before	13	Q And you had validated the test results
14	our last break about a citizens petition and you	14	that are reported in here?
15	suggested that you thought somewhere in your memory	15	A Yes.
			Q And if we look at Appendix A to the
16	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens	16	
16 17	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow	17	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and
16 17 18	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that?	17 18	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and
16 17 18 19	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have.	17 18 19	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab?
16 17 18 19 20	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have. Q I found something I want to ask you	17 18 19 20	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab? A Did they mention our name in this
16 17 18 19 20 21	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have. Q I found something I want to ask you about, and Frank from my office is there.	17 18 19 20 21	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab? A Did they mention our name in this report, can you Google it?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have. Q I found something I want to ask you about, and Frank from my office is there. MR. TRISCHLER: Frank, do you have the	17 18 19 20 21 22	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab? A Did they mention our name in this report, can you Google it? Q I don't know, but
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have. Q I found something I want to ask you about, and Frank from my office is there. MR. TRISCHLER: Frank, do you have the June 13, 2019, Valisure citizens petition and can	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab? A Did they mention our name in this report, can you Google it? Q I don't know, but A If they didn't mention our name, then
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	bank that Valisure might have done a citizens petition that might have related some way or somehow to valsartan. Do you remember that? A Yes. I don't think they have. Q I found something I want to ask you about, and Frank from my office is there. MR. TRISCHLER: Frank, do you have the	17 18 19 20 21 22	report, what we have is a summary of NDMA levels and DMF levels in valsartan tested by Valisure and confirmed by your lab? A Did they mention our name in this report, can you Google it? Q I don't know, but

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

Page 39 of 60

	Page 146		Page 148
1	validated their testing and corroborated the	1	MR. TRISCHLER: What's that?
2	results, right?	2	MR. NIGH: I just said "form
3	A NDMA?	3	objection."
4	Q Right.	4	MR. TRISCHLER: I meant what's that to
5	A NDMA, but that's if they mentioned our	5	the witness.
6	name, then it would be corroborated, but if they	6	A And I respond to that I'm not I
7	didn't mention our name, it was on their own.	7	cannot confirm to you that we corroborated it
8	Q Well, I only planned on asking you	8	everything that Valisure is presenting in this
9	about the NDMA results reported in this.	9	report vis-a-vis the fact that our name has not been
10	A Please.	10	mentioned on this citizen petition.
		-	-
11	Q As you said at least five or six times	11	Typically if we do not corroborate something,
12	it's called by Valisure to corroborate their data?	12	they shouldn't put our name. If they are not putting
13	A Yes, but you know okay. Go ahead.	13	our name, it means we didn't have anything to do with
14	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	14	these.
15	Q So if you look at the Appendix A,	15	Q Your assumption that Novartis, Exforge
16	you're looking at the first page there. If you flip	16	and Diovan formulations contained zero NDMA is not
17	to the next page, page 10, there's more results	17	supported in the data from the citizens petition of
18	reported. Do you see that?	18	Valisure, is it?
19	A Right.	19	A Based on what Valisure is reporting
20	Q Page 111 there's more results	20	to, you know, I cannot corroborate their data
21	reported?	21	because we didn't do it. This is their data.
22	A I don't think we tested that many	22	Q And their data does not support your
23	different pills and lots for them.	23	assumption. That's all I asked.
24	Q I am only asking about what's shown	24	A If their data is correct you know,
25	here in the document. There's more testing	25	I don't know if they are data is correct. Now
	Page 147		Page 149
1	reported, correct?	1	having said that, you know, Clem, the levels that
2	A Okay.	2	were the interim allowable limit of NDMA, as you
3	Q And the manufacturers whose product	3	know, is 96 nanograms. So under the recall,
4	was tested was also identified in Appendix A,	4	official recall and notice, anything under 96
5	correct?	5	nanograms would not be recalled. So Novartis would
6	A Mm-hmm.	6	not be a recalled product.
7	Q Is that "yes"?	7	Q I didn't ask you if it would be a
8	A Yes.	8	recalled product and you were also very clear to me,
9	Q Interestingly, one of the	9	Doctor, that NDMA and NDEA content in its drug
10	manufacturers is Novartis.	10	product must be zero. You said that five times to
11	A Okay.	11	me.
12	Q And if you look at page 12, there is	12	A That should be the goal of the
13	results of seven test samples of Novartis product	13	manufacturers to have zero NDMA and NDEA.
14	listed, correct?	14	Q And you criticized my clients because
15	A Right.	15	they had NDMA and NDEA levels higher than zero.
16	Q There was NDMA found in every single	16	A They had levels of 2,000 and 3,000
17	Novartis tablet, correct?	17	nanograms.
18	A Yes.	18	MR. NIGH: Hold on. Hold on. Hold
	Q Is that correct?	19	on. Hold on. Form objection. Does he even know
19		1 1	
19		20	vour client?
19 20	A That's what you're showing me.	20 21	your client? MR. TRISCHLER: He's your expert. I
19 20 21	A That's what you're showing me.Q So your assumption that underlies your	21	MR. TRISCHLER: He's your expert. I
19 20 21 22	A That's what you're showing me. Q So your assumption that underlies your opinion in this case that Novartis' valsartan	21 22	MR. TRISCHLER: He's your expert. I don't know.
19 20 21 22 23	A That's what you're showing me. Q So your assumption that underlies your opinion in this case that Novartis' valsartan contained zero NDMA is not supported in the testing	21 22 23	MR. TRISCHLER: He's your expert. I don't know. MR. NIGH: Okay, because we are
19 20 21 22	A That's what you're showing me. Q So your assumption that underlies your opinion in this case that Novartis' valsartan	21 22	MR. TRISCHLER: He's your expert. I don't know.

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

	Page 150		Page 152
1	MR. TRISCHLER: He just said my	1	products contain any NDMA, NDEA is not equivalent to
2	client.	2	Novartis who is the reference listed drug holder,
3	Q Dose levels of 2,000 nanograms; is	3	because Novartis' levels are zero. The data from
4	that your testimony, sir?	4	Valisure suggests that that's not true. Agreed?
5	A I don't I am going on what was	5	A My position is that levels of NDMA and
6	published by FDA. So you can Google that and see	6	NDEA should be zero in any valsartan pills.
7	what FDA was published and double check that to see	7	Novartis might have some valsartan at higher level,
8	if your clients is part of that FDA recall and FDA	8	have some NDMA in it. They might have had in
9	numbers.	9	fact, they were buying from my understanding they
10	Q I can do a lot of things, Doctor. I	10	were buying ZHP's API and they were using ZHP's API,
11	spend way too much time online. What I'd like to do	11	so I am not surprised they ended up with some NDMA,
12	is ask you questions. And my question is, is it	12	but prior to ZHP and any of the defendants' products
13	your testimony that Mylan had NDEA reported at	13	Diovan and, you know, Exforge going generic, I
14	levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms in its	14	believe they had their procedure, their process
15	valsartan-containing products?	15	produced no NDMA.
16	MR. NIGH: This is far outside the	16	Q Have you ever reviewed the new drug
17	scope of his certification and declaration at this	17	application for Diovan?
18	point. I mean, you can read it. He doesn't mention	18	A I have reviewed a lot of documents,
19	a single thing about Mylan.	19	yes.
20	MR. TRISCHLER: He volunteered and I	20	Q I didn't ask if you reviewed a lot of
21	am allowed to follow that up.	21	documents. Have you ever reviewed the new drug
22	MR. NIGH: No, that's not actually	22	application for Diovan?
23	true. I have a lot of questions to go far outside	23	A I have reviewed it.
24	the scope at this point, but this is way outside of	24	Q Where did you get it?
25	the scope of his seven page declaration. Not a	25	A You know, I think maybe, you know, the
	Page 151		Page 153
1	single place in here does he ever mention any of the		1 age 133
		1	plaintiff's lawyer shared it with me
2		1 2	plaintiff's lawyer shared it with me. Of the surprised that Novartis would turn
2 3	defendants' testing levels and I think you know	2	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn
3	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way	2 3	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's
3 4	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some	2 3 4	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new
3 4 5	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at	2 3 4 5	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application?
3 4 5 6	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point.	2 3 4	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a
3 4 5 6 7	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents.
3 4 5 6 7 8	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as to what the levels are or your client's levels. He	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a CMC section a new drug application, public
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as to what any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a CMC section a new drug application, public information.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as to what the levels are or your client's levels. He is not here to offer an opinion clearly states	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a CMC section a new drug application, public information. A What is your question?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as to what the levels are or your client's levels. He is not here to offer an opinion clearly states valsartan which contaminated NDMA or NDEA, period,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a CMC section a new drug application, public information. A What is your question? Q I will ask it a third time. Is the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	defendants' testing levels and I think you know that. So, again, at this point we're getting way outside. I have allowed some exploration at some point, but this has no basis in his declaration at this point. MR. TRISCHLER: I think I'm entitled to an answer to the question. You've objected. You can argue whether MR. NIGH: I am going to instruct him not to answer at this point. We have gone far outside the scope. MR. TRISCHLER: Just so that I'm clear, the witness stated that my client had levels of 2,000 to 3,000 nanograms and you are not allowing me to follow up on that? MR. NIGH: Just so you're clear, I think that question was far outside the scope in the first place. He is not here to offer an opinion as to what the levels are or your client's levels. He is not here to offer an opinion clearly states	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'm surprised that Novartis would turn over their proprietary documents to the plaintiff's lawyers. So your testimony is you've seen the new drug application? A I might have seen it. I reviewed a lot of different documents. Q Well, it was not disclosed or provided in any of the materials that were given here to me. A I cannot recall, but I reviewed a lot of different documents relating to valsartan manufacturing; valsartan you know, there is a lot of public information regarding the manufacturing process. Q Chemistry manufacturing controls submissions as part of Novartis' new drug application. It's not public information, is it? A What is your question? Q I just asked you that one. There is a CMC section a new drug application, public information. A What is your question?

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

	Page 154		Page 156
1	A CMC section shouldn't be public	1	A I know.
2	information.	2	Q Sitting here today providing let me
3	Q So I am trying to understand your	3	finish before you start.
4	testimony under oath that you've seen and been	4	Sitting here today providing testimony under
5	provided with the NDA for Diovan. Where did you get	5	oath, you can't name one drug product where you were
6	it?	6	involved in submitting the abbreviated new drug
7	A I said I have reviewed. I didn't say	7	applications for its generic formulation, right?
8	I've seen it. I said I have reviewed a lot of	8	A I cannot recall.
9	documents, you know, from different manufacturers,	9	Q Have you ever worked in regulatory
10	perhaps including Novartis' procedures, but	10	affairs for a generic drug manufacturer?
11	Novartis' procedures and chemical manufacturing	11	A No.
12	procedures has been disclosed in their patents.	12	Q Have you ever
13	It's been published. There's plenty of literature	13	A I have not worked in regulatory
14	on it.	14	affairs for any generic manufacturers.
15	Q So if I hear what you're saying now	15	Q Have you ever worked or been employed
16	and if we're looking for honest, forthright	16	by the FDA?
17	testimony, it sounds like you don't know whether	17	A I have never been employed by the FDA.
18	you've seen the NDA for Diovan, correct?	18	Q Have you ever are you familiar with
19	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	19	the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, CDER?
20	A I don't know if I've seen it.	20	A I have attended many meetings at CDER.
21	Q All right. In your career, sir, have	21	Q Have you ever worked with CDER where
22	you ever prepared an abbreviated new drug	22	you've had responsibility for evaluating new drug or
23	application seeking to obtain FDA approval to market	23	new drug applications?
24	any generic equivalent drug product?	24	A I have not been involved with CDER.
25	A In my career I have been involved in	25	You should restate your question.
	Page 155		Page 157
1	many IND filings, CMC sections of IND, CMC sections	1	Q I should or you need me to?
2	of NDA, ANDA for my clients, not specifically for	2	A Please restate your question.
3	any of my own specific products.	3	Q Have you ever worked with CDER where
4	Q My question was have you ever been	4	you had responsibility for evaluating new drug or
5	involved in preparing	5	abbreviated new drug applications?
6	A Yes, I have.	6	A I have not worked with CDER in
7	MR. NIGH: Hold on. Dr. Najafi. Wait	7	evaluating any new drug application.
8	until he finishes his question.	8	Q Have you ever been retained as a
9	A Sorry.	9	consultant by FDA office of generic drugs to assist
10	MR. NIGH: And then answer. We're	10	in evaluating any portion of an abbreviated new drug
11	getting	11	application?
12	MR. TRISCHLER: Sorry, Dan.	12	A I have not been involved in generic
13	Q What abbreviated drug applications did	13	drug division of the FDA.
14	you prepare and submit to the FDA?	14	Q And I think it's Section 4 of your
15	A Confidential.	15	report your declaration you describe FDA
16	Q For what drugs?	16	expectations and requirements for generic drug
17	A For drugs that from our clients'	17	manufacturers. Do you recall that?
18	drugs.	18	A Could you show it to me?
19	Q Tell me the names of the drugs. The	19	Q Sure.
20	active pharmaceutical ingredients are not	20	A Put it on the screen.
21	confidential.	21	MR. TRISCHLER: It's Exhibit 1. Can
22	A I can not recall right now. Also,	22	you put it up, please.
23	it's client-specific and a lot of our clients don't	23	A Highlight it.
24	want to have their names disclosed.	24	Q Can you flip through it? I think it's
25	Q I haven't asked your client's names.	25	section 4. I think it starts on page 5, maybe, if I

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

1	Page 158		Page 160
$\frac{1}{2}$	recall correctly. There we go. Do you see that?	1	file in connection with an API for a generic drug?
2	A Yes.	2	A Not personally.
3	Q And as I was saying, this is the	3	Q In the notes of deposition that
4	section of your report where I think you proceed to	4	brought us here today, I asked you to provide
5	describe what you consider to be the expectations or		certain materials to me at the time of the
6	some of the expectations and requirements for a	6	deposition. One of the things I asked for were any
7	generic drug manufacturer, right?	7	and all papers that you prepared on the topic of
8	A Mm-hmm.	8	drug safety and cancer risk. Do you remember seeing
9	Q Is that "yes"?	9	that request in the notice?
10	A Yes.	10	A Yes, I have.
11	Q The fact of the matter is, though,	11	Q I did not receive any papers or
12	Doctor, that you're never had personal	12	publications on those topics, so I have to assume
13	responsibility for synthesizing API that was used	13	that you have never published on those issues.
14	for generic drug formulation, correct?	14	Would that be a fair assumption on my part?
15	A I have not had responsibility in	15	A I have not published on anything, any
16	synthesizing an API for a generic drug manufacturer		genotoxic compound, nitrosamines except the citizen
17	but I have been involved in, you know, drug	17	petition which we filed with the FDA regarding
18	development and I've been involved with lots of	18	nitrosamine which FDA corroborated 100 percent, and
19	FDA-related activities and the spirit of what I have	19	I've also presented at a generic manufacturing
20	put in is if and when you change the chemical	20	symposium where my audience was a whole huge number
21	process, if you make lasagna by following step one,	21	of generic manufacturing people.
22	step two, step three, and if you change that and you	22	Q I appreciate that, but my question was
23	create your own recipe, you have responsibility to	23	a little broader than that. I had asked for all
24	do proper due diligence to look at structural	24	papers and publications prepared on the broader
25	molecules that give you structural clue to	25	topic of drug safety and cancer risk. Have you ever
	Page 159		Page 161
1	protection problem and you need to disclose that to	1	published on those topics?
2	the FDA and you need to do proper due diligence and	2	A I haven't published on those topics
3	effectively look for those, you know, potential	3	and what I can you know, there are lot of
4	problem and look for genotoxic compounds and report	4	publications. That's really a toxicologist and
5	it.	5	epidemiologist sort of activity. I rely on them.
6		-	
7	Q Have you ever developed a synthetic	6	Q And what you were answering on the
'	Q Have you ever developed a synthetic process used for the API of a generic drug		
8		6	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that
	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of	6 7 8 9	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products,
8	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to	6 7 8	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct?
8 9	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products,
8 9 10	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed?
8 9 10 11	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be
8 9 10 11 12	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is
8 9 10 11 12 13	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product? A No. I have not had oversight	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product? A No. I have not had oversight responsibilities for a synthesis of a generic drug	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen petition for ranitidine Zantac meets those
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product? A No. I have not had oversight responsibilities for a synthesis of a generic drug product or drug substance, but I've had	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen petition for ranitidine Zantac meets those criterias, so under that circumstance it is peer
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product? A No. I have not had oversight responsibilities for a synthesis of a generic drug product or drug substance, but I've had manufacturing responsibilities for lots of synthetic	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen petition for ranitidine Zantac meets those criterias, so under that circumstance it is peer reviewed.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	process used for the API of a generic drug formulation? A I have developed synthetic process of hundreds of molecules in my time and I continue to develop processes for hundreds of molecules, but not for a generic drug, but I can assure you I understand the synthesis synthetic procedure of valsartan. Q Have you ever had oversight responsibility for manufacturing a generic drug product? A No. I have not had oversight responsibilities for a synthesis of a generic drug product or drug substance, but I've had manufacturing responsibilities for lots of synthetic molecules in large scale at my previous company,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And what you were answering on the topic of nitrosamines what you told me is that you've not submitted any peer-reviewed publications on the issue of nitrosamines and drug products, correct? A So what's your definition of peer reviewed? Q My definition of peer review would be a publication in a scientific journal that is reviewed by scientists in the field for accuracy, quality and reliability of methods prior to the time that it's published. A Our citizen physician, my citizen petition for ranitidine Zantac meets those criterias, so under that circumstance it is peer reviewed. Q So you consider a citizens petition to

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

	Page 162		Page 164
1	A Anybody can submit a citizen petition.	1	(A recess was taken.)
2	Q If I sent a citizens petition saying	2	(After the recess the following
3	Dr. Najafi's declaration in this case is unreliable,	3	occurred:)
4	has that been peer reviewed?	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
5	A You can certainly do that and it will	5	2:48. This begins Media unit 5. You may proceed.
6	be peer reviewed by FDA scientists and they will	6	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
7	then respond to you that Clem, you're wrong.	7	Q Doctor, I just have a few other things
8	Q In formulating the opinions that are	8	I want to cover with you. One of the documents that
9	contained in this declaration that we're looking at	9	was in your file that I was provided with was a
10	now, did you review any internal Mylan documents?	10	chart entitled "valsartan products not currently
11	A In formulating this last declaration,	11	recalled." Are you familiar with that chart?
12	I don't believe so.	12	A Would you bring it up so we can be
13	Q Did you review by ZHP documents?	13	looking at the same thing?
14	A I have reviewed both Mylan and ZHP	14	Q Sure.
15	documents months ago but not in formulating this	15	MR. TRISCHLER: Frank, are you able
16	declaration.	16	to it was not in the group of exhibits that I
17	Q And if I ask the same question for the	17	premarked. Are you able to pull it up, Frank, and
18	other manufacturer defendants to this litigation:	18	get it in front of the witness?
19	Teva, Aurobindo, Hetero, Torrent; have you reviewed	19	MR. STOY: Yes. Let me try to find it
20	any of their documents?	20	here. I am going to attempt to share my screen. Is
21	A I have reviewed. I've spent hours and	21	this the document?
22	hours looking at their manufacturing issues, looking	22	MR. TRISCHLER: Yes, that's it. Thank
23	at their, you know, all of that, but not for this,	23	you, Frank. I guess we will have this marked as an
24	you know, putting this declaration together.	24	exhibit and sent to the reporter through the chart,
25	Q So in terms of those two core opinions	25	but whatever the next numbered exhibit is.
	Page 163		Page 165
1	we talked about, you don't plan to you're not	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That will be 29.
2	relying upon and did not consider any of the any	2	MR. TRISCHLER: Thank you.
3	internal documents from any of the manufacturers?	3	BY MR. TRISCHLER:
4	A I did not, no.	4	
_	•		Q Doctor, can you see this Exhibit 29?
5	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the	5	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do.
6	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you	5	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top
6 7	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know	5 6 7	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled"
6 7 8	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for	5 6 7 8	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me
6 7 8 9	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT?	5 6 7 8 9	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall
6 7 8 9 10	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've	5 6 7 8 9 10	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that?
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break, please. I want to look at some notes and see what I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per milliliter, right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break, please. I want to look at some notes and see what I want to do next.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per milliliter, right? MR. NIGH: Objection. Go ahead.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break, please. I want to look at some notes and see what I want to do next. MR. NIGH: Take a ten minute break?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per milliliter, right? MR. NIGH: Objection. Go ahead. Q You can answer.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break, please. I want to look at some notes and see what I want to do next. MR. NIGH: Take a ten minute break? MR. TRISCHLER: Sure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per milliliter, right? MR. NIGH: Objection. Go ahead. Q You can answer. A Ninety-six nanograms dosage you end up
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q I asked you before if you reviewed the new drug application for Diovan and you said you could not. Just for completeness sake, do you know if you ever reviewed the new drug application for Exforge or Exforge HCT? A I cannot recall. I believe I've reviewed a lot of the defendants' material. I might have reviewed some of the publicly available information on the work Ciba-Geigy did which led to Diovan. I've looked at their patents. I've looked at their procedures, their recipes, their synthesis, published data, a lot of that. I have looked at a lot of documents over the last year and a half or so. MR. TRISCHLER: Let's take a break, please. I want to look at some notes and see what I want to do next. MR. NIGH: Take a ten minute break?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A It is very tiny. Yes, I do. Q It's a 15 page document. At the top it says "valsartan products not currently recalled" dated September 21, 2015, and it was provided to me by your counsel as part of your file. Do you recall that? A Yes. Q And if I understand correctly this would be a list of valsartan products, marketed and sold in the United States that were not subject to any recall at least as of September 2018, right? A I believe so. Q And you had mentioned earlier that under the valsartan recalls, products were recalled if they had NDMA content above 96 nanograms per milliliter, right? MR. NIGH: Objection. Go ahead. Q You can answer.

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

Page 44 of 60

	Page 166		Page 168
1	separate limit for NDEA, right?	1	should be allowed in any valsartan product, period.
2	A I think NDEA was far lower, maybe 12	2	Zero. So if they contain NDMA and NDEA and FDA is
3	or 20, something like that.	3	allowing it above certain limit, that's FDA's
4	Q Does 26.5 sound right?	4	prerogative, but in my expert opinion, no NDMA or
5	A Yes.	5	NDEA should be allowed.
6	Q And so if valsartan products were	6	I am not a toxicologist, but I know something
7	tested and the limits observed were above those	7	about the chemistry of NDMA and the fact that it
8	levels of 96 nanograms for NDMA and 26.5 nanograms	8	comes a methylating agent, and methylating agents are
	for NDEA, they were recalled, is that your	9	a fantastic cancer causing agent.
10	understanding?	10	MR. NIGH: Dr. Najafi, make sure you
11	A That's my understanding.	11	let him finish his question before you answer.
12	Q And so this list would be a list of	12	THE WITNESS: My apologies.
13	products that had NDEA content of either zero or	13	Q The limits established by FDA that
14	less than 96 or somewhere in between?	14	you've referenced
15	A Right.	15	A Right.
16	Q And these would be this list that	16	Q 96 nanograms per millimeter for
17	we will mark as Exhibit 29 is a list of product that	17	NDMA, that limit remains in effect to this day, does
18	would have been tested and had NDEA content of	18	it not?
19	either zero or 26.5 or something in between.	19	MR. NIGH: Object to form.
20	A Right.	20	A As far as I know, FDA currently is
21	Q To your knowledge, have you	21	accepting 96 nanograms as an interim sort of level,
22	independently tested any of these	22	but their goal is going to be zero and their goal is
23	valsartan-containing medications that appear on this	23	going to be basically FDA I'm reading from FDA's
24	Exhibit 29?	24	guidance. It says FDA advises that nitrosamines
25	A I have not. I'm not prepared in this	25	should be absent, not detectable for ARBs, API or
	Page 167		Page 169
1	meeting to to take a look at these and compare it	1	ARB product period, stop. It's been cited in my FDA
2	with what we have or have not listed, because I'm	2	general advice document which is actually cited in
3 .	just I don't have the documentations in front of	3	my report.
4	me to tell you what got tested and what didn't.	4	Q All I asked you was that the limit of
5	Q Okay, but based on what we know right	5	permissible NDMA content of 96 nanograms per
6	now, all of the drug products listed on Exhibit 29	6	milliliter remains in effect to this day.
7	may very well have had some NDMA or NDEA in the	7	A As far as I know, 96 nanograms remains
8	product, it was simply below the limit established	8	in effect and is acceptable today, but may not be
9	by FDA?	9	acceptable tomorrow.
10	A That's what FDA has obviously done.	10	Q And the 26.5 nanograms limit for NDEA
11	They have made those determinations based on this	11	remains in effect to this day?
12	interim level, interim level which is 96 or	12	A As far as I can tell, that remains as
13	20-something nanograms of NDEA.	13	an interim acceptable level today but, again, their
14	Q So as far as we know, every drug	14	guidance says they are going to go to zero. So I am
15	listed on Exhibit 29 had some NDMA or NDEA in it,	15	answering your question.
16	right?	16	MR. TRISCHLER: All right. I have no
17	A As far as I can tell you, I have no	17	further questions of the witness at this time. I do
	knowledge of what the exact numbers of NDMA or NDEA	18	think that there are documents that we have
	is in any of these products. All I can attest to is	19	requested that have been excuse me, documents
20	that they were not recalled by the FDA.	20	that have been identified worked on by this witness
21	Q And so you cannot rule out the	21	that were identified during the course of this
	possibility that every drug listed on Exhibit 29 had	22	deposition that are relevant to the witness that
	some NDMA or NDEA?	23	have been disclosed in this case and that the
24	A I cannot rule out. Let me just	24	witness has been offered.
25	restate my position. I believe no NDMA or NDEA	25	I am going to reserve the right to

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

	Page 170		Page 172
1	bring a motion on that issue to obtain those	1	FDA utilizes USP monographs?
2	documents and those records and to redepose the	2	A Can you be specific? You know, what
3	witness on those issues, but for now I don't have	3	do you mean by to what extent FDA utilizes?
4	any further questions, although I believe there may	4	Q Do you have an understanding as to how
5	be a few other people on my side that have some	5	FDA utilizes USP monographs?
6	followup.	6	MR. NIGH: Objection. Form.
7	MR. NIGH: Mr. Trischler, I am going	7	A USP primarily works with the sponsor
8	to put my position briefly. I think at this point	8	of the innovators to get the you know, basically
9	we've gone over four hours of record time which is,	9	to get the drug, the generic drugs, you know,
10	in many of these questions, have been far outside of	10	effectively easing the generic drug availability.
11	the scope. And the vast majority of documents, if	11	So, for example USP toward the end of the drug
12	there are any, we presented those objections 48	12	patent, USP contacts the brand and says "share with
13	hours ago and do not believe there is a basis to	13	me your protocol. Share with me your standard.
14	come back for this deposition.	14	Share with me your impurities," and the drug the
15	In addition, I'm surprised that it's	15	brand usually does that. If they don't do it, USP
16	even gone four hours, but it sounds like it's going	16	develops its own standards and then everybody has to
17	to go even further and so I don't even know if there	17	meet that minimum standard.
18	will be any time at the end of this. And to the	18	Q In your experience, are the USP
19	extent that there is an argument being raised of	19	standards reliable for manufacturers?
20	missing documents, really, the timing here has just	20	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
21	gone far longer than we think was necessary. That's	21	A Could you repeat your question?
22	my position.	22	Q Sure. In your experience, are USP
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION	23	monographs accurate in their prescription of the
24	BY MR. GISLESON:	24	drug products addressed in the monographs?
25	Q Good afternoon, Doctor. My name is	25	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
	Page 171		Page 173
1	John Gisleson and I represent Aurobindo.	1	A In terms of reliability, it's a
2	MR. GISLESON: If we could go back	2	minimum standard that you have to meet, but we often
3	please, Bill, and pull up Exhibit 17, which is the	3	go above and beyond USP.
	valsartan USP monograph.	4	Q And in your experience, are the USP
5	Q So, Doctor, in your career to what	5	monographs reliable in terms of the accuracy of the
6	extent have you utilized USP monographs in your		
_		6	information that they contain?
7	work?	6 7	
8			information that they contain?
8	work?	7	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection.
8 9	work? A We use it almost every day, every week	7 8	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the
8 9	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and	7 8 9	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking
8 9 10 11	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery.	7 8 9 10	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile.
8 9 10 11 12	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP	7 8 9 10 11	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does
8 9 10 11 12	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with	7 8 9 10 11 12	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs? A To some extent they do. FDA and USP	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities listed; impurity A, impurity B, but in fact there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs? A To some extent they do. FDA and USP have sort of a tangential relationship with the USP.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities listed; impurity A, impurity B, but in fact there are more impurities.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs? A To some extent they do. FDA and USP have sort of a tangential relationship with the USP. USP is an independent company and it was formed 200	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities listed; impurity A, impurity B, but in fact there are more impurities. Q Do you have an understanding why,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	work? A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs? A To some extent they do. FDA and USP have sort of a tangential relationship with the USP. USP is an independent company and it was formed 200 years ago for the purpose of, essentially,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities listed; impurity A, impurity B, but in fact there are more impurities. Q Do you have an understanding why, then, the USP monograph didn't identify all
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A We use it almost every day, every week at Emery Pharma to effectively follow, you know, and release drug product and drug substance at Emery. Q To your knowledge are the USP monographs utilized in connection with manufacturing? A USP monographs are utilized in connection with manufacturing, yes. Q Do you know whether the FDA relies at all on USP monographs? A To some extent they do. FDA and USP have sort of a tangential relationship with the USP. USP is an independent company and it was formed 200 years ago for the purpose of, essentially, standardizing our drug supplies and trying to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information that they contain? MR. NIGH: Objection. A In my experience, USP monograph is the starting point for, you know, for basically looking at the impurity profile. Q And if we look at Exhibit 17, does this identify specific impurities that have been found in the valsartan product? A They do. Q What are the specific impurities that are identified there? A There are a couple of impurities listed; impurity A, impurity B, but in fact there are more impurities. Q Do you have an understanding why, then, the USP monograph didn't identify all impurities?

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

Page 176

Document 2033-4 PageID: 67058

Page 174

- them that these impurities need to be identified or 1
- if the levels are -- meet certain standards, they
- need to be identified or they need to be, you know,
- 4 purified, tested, quantified. Really, there are
- 5 different standards, but no, USP -- how can I say
- it, it's really just -- it's really an entry point,
- 7 you know. It's really a starting point. It's a
- 8 guidance.

11

12

13

14

- 9 Q In your experience are USP monographs 10 updated from time to time?
 - I believe they are.
 - In your experience, when USP monographs are updated, would they also include
 - additional impurities that weren't previously known?
- 15 They often do, but they are very slow 16 in doing that. A company such as ours would
- 17 actually need to contact USP and say, hey, we
- actually found additional impurities, you know, you
- 19 should list that and it might take them a couple of
- 20 years to bring that up and do their own testing and
- 21 corroborate and all of that and then it might get
- 22 into that, you know it might get into sort of USP
- 23 monograph. 24
- Q And in your experience it's good 25 practice when new impurities are identified to

- 1
 - impurity profile, they are using chromatographic
 - technique. Chromatographic technique means --
 - 3 meaning in this case high pressure liquid
 - 4 chromatography and that's it.
 - 5 If we look under the impurities
 - 6 section on this first page, there's a reference to
 - 7 chromatographic system, see chromatography 621
 - system suitability and then it has mode LC detector 9
 - UV 230 NM.

10

11

So what is the information that provides to a

manufacturer as to how to test for an impurity?

- 12 You're getting fairly technical here. 13 I don't know whether this is useful for this
- conversation, but the HPLC is an instrument that 14
- there are pumps attached to it. The pumps are 15
- 16 pushing. There are two pumps pushing some vents
- 17 into a column. There's solvent A, solvent B, and
- depending on what's in the solvent A and B, the 18
- 19 column gets conditioned so that the column is a
- 20 stationery phase. And so the separation happens
- 21 through the HPLC column and then it goes through a
- 22 detector and then that detector would be, you know,
- 23 UV detector. It could be, you know, CHAD detector
- 24 which stands for charge aerosol detector. It could
- 25 be ELT detector. It could be a mass spec detector.

Page 175

1

5

6

13

19

- 1 report those impurities to the FDA; is that right?
- 2 Absolutely. Reporting them to USP is
- 3 a good practice. If it's a genotoxic compound, I
- think you want to make an more urgent case reporting
- 5 it to the manufacturer, reporting it to the USP,
- reporting it to the FDA in the case of, for example,
- 7 sartans or ranitidine, Zantac and others.
- 8 Does the -- and we'll look at
- 9 Exhibit 17 specifically. Does this USP monograph
- 10 identify how to test for impurities?
- 11 This USP monograph does provide you
- 12 with a basic methodology to identify some of the
- impurities. 13
- 14 Q What is the methodology that's
- 15 identified on this USP monograph?
- 16 Thank on hang on a second. There
- 17 is -- to identify impurities you have to go through
- 18 set up either HPLC or gas chromatography, various
- 19 instrumentation and set it up, set up the instrument
- 20 and run it according to the basic principle that USP
- 21 lays down.

25

- 22 Q What are the specific tests or tests
- 23 that are identified in this USP monograph for
- 24 testing for the presence of impurities?
 - So they use -- basically to assess

- Page 177
- So it goes through the detector and comes out 2 and out of that detector. So any UV active compound
- gets detected. So in this case they are looking at
- for UV active compound.
 - How much -- I'm sorry. Continue. Are
- nitrosamines UV active compounds?
- 7 Nitrosamines are not UV active 8 compounds. So they become invisible, so UV.
- 9 Using the chromatographic system with
- 10 liquid chromatography and a UV detector, in your
- experience is that capable of identifying 11
- 12 nitrosamines?
 - In my experience you have detectors
- 14 are not capable of detecting nitrosamines.
- 15 Does this USP monograph identify that 16 a manufacturer should use gas chromatography, mass
- spectormetry to test for the presence of nitrosamine 17
- 18 impurities?
 - MR. NIGH: Form objection.
- 20 So this specific monograph does not
- 21 provide you with the, you know, HPLC mass spec
- 22 detector detection.
- 23 However, you know, the chemist and the
- 24 synthetic chemist who is involved with the synthesis
- 25 of the drug should consider, you know, methods that

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-227-8440 973-410-4040

	Page 178		Page 180
1	do not that can potentially show the none UV	1	committee to IRAC. It's a part of WHO that
2	active compound such as nitrosamine and use of mass	2	specifically warns the manufacturers to look for
3	spec. For example, HPLC connected to a mass spec or	3	nitrosamines and there is a specific test that they
4	GC connected to a mass spec, that's been around since	4	ask a lot of manufacturers to do which is called
5	I was an undergraduate in 1979.	5	basically it's called NAP testing, N-A-P testing,
6	Q How many to your knowledge strike	6	which in fact they encourage manufacturers to test
7	that.	7	their compounds to see if it's prone to developing
8	What drugs prior to June 2018 were found to	8	nitrosamine. And you can look that up under NAP
9	contain nitrosamine impurities?	9	testing or basically WHO testing for nitrosamine
10	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	10	and nitrosamine and NDMA.
11	A To my knowledge, you know, the drugs	11	Just one second. I actually have somebody
12	that contained nitrosamine impurities, perhaps not	12	here. I have to give them the key to my car.
13	known to me. That doesn't mean that it exists, but	13	MR. NIGH: Let's take a quick break.
	nitrosamines have been around since 1970s and	14	MR. GISLESON: Okay.
14		15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 3:18. We
15	knowledge of NDMA has been around since 1970s and	_	
16	WHO has been warning drug companies to look for NDM	17	are going off the video record.
17	through various guidances regarding nitrosamine.		(A recess was taken.)
18	And ICH M7 guidelines specifically mentions	18	(After the recess the following
19	nitrosamine as the drug of concern as they have as	19	occurred:) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:18.
20	the impurities of concerns as a mutagen of concerns.	20	
21	So just because they haven't been shown before 2018	21	We are back on the video record.
22	doesn't, you know, basically give these guys a pass.	22	BY MR. GISLESON:
23	Q You said that you were familiar with	23	Q Did the FDA ever issue any guidance
24	current good manufacturing practices. Are you aware	24	like what you have just described from that
25	of any current good manufacturing practice that	25	international organization?
1	Page 179	1	Page 181
1	existed in or before June 2018 that required a	1	A Has FDA ever issued any guidance
2	manufacturer to test for nitrosamine impurities in	2 3	regarding NDMA or nitrosamine?
3	pharmaceutical products?		Q Similar to the international guidance you just identified.
4	A In current and good manufacturing	5	• •
5	practices really refers to using the latest technology and in looking for impurities, making	_	A Post 2018 or pre 2018? Q Pre 2018.
6		6	_
7	sure your drug is safe.	7	A I don't know, honestly.
8	And this is exactly to the point I was trying	8	Q You received an envelope and I think
9	to make earlier, that basically the USP monograph is	9	you started to open it earlier that contained some
10	really just opens the door to you. So this is a	10	documents that we sent to you.
11	common mistake and I also mention that in my	11	A Right.
12	presentation to this symposium that I was presenting	12	MR. GISLESON: Bill, it's the document
13	regarding which is online, actually. You know,	13	behind Tab 6. It's a USP monograph, this one for
14	companies need to be looking for structures of	14	valsartan and
15	concern which is mentioned in ICH M7, and those	15	THE WITNESS: Should I open it?
16	structures of concern should actually give you sort	16	MR. GISLESON: Please.
17	of a window toward compounds you should be looking	17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: For the record, it
18	for.	18	would be marked as Exhibit 30.
19	Q Can you identify any publication that	19	Q Doctor, it's behind Tab 6.
20	was issued before June 2018 that advised	20	MR. NIGH: Mr. Gisleson, how am I
21	pharmaceutical manufacturers that testing for	21	getting a copy of this document?
22	nitrosamines was part of current good manufacturing	22	MR. GISLESON: It's in the Exhibit
23	practices?	23	File Share, Paul.
24	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	24	MR. NIGH: Okay. Okay. Tab 6. I see
25	A I can refer you to international	25	it now.

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

	Page 182		Page 184
1	Q Have you, Doctor, reviewed the USP	1	Q When it says in here that NMT
2	monographs for all the different valsartan products	2	0.2 percent of any other impurity excluding
3	that are at issue in this lawsuit?	3	valsartan-related compound A, does that include
4	A I have reviewed a number of them, yes.	4	unidentified impurities?
5	Q And have you also reviewed the USP	5	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
6	monograph for the valsartan hydrochlorothiazide	6	Q Let me rephrase the question. Do you
7	tablets?	7	have an understanding of what's meant by not more
8	A Yes, I believe so.	8	than 0.2 percent of any other impurity?
9	Q Looking at Exhibit 30, is it correct	9	A Yes.
10	that you have reviewed this USP monograph	10	Q What does that mean?
11	previously?	11	A So it means there are other
12	A This is	12	unidentified impurities potentially that should not
13	Q Tab 6.	13	be more than .2 percent, not more than .2 percent in
14	A Tab 6? Okay. Okay. I need a	14	the chromatogram.
15	refresher. Just give me a second.	15	Q Does this monograph identified the
16	Q No problem.	16	testing procedure that a manufacturer should use to
17	A Okay. I scanned through it. Go ahead	17	identify any impurities for this
18	with your question.	18	valsartan-containing drug?
19	Q So this USP monograph became effective	19	A So, basically, again, it goes back to
20	as of May 1, 2015; is that right?	20	this question the whole concept that I tried to
21	A Okay.	21	explain with Clem. There are impurities that you
22	Q Looking at the upper left-hand corner	22	could have up to maybe a hundred different
23	of the first page.	23	impurities, John, in valsartan in this chromatogram,
24	A Uh-huh.	24	hundred little peaks, right?
25	Q Is that correct?	25	You can't identify. You can't tell which one
			·
	Page 183		Page 185
1	Page 183 A Yes, May 2015.	1	Page 185 is which. You just go after picking up a few of
1 2		1 2	•
	A Yes, May 2015.		is which. You just go after picking up a few of
2	A Yes, May 2015.Q And then if you can go to the section,	2	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those
2 3	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third	2 3	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but
2 3 4	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page.	2 3 4	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at
2 3 4 5	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it.	2 3 4 5	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their
2 3 4 5 6	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify	2 3 4 5 6	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those impurities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you seen these TikTok videos that give you direction on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you seen these TikTok videos that give you direction on how to make, you know, a certain dish? This is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you seen these TikTok videos that give you direction on how to make, you know, a certain dish? This is a TikTok video. So what you need to do is you need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I don't know. Q Then this also shows that there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you seen these TikTok videos that give you direction on how to make, you know, a certain dish? This is a TikTok video. So what you need to do is you need to do your own due diligence. You can talk to any chemist. At my company or at any other company, they tell you this is just an entry level stuff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, May 2015. Q And then if you can go to the section, please, on impurities which I believe is the third or actually the fifth page. A Okay. Yes. I'm on it. Q Thank you. Does this identify specific impurities that had been identified in the valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide tablets? A It looks like it, yeah. Q And what were the specific impurities that were identified? A There is hydrochlorothiazide, benzothiadiazine related compound A. There's hydrochlorothiazide RS; there's USP valsartan RS; there's USP valsartan related compound and so forth. Q To your knowledge are there any health effects or health hazard associated with those impurities? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I don't know. Q Then this also shows that there are acceptance criteria for those impurities that allow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is which. You just go after picking up a few of them, you know, and USP effectively provides those impurities as reference standards and so forth, but it's really the duty of the manufacturer to look at the drug synthesis and identify and look for their structural entities of concern. You know, for example, when I look at a molecule, John, when I look at c double bond o, c carbon and chlorine, I know this chloromethyl ketone is like a tear gas. It's going to burn your eyes. If I see a molecule that has nitrite in it, I'm going to say "Oh, shit. This is going to" pardon my language "this is going to be created nitrosamine." So when you look at these types of you know, this is like the recipe that USP gives you is more or less like a TikTok video cookbook. Have you seen these TikTok videos that give you direction on how to make, you know, a certain dish? This is a TikTok video. So what you need to do is you need to do your own due diligence. You can talk to any chemist. At my company or at any other company, they

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

	Page 186		Page 188
1	structural concerns in my recipe and I am worried	1	UV.
2	about this impurity; therefore, look into it, okay.	2	Q And it says chromatographic system?
3	So, this is very little and you cannot just say here	3	A Yes.
4	is TikTok video, you know, are you going to be able	4	Q See chromatography 621 system
5	to do this. You can't. And in fact every this is	5	suitability mode LC detector UV.
6	just a starting point.	6	A You see the detector is UV, which
7	Q So when this refers to acceptance	7	means it's ultra violet detector. So in my opinion,
8	criteria no more than 0.2 percent of any other	8	USP is not following CGMP. USP is behind time and
9	impurity, the manufacturer is to add up the	9	these companies are hiding behind USP and I think
10	different unidentified impurities to determine	10	they are violating FDA's current good manufacturing
11	whether the total amount exceeds 0.2 percent?	11	practices. And I have mentioned this to, you know,
12	A It means you could have lots of little	12	drug manufacturers, the generic people as well and
13	impurities as long as they are not over a certain	13	they agree. I've had conversations with many of
14	level, as long as they are not over .2 or	14	them.
15	.1 percent, but you also need to consider if these	15	Q The test that's identified here, the
16	impurities are growing or not as a function of time.	16	chromatographic system using the LC mode with a UV
17	Often we get a call from a frantic	17	detector, that test is the starting point, you said,
18	manufacturer that says my drug is on the market and	18	for what a manufacturer should do to test for
19	we have we got report from our retained testing	19	impurities?
20	that our drug is producing an impurity and we need to	20	A Exactly.
21	figure out what that impurity is, and they tell us	21	Q And that test does not identify
22	drop everything, work on this, figure out what this	22	nitrosamine impurities, does it?
23	impurity is, you know, and we've been doing we	23	A No, it doesn't. You could have a lot
24	have done this.	24	of nitrosamine in this compound and this LC test
25	So this is just to show me a few impurities	25	will not show it. It will be invisible.
	Page 187		Page 189
1	here, I can assure you if you look at some of the	1	Q So it's your opinion, as you said,
2	chromatograms of valsartan or this, the one that	2	that none of the defendants' valsartan products
3	you're showing me, there are going to be many, many,	3	should have contained any NDMA or any NDEA; is it
4	many different impurities in the chromatogram.	4	correct that you believe FDA is wrong in permitting
5	Q What is the testing method in this	5	the defendants' valsartan products to be sold so
6	monograph that a manufacturer should use to	6	long as they are they have less than 96 nanograms
7	determine whether there are any impurities?	7	of NDMA or 26.5 nanograms of NDEA?
8	A They need to follow current good	8	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
9	manufacturing practices and the current, you know	9	A John, I cannot comment for FDA, but I
10	has you know, it means you gotta LCMS. HPLC	10	have stated this in our previous conversations as
11	alone, it is a 1960's technology and unfortunately	11	well. I believe the levels of NDMA and NDEA should
12	FDA has been very lax about it and we've had	12	be zero. These are mutagenic DNA reactive molecules
13	discussions with them. And companies are saying we	13	that knocks the hell out of your DNA, and in fact
14	can't afford LCMS. Are you kidding me? Q What is the testing method identified	14 15	the NDMA is used to create cancer in laboratory animals.
16	in this specific monograph for how a manufacturer	16	Q So your opinion, then, directly
17	should test for impurities?	17	contradicts the FDA's determination that patients
18	A The testing method they are	18	may use the defendants valsartan products so long as
19	identifying is HPLC with UV detector.	19	they contain less than either 96 nanograms of NDMA
20	Q Is that shown on the prior page?	20	or 26.5 nanograms of NDEA, correct?
21	A Yeah.	21	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
22	Q Under chromatographic system?	22	A I'm going to reiterate what I said,
23	A Yes.	23	John. I believe in zero NDMA and NDEA. I think
24	Q Can you go to the prior page, please?	24	FDA's thinking is also zero NDMA, NDEA. In my
25	A Yeah, I am looking at it. Yeah. It's	25	opinion, perhaps maybe it's because it's political,
	,		r , r

48 (Pages 186 - 189)

Page 190 Page 192 I don't know, but you're asking my opinion. I 1 product," should be absent. cannot speak on behalf of FDA. I told you what I 2 This is the key thing. As an initial measure, 3 FDA published levels of impurity exceeding these 4 Q All right. Your opinion contradicts interim levels recommended for recall before the 5 the FDA's determination that these valsartan market. So they said they recommended anything above products can be sold to and consumed by patients so 6 certain level to be recalled, but their goal is zero. 7 long as the nitrosamine levels are less than the Zero. I hope I've answered the question. 8 accepted intake levels identified by the FDA, 8 Q Doctor, what's the date of the 9 correct? 9 document you just read from? 10 MR. NIGH: Form objection. Hold on. 10 The date of this document? Let me 11 Form objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. It's 11 look it up. It's part of the submission of the -- I 12 been asked and answered multiple times. 12 don't know. I think that's for you guys to figure 13 MR. GISLESON: It's been asked. It 13 out. This was -- there is no date on it. 14 hasn't been answered. 14 Can you show us the first page of the 15 MR. NIGH: It has been answered. It's 15 document, please, on the camera so we can see what 16 just not the way you want it answered. 16 it says? It looks like it's a letter from the 17 Your opinion directly contradicts what 17 Department of Health and Services. the FDA has said; namely, the defendant's products 18 Is this part of the record? I think Α 19 can be sold to and consumed by patients so long as 19 that was submitted. 20 the nitrosamine levels are less than the FDA's 20 0 No, because I didn't offer it and I've 21 determined acceptable intake levels or limits? 21 never seen it before. 22 Α So --22 Α It was part of my testimony. It's 23 MR. NIGH: Form objection. Asked and 23 there. 24 answered. Mischaracterizes testimony. 24 Q Even with the presence of NDMA or 25 John, I have already mentioned what's Α 25 NDEA, do the defendant's valsartan products still Page 191 Page 193 1 my opinion. I have also and FDA has also made its lower blood pressure in adults and children who 1 still use the products? 2 ruling. FDA is saying 96 nanograms is the interim 3 level, but FDA in their most recent filing which 3 MR. NIGH: Form objection. is -- I'd like to quote you my -- the FDA guidance 4 John, you want my honest opinion? I 5 which is called FDA general advice and I'd like to 5 don't know. I don't know, because there is no actually make -- put that as part of the record if doubt -- I have no doubt that there is valsartan 7 7 you could -- I don't know. It's page 1 and it's molecule there, but I have no idea what the paragraph number -- it's page 1, paragraph 2 of interaction of NDMA, NDEA at those high levels could 9 background. I'd like to make that as part of the 9 be, because I consider NDMA and NDEA as an active 10 10 record and I'd like to read it that to you. compound. 11 It says, "Due to their known potent 11 A lot of the impurities that you saw in the 12 carcinogenic effect and because it is feasible to 12 USP monogram, a lot of the excipients: The sugar, 13 limit these impurities," because it's feasible to 13 the magnesium citrate and various just binding agent 14 limit these impurities "by taking reasonable steps," 14 that makes them feel inactive, nitrosamines are 15 meaning chemical synthesis, chemical synthetic steps 15 extremely active and so I don't know whether actually 16 "to prevent or eliminate their presence, FDA has 16 they will help or hurt or they will cause certain --17 determined that there is no acceptable specification 17 you know, bind something to some receptors. 18 18 for nitrosamine in ARBs, API or drug product." I'm not a toxicologist. I'm not a physician 19 Period. Full stop. 19 to know, but that's for another expert to comment. 20 This is FDA. If you want to misquote me, you 20 Have you done any analysis as part of can go ahead and do that but, please, when you do, 2.1 your work in this case to determine whether NDMA or 22 make sure you put this next to it. Therefore, FDA 22 NDEA interferes with the chemical ability of 23 goes on and says, "FDA advises that nitrosamines 23 valsartan to perform its intended purpose of 24 should be absent in practices; i.e. not detectable as 24 lowering blood pressure and of reducing described below from ARB API and API brought 25 hospitalization for heart failure?

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

	Page 194		Page 196
1	A We have not done any testing that	1	Q In your experience do risk assessments
2	shows that in DNA inhibits the effectiveness of	2	that are submitted in connection with an ANDA to the
3	valsartan or promotes its effectiveness of valsartan	3	FDA address the presence of impurities?
4	or any of that. We have not done any of those	4	A Sometimes. Sometimes they do,
5	tests.	5	sometimes they don't. It really depends on how good
6	Q And you also didn't do that testing	6	at CMC a person a company has and how good a chemist
7	for NDEA to determine whether it had such an effect,	7	they have and how they can if they, for example,
8	correct?	8	you have a drug that all of a sudden develops odor,
9	A We have not done any testing to show	9	you know, sitting and it's causing odor or the drug
10	whether NDEA promotes the pharmaco dynamics of the	10	is changing, you've got to do risk assessment and
11	drug or actually inhibits the pharmaco dynamics of	11	you need to submit it to the FDA.
12	the drug. You could actually increase the activity	12	And those risk assessments also, I would call
13	of the valsartan or reduce its activity, any of	13	them a root cause analysis. They would need to go
14	those things. I don't know. We haven't done any	14	to they could be very narrow. They could be very
15	testing. Nobody has asked us. Plaintiffs' lawyers	15	extensive. It really depends on the company and it
16	have not asked us to do any of that.	16	depends on the team that's involved.
17	Q Nor have you used your knowledge and	17	Q In your experience, does the drug
18	experience simply to analyze without testing whether	18	manufacturer identify the tests that the
19	NDMA or NDEA interferes with the ability of	19	manufacturer performed to evaluate risks associated
20	valsartan to function as intended according to the	20	with the drug product at issue in the ANDA?
21	label?	21	A Could you repeat your question? I
22	A We have not done any of those testings	22	kind of lost my train of thought.
23	and it's not part of our plan to do any of those	23	Q Sure. Does the drug manufacturer have
24	testings.	24	to identify in the risk assessment the specific
25	Q Are you familiar with the phrase	25	tests it performed in developing the assessment?
	Page 195		Page 197
1	compendial standards?	1	A Yeah. They should. They should. For
2	A Yes, I am.	2	example, at any time you change the chemical
3	Q To what does that refer?	3	process, you change your synthetic route, any time
4	A Compendial standards are standards,	4	you change the cap of let's say you go from glass
5	basically official quality standards used for drugs	5	to plastic, you need to do risk assessment; how is
6	sold and reference standards.	6	that going to impact your drug.
7	Q Are those the standards in the USP	7	You go from, you know, a prefilled syringe to
8	monographs?	8	another prefilled syringe, you need to do risk
9	A Yes.	9	assessment. In this case, you know, we're getting
10	Q You said that you've been involved	10	into the really nitty gritty of sort of liability
11	with the preparation and submission of ANDAs,	11	issues, Daniel but, you know, in this case they
12	A-N-D-A-S; is that correct?	12	should have they changed the chemical process.
13	A Mm-hmm.	13	They should have done what I call the structural sort
		١	of drugs, they should look at the structural
14	Q Yes?	14	
14 15	Q Yes? A Yes.	14	concerned molecule and they should look at those
15	A Yes.	15	concerned molecule and they should look at those
15 16	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection	15 16	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of
15 16 17	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment?	15 16 17	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper
15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment? A Have I created a risk assessment	15 16 17 18	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper risk analysis and not just brush it under the table
15 16 17 18 19	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment? A Have I created a risk assessment document?	15 16 17 18 19	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper risk analysis and not just brush it under the table or say this is just minor thing and go on with it.
15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment? A Have I created a risk assessment document? Q Yes.	15 16 17 18 19 20	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper risk analysis and not just brush it under the table or say this is just minor thing and go on with it. You know, using, for example, John, sodium
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment? A Have I created a risk assessment document? Q Yes. A We've done many risk assessments in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper risk analysis and not just brush it under the table or say this is just minor thing and go on with it. You know, using, for example, John, sodium nitrite, in the original process they didn't use
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Have you ever created a connection with a ANDA risk assessment? A Have I created a risk assessment document? Q Yes. A We've done many risk assessments in connection with and ANDA, in connection with NDA,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	concerned molecule and they should look at those structural concerns and say what are the chances of something going wrong with this and then do a proper risk analysis and not just brush it under the table or say this is just minor thing and go on with it. You know, using, for example, John, sodium nitrite, in the original process they didn't use sodium nitrite, whereas in the, you know, in the

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

	Page 198		Page 200
1	nitrated food; you know. You get potential formation	1	assessment in an ANDA, correct?
2	of NDMA. That's where nitrosamine comes from, and	2	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
3	sodium nitrite are known to cause nitrosamine and	3	A The FDA can ask for additional tests
4	NDMA. So that's where the risk analysis went wrong.	4	if they determine it's necessary. By and large they
5	MR. NIGH: I need to interject	5	rely on the manufacturer's own risk assessment and
6	something at this time. As you can see, there is a	6	whether the manufacturer considers that a low risk,
7	seven page declaration. He has not gone into detail	7	medium risk, high risk.
8	in terms of his liability opinions and I would warn	8	So if the manufacturer says this is low risk
9	counsel at this point if we are going into liability	9	and CMC reviewer at the FDA reviews it and if they
10	opinions, we're not going to cover this ground	10	also miss it, you know, so, John, it's really a
11	again. There's not going to be a second bite of the	11	question of they miss it, these guys miss it, yeah,
12	apple at those topics.	12	but at the end of the day it's the manufacturer's
13	MR. GISLESON: I am not going into	13	responsibility.
14	liability issues at all. I am specifically	14	Q You testified that in your view, the
15	addressing his point he's made a couple of times,	15	defendant's product shouldn't contain any NDMA or
16	that in his view the defendants didn't do what they	16	NDEA. Are you aware that nitrosamines have been
17	should have done in connection with evaluating or	17	found in cosmetics?
18	testing for NDMA and NDEA, and so I'm following up	18	A Yes, I have been aware.
19	on that.	19	Q Are you wear that nitrosamines have
20	MR. NIGH: Yeah. That's in large part	20	been found in tobacco and cigarette smoke?
21	because of the questions that occurred earlier that	21	A Yes.
22	also touched upon liability. So to the extent we	22	Q Are you aware that nitrosamines have
23	are going to continue further and follow up on	23	been found in drinking water?
24	liability, defense counsel could do so at their own	24	A Yes, I am aware of that.
25	closing.	25	Q Are you aware that people consume
	Page 199		Page 201
1	MR. TRISCHLER: And as you are aware,	1	processed foods that include nitrosamines?
2	the witness just went well beyond the scope of my	2	A Yes, I am aware of that.
3	question to volunteer a bunch of information, which	3	Q Including bacon, sausage and ham?
4	is why I am also following up on it.	4	A Yes, I am aware.
5	Q The bottom line, in your experience	5	Q Are you aware that beer can contain
6	the ability to instruct the manufacturer to perform	6	nitrosamines?
7	additional tests if the FDA believes the risk	7	A John, we have to qualify and put me on
8	assessment did not appropriately evaluate certain	8	record as saying the levels of nitrosamines are
9	risks; is that true?	9	extremely low in many of these instances. For
10	MR. NIGH: Again, this is clearly	10	example, do you know this minimum level that's
11	liability. The more you want to follow down that	11	acceptable to have nitrosamine in water?
12	tunnel, the more you are following up on liability	12	Q It's a low level, but it exists,
13	opinions. This is far outside the scope of his	13	correct?
14	declaration.	14	A It's extremely low level. So
15	A Let's talk about NDMA levels, John.	15	nitrosamine, every time you eat bacon, you may get a
11/		1 -	
16	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily	16	little bit of nitrosamine. Your body has the
17	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your	17	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get
17 18	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and	17 18	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of
17 18 19	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that	17 18 19	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories.
17 18 19 20	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that defense counsel is not opening the door to this	17 18 19 20	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories. Q Those are the questions I have. Thank
17 18 19 20 21	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that defense counsel is not opening the door to this questioning, and they are not going to get a second	17 18 19 20 21	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories. Q Those are the questions I have. Thank you for your time.
17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that defense counsel is not opening the door to this questioning, and they are not going to get a second bite at the apple.	17 18 19 20 21 22	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories. Q Those are the questions I have. Thank you for your time. A Thank you.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that defense counsel is not opening the door to this questioning, and they are not going to get a second bite at the apple. BY MR. GISLESON:	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories. Q Those are the questions I have. Thank you for your time. A Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION
17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. NIGH: Just because he voluntarily gives information in response to one of your questions that's also a liability question and continue to go down that tunnel doesn't mean that defense counsel is not opening the door to this questioning, and they are not going to get a second bite at the apple.	17 18 19 20 21 22	ability to detoxify so much. I don't want to get outside of my area but, you know, low levels of nitrosamine and high levels are different stories. Q Those are the questions I have. Thank you for your time. A Thank you.

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

Document 2033- PageID: 67065

Page 202 Page 204 hear me okay? 1 exposure time -- so you need to consider all of that. 2 Α And it goes back to the fact that you need to 3 Q My name is Steven Harkins. I represent anticipate this impurity and then look for them. the Teva defendants and I just have a few followup 4 Otherwise, you know, you're chromatogram -- you have 5 questions for you here. 5 this valsartan compound is like a huge peak and then 6 You mentioned a few guidances today both for 6 there are lots of little peaks and they don't test 7 unidentified impurities and then for genotoxic for it because they are actually below the levels of 8 impurities. Do you recall that? 8 .1 percent, .2 percent. So they don't test for it 9 Α Yes. 9 and it doesn't require it. 10 Q Are you aware of ICH, Q3A and Q3B? 10 Doctor, I promise we will get to where 11 A 11 you want to go, but I was just asking specifically 0 12 And those provides guidance on the 12 under O3A and O3B, not subsequent guidelines which 13 levels at which any impurity needs to be assessed to we will address in just a minute. If the 14 the extent it's not in a drug substance, right? 14 qualification threshold for an unidentified impurity 15 Α That's correct. 15 is not met, then testing further on those unknown 16 Q Are you comfortable with the term 16 impurities is not conducted pursuant to that qualification threshold? 17 17 guideline; is that right? 18 A 18 MR. NIGH: Form objection. 19 And the qualification threshold in 19 This is correct with the qualification 20 ICH, Q3A and Q3B defines the level at which any 20 that I previously state. You need to anticipate 21 impurity; harmless, hazardous, needs to be assessed 21 based on structures of concern and then test some of 22 and then analyzed, right? 22 those anticipated genotoxic compounds. 23 23 Α Mm-hmm. And you previously testified that the 24 Q And unknown impurities that don't meet 24 levels for testing of genotoxic or potential 25 that threshold strictly under Q3A and Q3B don't get 25 genotoxic impurities are far lower? Page 203 Page 205 assessed further --1 Α Far lower, less than .1 part per 1 2 2 million, less than 0.1 parts per million, in the MR. NIGH: Form objection. 3 3 case of nitrosamines, zero. Q -- is that correct? 4 No, that's not correct. Again, it 4 And that guidance is at least 5 goes back to -- I didn't catch. You're Steven. 5 generally laid out in ICH M7 which you laid out? Steven, it goes back to looking at the structure --7 7 you know, the changes you're making; looking at the Q Roughly a thousand full difference structures that are involved in the chemistry, and 8 between the levels you might be looking at there? 9 you need to anticipate these impurities. 9 10 10 If you are anticipating certain genotoxic Q You also testified and you just mentioned again there could be 100 little identified 11 impurities, you need to test for it. It could be 11 12 extremely low levels that doesn't meet the ICH 12 impurities, 100 little unidentified peaks if you ran guidelines you are referring to. That's where you it over, correct? 13 13 14 end up going to ICH M7. ICH M7 take effect here 14 Α 15 15 where they talk about extremely low levels of Q And even an HPLC test that you used 16 genotoxic compound. They talk about testing those 16 that showed those peaks, that would not be genotoxic compounds in aims test and various tests 17 identifying and quantifying each of those impurities 17 18 and they set limits. And it also -- it's a matter of 18 just by running a single test with a single set of 19 how -- whether you have an episodic drug or a chronic 19 settings, right? 20 20 drug. You might see 100 little impurities. 21 For example valsartan, my mom was taking 21 Those are only UV ultraviolet active compounds. You 22 valsartan for ten years. Now she is taking, you 22 could also have another 100 that are not ultraviolet 23 know, lisinopril for the last few years. So, you 23 active compounds. So now you see that's where, you 24 know, it really depends. Once the drug becomes a 24 know, that's where people in need to anticipate 25 drug -- I call it life styling drug, then your 25 certain impurities.

52 (Pages 202 - 205)

	Page 206		Page 208
1	Q And to actually assess or quantify any	1	methods like the ones you used in your work for
2	of those, maybe, hundreds of tiny little peaks, you	2	Valisure later were published eventually that
3	would need specialized testing that was specifically	3	allowed those specific settings to be employed to
4	tuned to the impurity that you were looking at and	4	identify these impurities, correct?
5	looking for?	5	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
6	A You need to have specialized	6	A Steven, I would strike the word
7	equipment. That's where we go to CGMP, current good	7	specialized equipment, because to someone trained in
8	manufacturing practices, which really states that	8	the art, specialized equipment means something that
9	don't use a typewriter to type your letter. Use a	9	only Lawrence Livermore laboratory has or some
10	computer to type your letter. You see, it's like	10	cyclotron or something has. These are not
11	these manufacturers are still using typewriters in	11	specialized equipment, but they need to be thinking
12	the age of computer and word processor.	12	about and anticipating NDMA and NDEA and look at it,
13	We have GCMS which is extremely easy to	13	that's all.
14	operate, extremely simple and it comes with a library	14	Q You're familiar with the testing
15	of molecules stored in it, so all you have to do is	15	methods that were published by the FDA in connection
16	just point your cursor to certain impurity and it	16	with nitrosamine recalls?
17	tells you the molecular weight and it tells you	17	A Yes, I am.
18	several possible compounds that might be.	18	Q Are you aware of those methods having
19	Q And you would I'm sorry. Are you	19	been published anywhere else before they were
20	finished?	20	published by the FDA in connection with the recalls
21	A Yes.	21	in 2018?
22	Q So you would need a specialized test	22	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
23	to identify, for example here, the NDMA or NDEA	23	A I am not aware, but the methods you
24	compound among all of those other little peaks you	24	know, don't need a method. You develop your
25	might see?	25	methods. There are hundreds of methods for testing
	Page 207		Page 209
1	A I wouldn't call it specialized	1	NDMA if you search the literature. There is a
2	instrument. These are routine instruments that	2	method as early as 1970 for certain testing for
3	almost every lab, every university, every company	3	NDMA; very validated, very good method.
4	has including, in fact I would hesitate to guess	4	Q Doctor, imagine my question was
5	that your clients you're representing Teva,	5	specifically with regard to methods for identifying
6	right?	6	NDMA and NDEA which were published by the FDA in
7	Q I am.	7	2018 with respect to the nitrosamine issue. You're
8	A I know for a fact that Teva has	8	familiar with those?
9	probably dozens and dozens of GCMS and LCMS at their	9	A Yes, I am.
10	facility.	10	Q And just to clarify, you're not aware
11	Q And simply running those tests over a	11	of those methods having been published anywhere
12	drug substance without having them specifically set	12	before that, are you?
13	to the impurity that you are attempting to identify	13	MR. NIGH: Form objection.
14	would not allow you to identify and quantify that	14	A I am not aware of FDA publishing
15	impurity, correct?	15	method for NDMA. FDA doesn't publish methods to
13	impurity, correct:	13	memod for Notifia. Toa doesii t puolisii ilieulous to

Document 2033-4

PageID: 67066

53 (Pages 206 - 209)

test a lot of drugs. They get involved and, you

know, basically somebody when basically something

are developed by industry such as companies like us.

We develop the method, we validate the method and

then we submit it as part of a CMC package for NDA

filing or ANDA filing to the FDA and those methods

FDA doesn't really get involved in developing

testing. And then ultimately USP gets ahold of those

bad happens. A lot of methods that are developed,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

go into the system.

800-227-8440

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Repeat your question? I missed it.

Running an HPLC or any other test

method over an impurity without having that machine

impurity that you are trying to identify like in DNA

or NDEA would not allow you to identify and quantify

And, for example, specialized test

Running an HPLC would not help you

specifically set to identify and quantify an

that impurity is that correct?

with those impurities that's correct.

	Page 210		Page 212
1	methods and puts it into their, you know, monograph.	1	by GCMS by other means that are in the literature.
2	Q Doctor, you had never seen those	2	Q Do you think you missed it or that you
3	methods published anywhere else before 2018,	3	are wrong?
4	correct?	4	A Next question, Steven.
5	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	5	MR. NIGH: Well, hold on. Let me do
6	A I did not see FDA publishing those	6	the objection. I am going to say it's asked and
7	methods. I am not aware. There might be there	7	answered. I think we asked this question many times
8	might have been issued something before. I am not	8	and I will continue to warn that he doesn't have
9	aware, but there are other methods that you can go	9	anything in his declaration about testing methods
10	to besides FDA for nitrosamine analysis.	10	and this is really going down the liability path
11	Q Specifically those methods and I know	11	even further.
12	with respect to FDA you are not aware of anyone else	12	I would just warn that to the extent
13	publishing those mods before 2018 are you?	13	he discloses opinions that starts talking about
14	A There are some methods outside of FDA.	14	testing methods in the future, I think you all
15	Q Dr. Najafi, my question is specific to	15	covered this topic.
16	those methods, just those methods for identified	16	Q Dr. Najafi, there are other compounds
17	NDMA and NDEA. You have not seen them anywhere else	17	within the nitrosamine class, right?
18	FDA or otherwise before 2018, right?	18	A Yes.
19	MR. NIGH: Form objection.	19	Q And the nitrosamine class is just one
20	A I answered the question already.	20	class of potential genotoxic compounds that are
21	Q I believe you did, but can you please	21	addressed by GCMS and other guidelines, correct?
22	just answer it for me so we have a clear record?	22	A Yes.
23	You hadn't seen those before 2018?	23	Q Do you know how many classes of
24	A I have not seen FDA publishing any	24	compounds or types of covered structure alerts there
25	methods before prior to 2018, but I may have missed	25	are?
23	Page 211	23	Page 213
1	it, but there are other methods on NDMA by other	1	A There are at least five different
2	by admissions, by industry by other people and there	2	classes, four or five different classes of compounds
3	are multiple methods for NDEA analysis.	3	by FDA. It's mentioned in the ICH guidelines.
4	Q Dr. Najafi, I am not asking about	-	-7
		4	O And there are other sources that
			Q And there are other sources that identify potential genotoxic compounds as well.
5	other methods. I am not asking about something that	5	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well,
	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi,	5 6	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right?
5 6 7	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published	5 6 7	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes.
5 6 7 8	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct?	5 6 7 8	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there
5 6 7 8 9	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection.	5 6 7 8 9	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right?
5 6 7 8 9	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get	5 6 7 8 9 10	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018. A I mentioned	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that the reason that these drugs are not equivalent to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018. A I mentioned MR. NIGH: Form objection.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that the reason that these drugs are not equivalent to the reference listed drug is because of the presence
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018. A I mentioned MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I have not seen FDA publishing any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that the reason that these drugs are not equivalent to the reference listed drug is because of the presence of these impurities NDMA and NDEA?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018. A I mentioned MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I have not seen FDA publishing any methods prior to 2018, but I may be wrong, you know.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that the reason that these drugs are not equivalent to the reference listed drug is because of the presence of these impurities NDMA and NDEA? A I believe the fact that they contain
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other methods. I am not asking about something that you haven't seen. I am asking you, Dr. Ron Najafi, had never seen any of those methods published anywhere before 2018, correct? MR. NIGH: Form objection. A Steven, I think you're trying to get your own, you know, question answered. You can go ahead and answer it. Q I am not trying to get you have not, correct? MR. NIGH: Form. A What would you like to hear? MR. NIGH: Form objection. Q Whether you had seen those methods published anywhere prior to 2018. A I mentioned MR. NIGH: Form objection. A I have not seen FDA publishing any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identify potential genotoxic compounds as well, right? A Yes. Q And within each of those classes there are numerous individual compounds, right? A Correct. Q It's not your testimony that a drug manufacturer is required to perform testing for every type of potential genotoxic compound on every drug substance, is it? MR. NIGH: Form objection. We're getting way into the liability. At this point I am going to instruct him not to answer, because I think it goes far outside the scope of his opinion. Q Dr. Najafi, is it your opinion that the reason that these drugs are not equivalent to the reference listed drug is because of the presence of these impurities NDMA and NDEA?

54 (Pages 210 - 213)

Page 214 Page 216 think it could have, you know, significant impact on 1 Q They would have had the information 1 2 the drug's performance. 2 for the Mylan product? 3 And correct me if I'm 3 MR. NIGH: Object to form. Outside misunderstanding, but I believe it's your testimony 4 the scope. 5 5 that someone looking at the underlying route of Q I believe -- was that a "yes?" synthesis here should have identified the potential 6 Α I assume. 7 7 Q for this specific compound and conducted testing for Finally, I understand it's your it; is that right? opinion that the level of NDMA or NDEA in the 8 8 9 9 product should be zero, right? MR. NIGH: Objection. Scope. 10 I'm sorry. I didn't hear the answer. 10 Α That's correct. O 11 THE WITNESS: Should I answer, Daniel? 11 And it's your opinion that any product 12 MR. NIGH: Yeah, you can answer. 12 containing NDMA or NDEA at any level is not the 13 Someone should have anticipated. Once 13 equivalent of RLD and, therefore, be misbranded, 14 they changed the route of synthesis and given those adulterated and should be recalled? 14 15 15 structural concern the molecules of structural MR. NIGH: Form objection. Outside the scope. 16 concern, they should have anticipated NDMA and they 16 17 didn't. 17 Α That is my position. 18 18 O Do you recall being shown the Valisure Also, Steven, I want to just to answer your 19 question on methods that are available, there is EPA 19 document which indicated that Novartis' valsartan 20 methods for NDMA testing that goes well before 2018, 20 product contained NDMA earlier? 21 21 well before. There are food testing, you know, Yes, I did see that. testing using NDMA for food and they are all using 22 22. Q Assuming that Valisure's data showing 23 GCMS. 23 levels of NDMA in Novartis' valsartan drug product is correct, it's your opinion that that Novartis 24 Q I believe you testified actually that 24 someone skilled in the art of chemistry, I think 25 25 drug product containing NDMA would be misbranded, Page 215 Page 217 that was your phrase, it would have been obvious to adulterated and should be recalled? 1 1 look for this, right? 2 2 Assuming that Valisure's testing is 3 3 A Right. correct, which I have no knowledge of whether that 4 0 FDA had access to information on the testing was correct and I also do not have any 5 valsartan synthesis for all the API manufacturers knowledge that Novartis is using their old synthesis 5 prior to 2018, correct? and they may be using a generic drug manufacturer to 7 A Yes, correct. make that drug product; assuming that data is 8 correct, it's my opinion that the drug -- that NDMA And just to confirm your testimony 9 that I believe you gave to Mr. Gisleson just a 9 should not be allowed to be sold; you know, the drug 10 moment ago, you're not aware of any statements from 10 should not be allowed to be sold with NDMA. the FDA prior to June 2018 to the manufacturers of 11 However, FDA has allowed this interim number, so it 11 12 valsartan drug products that they should just test 12 hasn't been recalled. 13 13 their products for potential presence of But again -- and I understand your 14 nitrosamines, are you? 14 qualification, assuming that to be correct and I'm 15 only asking it with regard to the products shown I am not aware of FDA stating that 15 16 they should be aware, but WHO has been on record for 16 there that did, according to that information stating to all manufacturers of drugs to watch for contain NDMA, it would be your opinion that that 17 17 product should be recalled as misbranded and 18 NDMA. If you have compounds of structures of 18 19 interest such as sodium nitrite, they need to look 19 adulterated? 20 for NDMA and just because FDA reviewer missed it MR. NIGH: Objection. Outside the doesn't mean the manufacturer should say okay, FDA 21 scope of his opinion. 22 by and large relies on the manufacturer. 22 So assuming that misbranded, that

Document 2033-4

PageID: 67068

55 (Pages 214 - 217)

definition is false and misleading statement, false

and misleading statement, right, that's the

definition of misbranded drug, and you have

23

24

25

The FDA would have had the information

for the ZHP product, right?

Yes.

23

24

25

Page 57 of 60

	Dags 210		Page 220
1	Page 218 carcinogenic impurities, then you have potentially	1	Page 220 A Correct.
2	toxic compound that, you know, people don't know	2	Q And you could see at the top you can
	about it and that is misleading to whoever is taking	3	
3	e e	ļ .	see the Canada flag and it says government of
4	the drug.	4	Canada; do you see that?
5	If I'm taking Steven, if I'm taking	5	A Absolutely. Yes.
6	valsartan and I'm assuming this has zero NDMA in it,	6	Q And you can also see the words "Health
7	if I'm taking torovastatin, Lipitor, okay, I take it	7	Canada" there is as well. Do you see that?
8	every day for, you know, lowering basically	8	A I see Health Canada, yes.
9	cholesterol and various things, I am assuming it's	9	Q Okay. Let's go down to page 9.
10	free of any NDMA. It has zero NDMA.	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, while
11	Q And if that product, any product	11	she's jumping to page 9, you didn't announce this is
12	contained any level of NDMA, it would be your	12	going to be marked as an exhibit.
13	opinion that that product is misbranded, adulterated	13	MR. NIGH: It will be marked as an
14	and should be recalled? I am just trying to	14	exhibit.
15	understand.	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It will be the next
16	A That is my position. That is what I	16	one in line.
17	believe the product is not it's not being we	17	MR. NIGH: I don't know what we are
18	are misleading the public.	18	on, but I don't think we are using anything that has
19	Q Thank you, Dr. Najafi. There is no	19	31, correct?
20	further questions from me.	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. We have not
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Any other questions	21	marked 31 yet.
22	from the room?	22	MR. NIGH: So I'll start at 31. This
23	MR. TRISCHLER: Are there any other	23	will be marked as Exhibit 31.
24	questions on behalf of defense counsel?	24	BY MR. NIGH:
25	MR. GISLESON: Not at this time.	25	Q And Doctor, do you see where it says
-			
1	Page 219 MR. NIGH: Okay. I would like to take	1	Page 221 "Novartis Pharmaceuticals" and right next to it, it
2	a break. I'd like to come back in 15 minutes.	2	shows the word Diovan?
		3	A Yes, I do.
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:16. This ends Media Unit 5.	-	•
4		4	Q And do you see the ones above that
5	(A recess was taken.)	5	refer to valsartan Mylan valsartan, Mylan
6	(After the recess the following	6	valsartan. Do you see that?
7	occurred:)	7	A Yes, I do.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now	8	Q Now your understanding is that Diovan
9	4:56. This begins Media 6.	9	is the name brand of valsartan, correct?
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION	10	A Yes, that's correct.
11	BY MR. NIGH:	11	MR. TRISCHLER: Dan, can I get a
12	Q Doctor, I'd like to show you a	12	standing objection to leading or are you going to do
13	document from Canada and I will represent to you	13	it one time and just ask questions the way they are
14	that this was a document that was disclosed as part	14	supposed to be asked?
15	of your materials considered and given to the	15	MR. NIGH: You know, if you want to
16	defense counsel as well. Now you weren't asked	16	object to leading, you can. If you want to object
17	about any of the health Canada testing by any of the	17	to form, you can.
18	defendants, correct?	18	MR. TRISCHLER: I guess I will.
19	A That's correct.	19	Objection to form.
20	Q I want to draw your attention to	20	BY MR. NIGH:
21	page 9, if we can scroll down to page 9. Actually	21	Q So you see the name Diovan?
22	let me go to the top first. Let me get to the top	22	A Yes, I do.
23	here. Here you can see impurities found in certain	23	Q Does that refer to name brand
24		24	valsartan?
25	angiotensin two receptor blocker products also known as sartans, correct?	25	A Yes, it does.

56 (Pages 218 - 221)

	Page 222		Page 224
1	Q And does Mylan valsartan, does that	1	A That's correct.
2	refer to generic?	2	Q Now, it doesn't say Diovan, correct?
3	MR. TRISCHLER: Objecting to the form	3	A That's correct. There is no reference
4	and foundation.	4	to Diovan.
5	Q And Doctor, what is the name brand of	5	Q It says valsartan, correct?
6	valsartan called?	6	A That's correct.
7	A Diovan.	7	Q So do you know if this is Novartis
8	Q Okay, and next to that, let's scroll	8	name brand medication or Novartis generic drug
9	back up to the top of this page. Do you see the	9	medication?
10	column that shows NDMA result and nanogram per	10	A It could be name brand or generic,
11	tablet and NDEA result and nanogram per tablet?	11	Novartis generic. I have no idea.
12	A Yes, I do.	12	Q Looking at this, you wouldn't be able
13	Q Let's scroll down again to November	13	to tell us?
14	and if we can highlight where it shows not detected.	14	A No.
15	A Right.	15	Q Okay. And also this petition doesn't
16	Q Doctor, what does that refer to?	16	test for NDEA in any way in the Novartis pills,
17	A That refers to no NDMA or NDEA was	17	correct?
18	detected for Diane.	18	A That's correct. It only tests for
19	Q So Health Canada detected no NDMA or	19	NDMA and NDMS.
20	NDEA for their name brand Diovan?	20	Q Doctor, let me ask you a couple
21	A Yes, that's correct.	21	questions about chemical equivalents. A drug with
22	MR. NIGH: We can take this document	22	20,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically
23	down. Let's pull up the valsartan petition that was	23	equivalent or the same as a drug with 14 nanograms
24	used earlier. I don't actually see an exhibit	24	of NDMA, correct?
25	number in my box.	25	MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to job.
1	Page 223		Page 225
1	MS. HILTON: That was the question I	1	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA
2	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number.	2	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with
2 3	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28.	2 3	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct?
2 3 4	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I	2 3 4	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form.
2 3 4 5	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you.	2 3 4 5	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH:	2 3 4 5 6	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these tested and corroborate data, you know, Valisure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct. Q And you weren't shown this information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these tested and corroborate data, you know, Valisure would have listed us and cited us as being involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct. Q And you weren't shown this information during defense counsel's questioning from the USP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these tested and corroborate data, you know, Valisure would have listed us and cited us as being involved in testing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct. Q And you weren't shown this information during defense counsel's questioning from the USP website, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these tested and corroborate data, you know, Valisure would have listed us and cited us as being involved in testing. Q Okay. And here you can see valsartan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct. Q And you weren't shown this information during defense counsel's questioning from the USP website, correct? A That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HILTON: That was the question I have, if we actually gave this an exhibit number. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That was 28. MR. TRISCHLER: I was going to say I thought it was 28. Thank you. BY MR. NIGH: Q Doctor, my understanding is this Valisure petition was marked 28. Do you recall seeing this petition during your questions? A Yes, I do. Q Okay. Let's scroll down to page 9. Now, Dr. Najafi, I believe earlier you said you don't believe Emery Pharma was not disclosed, its name was not disclosed as a part of this report. A Yes. Q What does that mean? A That means that we were not involved in testing any of these drugs that were listed on this petition. Typically if we do get some of these tested and corroborate data, you know, Valisure would have listed us and cited us as being involved in testing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A drug with 10,000 nanograms of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A No. Q A drug with 96 nanograms or more of NDMA would not be chemically equivalent as a drug with 14 nanograms of NDMA, correct? A That's correct. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q All right. Let's take a look at the next document. Now, Doctor, do you recall defense counsel showing you some a document that included a few pages of what's on the USP website? A Yes, I do. Q Now the USP website includes a lot more information than what was given in that document, correct? A That's correct. Q And you weren't shown this information during defense counsel's questioning from the USP website, correct?

57 (Pages 222 - 225)

D 227		D 220
	1	Page 228 impurities such as nitrosamines, the cohorts of
	-	interest.
		MR. NIGH: You can take this document
		down.
		Q Doctor, do you recall when plaintiff
	١.	Harkins was asking you questions about whether drugs
•		should be considered adulterated or misbranded?
		A Yes, I do.
		Q For the purposes of class
		certification and the declaration that you have
		offered, are you offering any opinions about whether
		the defendants' valsartan containing drugs are
		considered adulterated?
	-	
		A I am not offering any opinion.
		Q For the purposes of class certification and the declaration that you offered,
		are you offering any opinions about whether the
		defendants' valsartan-containing drugs are
-		considered misbranded?
-		A No, I'm not offering any opinion.
_	-	Q Okay. I don't have any further
		questions.
		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, just real
-		quick you didn't announce it, but the nitrosamine
		impurities page we were just looking at, is that
	23	
•	1	Page 229 Exhibit 32?
		MR. NIGH: Yes, Exhibit 32. Thank
		you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excellent.
		MR. TRISCHLER: Nothing from me, Dan
	ļ -	subject to my prior reservations but I'm done.
		MR. GISLESON: Nothing further from
		Aurobindo.
	l	MR HARKINS: Nothing from Teva.
		MR. NIGH: Thank you, everybody.
		Okay. Good night. Thank you.
	l	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:08.
· ·	l	That concludes today's deposition.
	l	(Deposition concluded 5:08 p.m.)
——————————————————————————————————————	l	(Deposition concluded 5.00 p.iii.)
	l	
	l	
General of Ectris are not new technologies and dasically	18	
just as it states, the method needs to be able to	1 4 0	
just as it states, the method needs to be able to detect and control impurities as well as others that	l	
detect and control impurities as well as others that	19	
detect and control impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing	19 20	
detect and control impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing processes, making changes to manufacturing	19 20 21	
detect and control impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing processes, making changes to manufacturing processes. And the word "predictive" is the key	19 20 21 22	
detect and control impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing processes, making changes to manufacturing	19 20 21	
	A That's correct. Q And we can stroll down to the bottom of this page briefly and you can see the URL address, correct? A Yes. That's correct. Q Let's go back up. Actually, I want to direct your attention to this paragraph that says companies are responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes which includes identifying and preventing the presence of unacceptable impurities. This involves developing new predictive approaches along with using suitable methods to detect and control these impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing processes. Did I read that information correctly? A Yes, you have. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q Now, Doctor, according to USP, who is responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes? A Companies are responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes, not USP Page 227 and not FDA. Q And those companies, that would be referring to companies that are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing ARBs. Q Dr. Najafi, according to USP do they state that in order to detect unacceptable impurities that manufacturers can rely simply on outdated technologies and methods? MR. TRISCHLER: Object to form. A I think reading this, this is pretty clear. You want to follow CGMP guideline and CGMP specifically talks about updated equipment, you know, the newest technology and in this instance	title of this document is nitrosamine impurities, correct? A That's correct. Q And we can stroll down to the bottom of this page briefly and you can see the URL address, correct? A Yes. That's correct. Q Let's go back up. Actually, I want to direct your attention to this paragraph that says companies are responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes which includes identifying and preventing the presence of unacceptable impurities. This involves developing new predictive approaches along with using suitable methods to detect and control these impurities as well as others that may arise when making changes to manufacturing processes. Did I read that information correctly? A Yes, you have. MR. TRISCHLER: Objection to form. Q Now, Doctor, according to USP, who is responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes? A Companies are responsible for understanding their manufacturing processes? A Companies are responsible for understanding their manufacturing drugs, correct? A Companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies who are manufacturing drugs, in this instance the companies

58 (Pages 226 - 229)

ocum	ıent	203	33-4
Pagel	D: 6	370°	72

	Page 230		Page 232	1
1	CERTIFICATE	1	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al	
2	I, MICHELLE L. DAWKINS, a Notary Public and		Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624)	
3	Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby	3	ERRATA SHEET	
4	certify that prior to commencement of the		PAGELINECHANGE	
5	examination, RON NAJAFI was duly sworn remotely by	5		
6	me to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing	-	REASON	
7	but the truth.		PAGELINECHANGE	
8	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a	8		
9	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as		DEAGON	
10	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,		REASON	
11	place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.		PAGELINECHANGE	
12	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	11		
13	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of		REASON	
14	any of the parties to this action, and that I am		PAGELINECHANGE	
15	neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or	14		
16	counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.		REASON	
17 18	the action.	16	PAGE LINE CHANGE	
19	One I MA Day	17		
19	Michelle L. Wawkins, CCR, RPR	18	REASON	
20	CCR License No. 30XI00224400	19	PAGELINECHANGE	
20	RPR ID No. 805591	20		
21	Notary Public of New Jersey	21	REASON	
22	rotary rable of rew sersey	22		
23		23		
24		24	Ron Najafi, PhD Date	
25		25	·	- 1
	Page 231		Page 233	
1	Page 231 DANIEL NIGH, ESQ.	1	Page 233 In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al	
1 2	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ.			
	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com	2	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al	
2	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022	2 3	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT	
2 3 4	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al	2 3 4	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I	
2 3 4 5	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624)	2 3 4 5	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any	
2 3 4 5 6	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for	2 3 4 5 6	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review.	2 3 4 5 6 7	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. If the witness fails to do so within the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. If the witness fails to do so within the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. If the witness fails to do so within the time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. If the witness fails to do so within the time allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DANIEL NIGH, ESQ. dnigh@levinlaw.com February 14, 2022 RE: In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al 2/3/2022, Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) The above-referenced transcript is available for review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at erratas-cs@veritext.com Return completed errata within 30 days from receipt of testimony. If the witness fails to do so within the time allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed. Yours,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In Re: Valsartan, Losartan, Et Al Ron Najafi, PhD (#5066624) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT I, Ron Najafi, PhD, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony given by me. Ron Najafi, PhD Date *If notary is required SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	

59 (Pages 230 - 233)